



CITY COUNCIL AGENDA
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 21, 2004

THESE PROCEEDINGS ARE BEING PRESENTED LIVE ON KCLV, CABLE CHANNEL 2, AND ARE CLOSED CAPTIONED FOR OUR HEARING IMPAIRED VIEWERS. THE COUNCIL MEETING, AS WELL AS ALL OTHER KCLV PROGRAMMING, CAN BE VIEWED ON THE INTERNET AT www.kclv.tv. THE PROCEEDINGS WILL BE REBROADCAST ON KCLV CHANNEL 2 AND THE WEB THE WEDNESDAY OF THE MEETING AT 8:00 PM, AND ALSO ON FRIDAY AT 4:00 AM, SATURDAY AT 7:00 PM, SUNDAY AT 7:00 AM AND THE FOLLOWING MONDAY AT 1:00 PM.

- CALL TO ORDER
- ANNOUNCEMENT RE: COMPLIANCE WITH OPEN MEETING LAW
- INVOCATION - RABBI GARY GOLBART, ADAT ARI EL SYNAGOGUE
- PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

MINUTES:

PRESENT: MAYOR PRO TEM REESE and COUNCILMEMBERS BROWN, L.B. McDONALD, WEEKLY (excused until 9:22 a.m.), MACK, and MONCRIEF

EXCUSED: MAYOR GOODMAN (attending Conference of Mayors in Washington D.C.)

Also Present: ACTING CITY MANAGER STEVE HOUCHENS, CITY ATTORNEY BRAD JERBIC, DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY TOM GREEN, DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY BRYAN SCOTT (P.M. Session), and CITY CLERK BARBARA JO RONEMUS

ANNOUNCEMENT MADE – Meeting noticed and posted at the following locations:

City Hall Plaza, Special Outside Posting Bulletin Board

Court Clerk's Office Bulletin Board, City Hall Plaza

Las Vegas Library, 833 Las Vegas Boulevard North

Senior Citizens Center, 450 E. Bonanza Road

Clark County Government Center, 500 S. Grand Central Parkway

(9:05 – 9:06)

1-1

RABBI GARY GOLBART, Adat Ari El Synagogue, gave the invocation.

(9:06 – 9:08)

1-26

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE led the audience in the Pledge.

(9:08 – 9:09)

1-104

City of Las Vegas

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 21, 2004 Announcements - Continued

MINUTES:

Before discussion of Item 60, MAYOR PRO TEM REESE welcomed three students from Valley High School. They were in the audience observing the proceedings as part of their Government Class. He also announced that DAVID RIGGLEMAN, Director of Communications, was not in attendance because he had a death in the family.

(9:43)

1-1249

Also, before Item 62, MAYOR PRO TEM REESE offered his condolences to the family of HARRY CLAIBORNE, who was a good friend of the Mayor.

(10:01 – 10:02)

1-1935

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 21, 2004

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC AFFAIRS

DIRECTOR: DAVID RIGGLEMAN

☐

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

CEREMONIAL:

RECOGNITION OF THE EMPLOYEE OF THE MONTH

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

MOTION:

None required. A presentation was made.

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE called forward CHARLIE KAJKOWSKI, Deputy Director of Public Works, RANDY FULTZ, Assistant City Engineer, and CANDACE FALDER, Budget and Finance Manager, to assist him with recognizing JERRILEE KING, Management Analyst with Engineering Planning Division, as Employee of the Month for January. MS. KING has been with the City since 1996 and brings 40 years of experience to the City. Her responsibilities include preparing interlocal contracts and project funding requests to the Regional Transportation Commission and the Regional Flood Control District for approximately \$50 million per year. She is also responsible for ensuring that the five-year capital improvement budget is prepared. Her efforts have saved the City of Las Vegas millions of dollars. MAYOR PRO TEM REESE highlighted some of MS. KING'S achievements and commented that in addition to her daily responsibilities she is quick to assume additional duties. MS. KING'S work ethic, quality of performance, and organizational skills are an inspiration to all. She truly is an ambassador of the City to other governmental and public agencies.

MR. KAJKOWSKI felt that this was a wonderful opportunity for Public Works to showcase one of its magnificent employees. There are a lot of details to her job, but she makes it seem very easy. MS. KING is an exemplary employee who is tireless in her efforts to do a good job for the City.

City of Las Vegas

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 21, 2004

Ceremonial

Recognition of the Employee of the Month

MINUTES – Continued:

MR. FULTZ shared the sentiments of MR. KAJKOWSKI. He indicated that people from various governmental agencies appeared at the get together where it was announced that she was nominated January's Employee of the Month. He thanked MS. KING for all her hard work.

MS. FALDER congratulated MS. KING on this well-earned achievement.

MS. KING thanked the members of the Council and the staff of the City Manager's office for recognizing employees. She also thanked her supervisors as well as her dedicated co-workers for making her job so rewarding.

(9:09 – 9:15)

1-136

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 21, 2004

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC AFFAIRS

DIRECTOR: DAVID RIGGLEMAN

☐

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

CEREMONIAL:

RECOGNITION OF THE SENIOR OF THE QUARTER

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

MOTION:

None required. A presentation was made.

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE announced that MEL HENKIN, Chairman of the Senior Citizens Advisory Board, would be presenting this award. There are some very special seniors in Las Vegas who are always giving back to the community.

MR. HENKIN honored WARREN REINIG as Senior of the Quarter. The director of volunteers for the University Medical Center nominated him. MR. REINIG has been volunteering 15 hours a week at UMC'S Day Surgery Unit for the past three years. He has demonstrated a high level of responsibility through his attendance record, his passion for serving people in need, and for his team spirit in dealing with patients and coworkers. He reveals his true professionalism through his daily actions and especially when dealing with emergencies. Recently, MR. REINIG found a patient in cardiac arrest and promptly contacted medical staff, while remaining cool and collected. He exemplifies what UMC envisioned for its volunteer corps. MR. HENKIN congratulated MR. REINIG for enhancing the lives of patients and staff at UMC and for making Las Vegas a better place to live.

MR. REINIG thanked the members of the Senior Citizens Advisor Board and the City Council for this honor. He thanked UMC'S director of volunteers for giving him the opportunity to work there. It has given him true meaning and purpose.

(9:15 – 9:18)

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 21, 2004

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC AFFAIRS

DIRECTOR: DAVID RIGGLEMAN

☐

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

CEREMONIAL:

RECOGNITION OF THE NEVADA WOMENS CHAMBER OF COMMERCE

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Submitted at the meeting: copy of proclamation declaring 1/21/2004 as Women's Chamber of Commerce of Nevada Day and Certificate of Recognition

MOTION:

None required. A presentation was made.

MINUTES:

COUNCILWOMAN MONCRIEF recognized JUNE BELAND, who is the founder, president, and CEO of the Women's Chamber of Commerce of Nevada. She has been a successful businesswoman for 36 years, a publisher for 26 years, and a book author for 12. She has the expertise to assist women-owned businesses throughout the State of Nevada. The Women's Chamber of Commerce of Nevada is the first women's chamber to be recognized by the United States Chamber of Commerce. COUNCILWOMAN MONCRIEF congratulated MS. BELAND.

MS. BELAND thanked everyone for honoring the Women's Chamber of Commerce, which started because of her passion to help women in the community.

(9:18 – 9:19)

1-393

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 21, 2004

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC AFFAIRS

DIRECTOR: DAVID RIGGLEMAN

☐

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

CEREMONIAL:

RECOGNITION OF ARACELICA PAREDES

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Videotape was shown but not submitted

MOTION:

None required. A presentation was made.

MINUTES:

Videotape was shown of a holiday event that took place in Ward 3 while MAYOR PRO TEM REESE said a few things about ARACELICA PAREDES. MS. PAREDES donated more than 1,000 gifts for this event, at which over 800 children participated. She came to Las Vegas ten years ago and has certainly made a positive impact in the community. Two years after she moved here, she began giving toys away at the "Super Mercado" she owns for eight consecutive years. She adopted Tara Pre-School and has been donating toys to the school at Christmas for the last four years. This year she gave toys away to eight elementary schools. In January 2003 she was given the Humanitarian Labor for the Children and Families of Las Vegas Award by "Sabado Gigante" International. She was also responsible for donating in excess of 1,000 toys to children of Ward 3 this year. MS. PAREDES never hesitates to help out when called upon. MAYOR PRO TEM REESE presented MS. PAREDES with a plaque and thanked her for her assistance.

In Spanish, SUSIE MARTINEZ, Ward 3 Liaison, expressed her appreciation to MS. PAREDES for her support.

(9:19 – 9:23)

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 21, 2004

DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK

DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS ☐ **CONSENT** ☐ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

BUSINESS ITEMS:

Any items from the morning session that the Council, staff and/or the applicant wish to be stricken or held in abeyance to a future meeting may be brought forward and acted upon at this time

MOTION:

BROWN – Motion to bring forward and STRIKE Item Nos. 18 and 72 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(9:23)
1-543

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 21, 2004

DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK

DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS ☐ **CONSENT** ☐ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

BUSINESS ITEMS:

Approval of the Final Minutes by reference of the Special City Council Meetings of October 23, 2003 and November 25, 2003 and the Regular City Council Meetings of November 5, 2003 and November 19, 2003

MOTION:

BROWN – APPROVED by Reference – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(9:23 – 9:24)

1-577

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 21, 2004

DEPARTMENT: CITY MANAGER**DIRECTOR: DOUGLAS A. SELBY**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

ADMINISTRATIVE:

Approval of payment to University of Nevada Las Vegas (UNLV) in the amount of \$150,000 for the City's share of matching funds owed for support of an Education Television Station

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$150,000☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Video Services☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** Enterprise Fund**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

In 1998, the City entered into a new franchise agreement with Cox Communications. Within that agreement, the City pledged to match private sector donations of \$150,000 annually.

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends approval.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Letter from University of Nevada Las Vegas - December 1, 2003
2. Cable Television Agreement between the City of Las Vegas and Cox Communications Las Vegas, Inc.

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3-17 and 19-58 – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD and MACK abstaining on Items 9 and 10 (see below for full disclosures) and GOODMAN excused

Item 18: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

NOTE: COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD disclosed that she would be abstaining on Items 9 and 10 because she is an outside director for Station Casinos, which holds a privileged non-restricted license for gaming and unrestricted race and sports book gaming license as do the applicants of Items 9 and 10 for the Golden Nugget, a company that is in direct competition with Station Casinos properties. Item 9 involves a tavern license request. While Station Casinos also has tavern licenses, in the past she has voted on tavern licenses after making full disclosure and will continue to do so in the future. However, because the tavern license in this case is contained in the same line item as the non-restricted gaming license, she would be abstaining on the entire line item. She further disclosed that despite the affiliation referenced above with Station Casinos she would be voting on Items 11 and 12. She has not discussed these matters with Station Casinos, neither application would have any impact on Station Casinos, and her affiliation would have no impact on her decision.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 21, 2004

Consent – Administrative

Item 3 – Approval of payment to University of Nevada Las Vegas (UNLV) in the amount of \$150,000 for the City's share of matching funds owed for support of an Education Television Station

MOTION - Continued:

NOTE: COUNCILMAN MACK disclosed that his brother-in-law, ANDREW DONNER, has a contract with the Lady Luck Casino related to its non-restricted Gaming License; therefore, he would be abstaining on Items 9 and 10, which involve consideration of non-restricted gaming license and a non-restricted race and sports book gaming license for the Golden Nugget, a company that is in direct competition with the Lady Luck Casino. Item 9 also involves a request for a tavern license. While the Lady Luck also has a tavern license at its establishment, in the past he has voted on tavern licenses after making full disclosure and will continue to do so in the future. However, because the tavern license in this case is contained in the same line item, as a non-restricted gaming license, he would be abstaining on the entire line item. Further, he disclosed that the locations involved in Items 39 and 46 are located near Timbers Bar & Grill locations owned by his brother-in-law, ANDREW DONNER. The locations involved in Items 14 and 47 are near SuperPawn shops owned by his brother, STEVEN MACK, for whom he does consulting. Nevertheless, COUNCILMAN MACK indicated he would be voting on these matters as his relatives have not spoken to him concerning these matters and their businesses would not be affected.

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY reported that the Real Estate Committee met to review all the Real Estate items on the Consent Agenda and joins with the recommendation of staff that each item be approved by the City Council.

There was no related discussion.

(9:24 – 9:27)

1-592

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 21, 2004

DEPARTMENT: CITY MANAGER'S OFFICE

DIRECTOR: ELIZABETH FRETWELL

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

ADMINISTRATIVE:

Approval of the Branding and Promotional Agreement between R&R Partners and the Commission for the Las Vegas Centennial – All Wards

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The Branding and Promotional agreement with R&R Partners will provide the Las Vegas Centennial Commission with the public relation services related to the Celebration. With the exception of those services previously contracted by the Commission through Clear Channel Entertainment, R&R will provide the branding and promotion for local Centennial events for the Commission.

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends approval of the agreement and authorization of the Mayor to execute the contract.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Branding and Promotional Agreement

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3-17 and 19-58 – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD and MACK abstaining on Items 9 and 10 (see Items 3, 9, or 10 for full disclosures) and GOODMAN excused

Item 18: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:24 – 9:27)

1-592

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 21, 2004

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Service and Material Checks/Payroll Checks/Wire Transfers/Other Checks and Investments

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$56,639,727.00

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Accounting Operations

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: All Funds

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

In compliance with the City's Municipal Code, Chapter 4.12, this is an informational item that provides the dollar amount of disbursements processed by the Finance and Business Services Department.

RECOMMENDATION:

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Summary of cash expenditures for the period 12/16/03 - 12/31/03

Total Services and Materials Checks	\$	10,588,611.31
Total Payroll Checks	\$	5,347,950.01
Total Wire Transfers	\$	40,703,165.68

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3-17 and 19-58 – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD and MACK abstaining on Items 9 and 10 (see Items 3, 9, or 10 for full disclosures) and GOODMAN excused

Item 18: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:24 – 9:27)

1-592

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 21, 2004

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a Special Event License for Whole Foods Market, Inc., Location: Whole Foods Market, 8855 West Charleston Boulevard, Dates: January 24 and 31, February 6, 13 and 26, March 13 and 27, 2004, Type: Special Event Beer/Wine, Event: Wine Tastings, Responsible Person in Charge: Sandra Benton - Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a Special Event License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3-17 and 19-58 – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD and MACK abstaining on Items 9 and 10 (see Items 3, 9, or 10 for full disclosures) and GOODMAN excused

Item 18: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:24 – 9:27)

1-592

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 21, 2004

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a new Supper Club License subject to the provisions of the planning and fire codes and Health Dept. regulations, GMRI, Inc., dba The Olive Garden Italian Restaurant #1621, 10800 West Charleston Boulevard, Laurie B. Burns, Dir, Pres, William R. White, III, VP, Treas, George T. Williams, VP, Secy, Elizabeth C. Abney, Asst Secy, Mary Anne Ferrell, Asst Secy - Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a new Supper Club License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval subject to the provisions of the planning and fire codes and Health Dept. regulations

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Map

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3-17 and 19-58 – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD and MACK abstaining on Items 9 and 10 (see Items 3, 9, or 10 for full disclosures) and GOODMAN excused

Item 18: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:24 – 9:27)

1-592

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 21, 2004

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a new Beer/Wine/Cooler On-sale License subject to the provisions of the fire codes, Manhattan Franks, dba Manhattan Franks, 9350 West Sahara Avenue, Suite 150, David H. Hortze, Dir, Pres, Secy, Treas, 100% - Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a new Beer/Wine/Cooler On-sale License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval subject to the provisions of the fire codes

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Map

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3-17 and 19-58 – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD and MACK abstaining on Items 9 and 10 (see Items 3, 9, or 10 for full disclosures) and GOODMAN excused

Item 18: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:24 – 9:27)

1-592

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 21, 2004

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Approval of Stockholder for a Tavern License and a Non-restricted Gaming License subject to confirmation of approval by the Nevada Gaming Commission, GNLV Corporation, dba Golden Nugget, 129 Fremont Street, Poster Financial Group, Inc., 100%, Timothy N. Poster, COB, CEO, Thomas C. Breitling, Dir, Pres, Secy, PB Gaming, Inc., 100%, Timothy N. Poster, COB, CEO, 60%, Thomas C. Breitling, Dir, Secy, Treas, 40% - Ward 1 (Moncrief)

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Approval of Stockholder for a Tavern License and a Non-restricted Gaming License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval subject to confirmation of approval by the Nevada Gaming Commission

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3-17 and 19-58 – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD and MACK abstaining on Items 9 and 10 (see below for full disclosures) and GOODMAN excused

Item 18: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

NOTE: Under Item 3, COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD disclosed that she would be abstaining on Items 9 and 10 because she is an outside director for Station Casinos, which holds a privileged non-restricted license for gaming and unrestricted race and sports book gaming license as do the applicants of Items 9 and 10 for the Golden Nugget, a company that is in direct competition with Station Casinos properties. Item 9 involves a tavern license request. While Station Casinos also has tavern licenses, in the past she has voted on tavern licenses after making full disclosure and will continue to do so in the future. However, because the tavern license in this case is contained in the same line item as the non-restricted gaming license, she would be abstaining on the entire line item. She further disclosed that despite the affiliation referenced above with Station Casinos she would be voting on Items 11 and 12. She has not discussed these matters with Station Casinos, neither application would have any impact on Station Casinos, and her affiliation would have no impact on her decision.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 21, 2004

Consent – Finance and Business Services

Item 9 – Approval of Stockholder for a Tavern License and a Non-restricted Gaming License subject to confirmation of approval by the Nevada Gaming Commission, GNLV Corporation, dba Golden Nugget, 129 Fremont Street, Poster Financial Group, Inc., 100%, Timothy N. Poster, COB, CEO, Thomas C. Breitling, Dir, Pres, Secy, PB Gaming, Inc., 100%, Timothy N. Poster, COB, CEO, 60%, Thomas C. Breitling, Dir, Secy, Treas, 40%

MOTION – Continued:

NOTE: Under Item 3, COUNCILMAN MACK disclosed that his brother-in-law, ANDREW DONNER, has a contract with the Lady Luck Casino related to its non-restricted Gaming License; therefore, he would be abstaining on Items 9 and 10, which involve consideration of non-restricted gaming license and a non-restricted race and sports book gaming license for the Golden Nugget, a company that is in direct competition with the Lady Luck Casino. Item 9 also involves a request for a tavern license. While the Lady Luck also has a tavern license at its establishment, in the past he has voted on tavern licenses after making full disclosure and will continue to do so in the future. However, because the tavern license in this case is contained in the same line item, as a non-restricted gaming license, he would be abstaining on the entire line item. Further, he disclosed that the locations involved in Items 39 and 46 are located near Timbers Bar & Grill locations owned by his brother-in-law, ANDREW DONNER. The locations involved in Items 14 and 47 are near SuperPawn shops owned by his brother, STEVEN MACK, for whom he does consulting. Nevertheless, COUNCILMAN MACK indicated he would be voting on these matters as his relatives have not spoken to him concerning these matters and their businesses would not be affected.

MINUTES:

There was no further discussion.

(9:24 – 9:27)

1-592

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 21, 2004

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a new Non-restricted Race and Sports Book Gaming License subject to confirmation of approval by the Nevada Gaming Commission, Mirage Resorts, Incorporated, dba The Mirage Casino - Hotel, db at Golden Nugget, 129 Fremont Street - Ward 1 (Moncrief)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a new Non-restricted Race and Sports Book Gaming License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval subject to confirmation of approval by the Nevada Gaming Commission

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3-17 and 19-58 – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD and MACK abstaining on Items 9 and 10 (see below for full disclosures) and GOODMAN excused

Item 18: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

NOTE: Under Item 3, COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD disclosed that she would be abstaining on Items 9 and 10 because she is an outside director for Station Casinos, which holds a privileged non-restricted license for gaming and unrestricted race and sports book gaming license as do the applicants of Items 9 and 10 for the Golden Nugget, a company that is in direct competition with Station Casinos properties. Item 9 involves a tavern license request. While Station Casinos also has tavern licenses, in the past she has voted on tavern licenses after making full disclosure and will continue to do so in the future. However, because the tavern license in this case is contained in the same line item as the non-restricted gaming license, she would be abstaining on the entire line item. She further disclosed that despite the affiliation referenced above with Station Casinos she would be voting on Items 11 and 12. She has not discussed these matters with Station Casinos, neither application would have any impact on Station Casinos, and her affiliation would have no impact on her decision.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 21, 2004

Consent – Finance and Business Services

Item 10 – Approval of a new Non-restricted Race and Sports Book Gaming License subject to confirmation of approval by the Nevada Gaming Commission, Mirage Resorts, Incorporated, dba The Mirage Casino - Hotel, db at Golden Nugget, 129 Fremont Street

MOTION – Continued:

NOTE: Under Item 3, COUNCILMAN MACK disclosed that his brother-in-law, ANDREW DONNER, has a contract with the Lady Luck Casino related to its non-restricted Gaming License; therefore, he would be abstaining on Items 9 and 10, which involve consideration of non-restricted gaming license and a non-restricted race and sports book gaming license for the Golden Nugget, a company that is in direct competition with the Lady Luck Casino. Item 9 also involves a request for a tavern license. While the Lady Luck also has a tavern license at its establishment, in the past he has voted on tavern licenses after making full disclosure and will continue to do so in the future. However, because the tavern license in this case is contained in the same line item, as a non-restricted gaming license, he would be abstaining on the entire line item. Further, he disclosed that the locations involved in Items 39 and 46 are located near Timbers Bar & Grill locations owned by his brother-in-law, ANDREW DONNER. The locations involved in Items 14 and 47 are near SuperPawn shops owned by his brother, STEVEN MACK, for whom he does consulting. Nevertheless, COUNCILMAN MACK indicated he would be voting on these matters as his relatives have not spoken to him concerning these matters and their businesses would not be affected.

MINUTES:

There was no further discussion.

(9:24 – 9:27)

1-592

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 21, 2004

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Change of Location for a Slot Route Operator Gaming License, Silver State Gaming, Inc., dba Silver State Gaming, From: 4534 West Hacienda Avenue, Suite A, To: 4558 West Hacienda Avenue, Rory L. Bedore, Dir, Pres, Secy, Treas, Rory L. Bedore Trust, 100%, Rory L. Bedore, Trustor, Trustee - County

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of Change of Location for a Slot Route Operator Gaming License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3-17 and 19-58 – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD and MACK abstaining on Items 9 and 10 (see Items 3, 9, or 10 for full disclosures) and GOODMAN excused

Item 18: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

NOTE: Under Item 3, COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD disclosed that despite her affiliation with Station Casinos she would be voting on Items 11 and 12. She has not discussed these matters with Station Casinos, neither application would have any impact on Station Casinos, and her affiliation would have no impact on her decision.

MINUTES:

There was no further discussion.

(9:24 – 9:27)

1-592

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 21, 2004

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Change of Location and Officer for a Slot Operator Gaming License, Innovative Gaming, Inc., dba Innovative Gaming, Inc. (Innovative Gaming, Inc. is a wholly owned subsidiary of Innovative Gaming Corporation of America, a Publicly Traded Corporation), From: 4750 Turbo Circle, Reno, Nevada, Edward G. Stevenson, Pres, CEO, Scott H. Shackelton, Secy, Treas, CFO, To: 6720 Placid Street, Suite B, Innovative Gaming Corp. of America, 100%, Thomas J. Foley, COB, CEO - County

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of Change of Location and Officer for a Slot Operator Gaming License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3-17 and 19-58 – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD and MACK abstaining on Items 9 and 10 (see Items 3, 9, or 10 for full disclosures) and GOODMAN excused

Item 18: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

NOTE: Under Item 3, COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD disclosed that despite her affiliation with Station Casinos she would be voting on Items 11 and 12. She has not discussed these matters with Station Casinos, neither application would have any impact on Station Casinos, and her affiliation would have no impact on her decision.

MINUTES:

There was no further discussion.

(9:24 – 9:27)

1-592

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 21, 2004

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Change of Location for a Burglar Alarm Service, Security Broadband Nevada, Inc., dba Security Broadband Nevada, Inc., From: 6201 South Industrial Road, To: 600 Congress Avenue, Suite 200, Austin, Texas, Harris H. Bass, Pres, Secy, Daniel J. Pike, VP, Karen L. Miller, Treas, Larry E. Smith, QE - Texas

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of Change of Location for a Burglar Alarm Service

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3-17 and 19-58 – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD and MACK abstaining on Items 9 and 10 (see Items 3, 9, or 10 for full disclosures) and GOODMAN excused

Item 18: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:24 – 9:27)

1-592

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 21, 2004

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a new Hypnotist License, Steven P. Murray, dba Steven P. Murray, 4750 West Sahara Avenue, Suite 34, Steven P. Murray, 100% - Ward 1 (Moncrief)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a new Hypnotist License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Map

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3-17 and 19-58 – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD and MACK abstaining on Items 9 and 10 (see Items 3, 9, or 10 for full disclosures) and GOODMAN excused

Item 18: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

NOTE: Under Item 3, COUNCILMAN MACK disclosed that the locations involved in Items 39 and 46 are located near Timbers Bar & Grill locations owned by his brother-in-law, ANDREW DONNER. The locations involved in Items 14 and 47 are near SuperPawn shops owned by his brother, STEVEN MACK, for whom he does consulting. Nevertheless, COUNCILMAN MACK indicated he would be voting on these matters as his relatives have not spoken to him concerning these matters and their businesses would not be affected.

MINUTES:

There was no further discussion.

(9:24 – 9:27)

1-592

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 21, 2004

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Change of Location for a Hypnotist License, Myra T., Inc., dba Myra T., Inc., From: 8871 West Sahara Avenue, Suite D, To: 101 South Rainbow Boulevard, #21, Myra T. Taigman-Farrell, Dir, Pres, Secy, Treas, 100% - Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of Change of Location for a Hypnotist License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Map

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3-17 and 19-58 – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD and MACK abstaining on Items 9 and 10 (see Items 3, 9, or 10 for full disclosures) and GOODMAN excused

Item 18: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:24 – 9:27)

1-592

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 21, 2004

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of award of Bid Number 040083-TG, Annual Requirements Contract for Office Supplies - All Departments - Award recommended to: CORPORATE EXPRESS (Estimated annual amount of \$1,000,000 - Various Funds)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$1,000,000

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: All Departments

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Various Funds

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This request is for an annual requirements contract to purchase office supplies and paper for use by all City departments.

PCC: A. Green

POC: Susan Slane - (702) 932-8015

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve the award of Bid Number 040083-TG, Annual Requirements Contract for Office Supplies to Corporate Express from date of award through December 31, 2004 with four (4) one-year options to renew in the estimated annual amount of \$1,000,000.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3-17 and 19-58 – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD and MACK abstaining on Items 9 and 10 (see Items 3, 9, or 10 for full disclosures) and GOODMAN excused

Item 18: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:24 – 9:27)

1-592

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 21, 2004

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of award of Bid Number 040122-DAR, Annual Requirements Contract for Miscellaneous Precast Products - Departments of Field Operations and Public Works - Award recommended to: JENSEN PRECAST (Estimated annual amount of \$500,000 - Various Funds)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$500,000

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Field Operations/Public Works

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Various Funds

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This request will provide for an annual requirements contract for miscellaneous precast products for use by the Streets and Sanitation Division of Field Operations and TEFO Division of Public Works.

PCC: D. Rawski

POC: Tim Brown - (702) 657-3601

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve the award of Bid Number 040122-DAR, Annual Requirements Contract for Miscellaneous Precast Products to Jensen Precast from date of award through January 31, 2005 with four (4) one-year options to renew in the estimated annual amount of \$500,000.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3-17 and 19-58 – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD and MACK abstaining on Items 9 and 10 (see Items 3, 9, or 10 for full disclosures) and GOODMAN excused

Item 18: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:24 – 9:27)

1-592

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 21, 2004

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of issuance of a purchase order for an annual requirements contract for GPS Navigational Equipment and Subscription Services - Various Departments - Award recommended to: @ROAD (Estimated annual amount of \$300,000 - Various Funds)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$300,000

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Various

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Various Funds

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This request will provide for an annual requirements contract for the installation and subscription services of a GPS Navigational System on 200 City vehicles.

This item is exempt from the competitive bidding process pursuant to NRS 332.115.1(g), hardware and associated peripheral equipment and devices for computers and NRS 332.115.1(h), software for computers.

PCC: D. Rawski

POC: Rick Sawaya - (714) 281-3883

CFN: 040102-DAR

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve the issuance of a purchase order for an annual requirements contract for GPS Navigational Equipment and Subscription Services to @ROAD in the estimated annual amount of \$300,000 from date of award through January 31, 2005, with three (3) one-year renewal options.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

BROWN – Motion to bring forward and STRIKE Item Nos. 18 and 72 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(9:23)

1-543

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 21, 2004

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Approval of issuance of a purchase order for the purchase of 800 megahertz radios - Department of Fire and Rescue - Award recommended to: MOTOROLA, INC. (\$4,965,552 - Various Funds)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$4,965,552☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Fire and Rescue☒**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** Various Funds**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Approval of this request will allow for the purchase of 800 MHz radios in order to achieve standardized communication among agencies responding to emergencies. Funding for these radios will come from Department of Justice grants previously approved by Council (\$3,724,164), reimbursement from fifteen agencies (\$941,860) and various City funds requiring augmentation (\$299,528).

The purchase of radios for the other fifteen agencies will not occur until interlocal funding agreements are in place.

This purchase is exempt from competitive bidding per NRS 332.115.1(a), sole source.

CFN: 040157-KF

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve the issuance of a purchase order for 800 MHz radios to Motorola, Inc. in the amount of \$4,965,552 and augmentation of various General Fund accounts to cover the \$299,528 match requirement of the grant. Purchases will not occur until interlocal agreements are in place.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3-17 and 19-58 – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD and MACK abstaining on Items 9 and 10 (see Items 3, 9, or 10 for full disclosures) and GOODMAN excused

Item 18: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:24 – 9:27)

1-592

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 21, 2004

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Interlocal Agreement for water service to APN 138-27-301-011 known as Tenaya Neighborhood Park (Tenaya Way and Washington Avenue) - Department of Field Operations - Award to: LAS VEGAS VALLEY WATER DISTRICT (\$132,519 - Parks and Leisure Activities Capital Projects Fund) - Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$132,519

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Field Operations

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Parks and Leisure Activities CPF

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This request will provide the necessary part of this project for the installation of water service. Before the Las Vegas Valley Water District will sign the service connection documents and allow the City to install the water service, the Interlocal Agreement with conditional water commitment must be executed and the required fees paid.

PCC: D. Rawski

CFN: 040208-DAR

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve the Interlocal Agreement for water service to APN 138-27-301-011 known as Tenaya Neighborhood Park to Las Vegas Valley Water District in the amount of \$132,519.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Interlocal Agreement

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3-17 and 19-58 – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD and MACK abstaining on Items 9 and 10 (see Items 3, 9, or 10 for full disclosures) and GOODMAN excused

Item 18: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:24 – 9:27)

1-592

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 21, 2004

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of issuance of a purchase order for Stab Resistant Vests - Department of Detention and Enforcement - Award recommended to: SKAGGS (\$59,063 - General Fund)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$59,063

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Detention and Enforcement

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: General Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This request will allow for the purchase of stab resistant vests for use in the Department of Detention and Enforcement.

This purchase is exempt from the competitive bidding process pursuant to NRS 332.115.3. The personal safety equipment will be used by personnel of the fire department or law enforcement agency in responding to emergencies in which the health, safety or welfare of those personnel may be compromised, impaired or otherwise threatened.

PCC: G. Leaf

POC: Todd Mackler - (949) 369-8181

CFN: 040198-GL

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve the issuance of a purchase order for Stab Resistant Vests from Skaggs in the amount of \$59,063.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3-17 and 19-58 – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD and MACK abstaining on Items 9 and 10 (see Items 3, 9, or 10 for full disclosures) and GOODMAN excused

Item 18: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:24 – 9:27)

1-592

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 21, 2004

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of award of Bid Number 040150-DAR, Furnish and Install Gates at the Fire and Rescue Department Training Center, 633 North Mojave Road - Department of Field Operations - Award recommended to: GIBSON CONSTRUCTION (\$35,344 - Fire Services Capital Projects Fund) - Ward 3 (Reese)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$35,344

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Field Operations

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Fire Services CPF

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This project consists of furnishing and installing two sets of drive-through entrance and exit gates, and two walk-through gates at the Fire and Rescue Department Training Center located at 633 North Mojave Road.

PCC: D. Rawski

POC: Doug Sorgani - (702) 399-4600

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve the award of Bid Number 040150-DAR, Furnish and Install Gates at the Fire and Rescue Department Training Center to Gibson Construction in the amount of \$35,344.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3-17 and 19-58 – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD and MACK abstaining on Items 9 and 10 (see Items 3, 9, or 10 for full disclosures) and GOODMAN excused

Item 18: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:24 – 9:27)

1-592

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 21, 2004

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of rejection of bid and award of Bid Number 040160-DAR, One-Ton Dedicated CNG Fuel Extended Locksmith Van - Department of Field Operations - Award recommended to: BILL HEARD CHEVROLET (\$31,695.90 - Vehicle Services Internal Service Fund)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$31,695.90

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Field Operations

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Vehicle Services ISF

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This request will allow the purchase of one (1) one-ton dedicated CNG fuel extended locksmith van for use by Detention and Enforcement locksmiths, as well as facilitate the purchase of additional vans, if needed, through model year availability under the open-end contract provision.

The apparent low bidder, Fairway Chevrolet, failed to meet the requirements of the technical specifications in each of their bid submittals; deeming the bids non-responsive. The bids are recommended for rejection and award recommended to the next low bidder.

PCC: D. Rawski

POC: Edward Tozier - (702) 870-9309

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve the rejection of bids submitted by Fairway Chevrolet as non-responsive and approve the award of Bid Number 040160-DAR, One-Ton Dedicated CNG Fuel Extended Locksmith Van to Bill Heard Chevrolet in the amount of \$31,695.90.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3-17 and 19-58 – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD and MACK abstaining on Items 9 and 10 (see Items 3, 9, or 10 for full disclosures) and GOODMAN excused

Item 18: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:24 – 9:27)

1-592

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 21, 2004

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Approval of revision to purchase order 216343 for an annual requirements contract for Medical Supplies for Fire and Rescue Department - Department of Fire and Rescue - Award to: EMERGENCY MEDICAL PRODUCTS, INC. (\$30,000 - General Fund)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$30,000☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Fire and Rescue☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** General Fund**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

On February 22, 1999, City Council approved the award of Bid Number 990036-TG for the purchase of medical supplies to be used during patient transport to Emergency Medical Products, Inc., from date of award through December 31, 1999, with four (4) one-year options to renew. This revision is requested to extend the current contract for ninety (90) days to March 31, 2004 and to increase funding by \$30,000 to allow the Purchasing and Contracts Division the opportunity to re-bid the medical supplies contract.

PCC: A. Green

POC: Brad Smith - (800) 558-6270

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve the issuance of a revision to purchase order 216343 to Emergency Medical Products, Inc. in the amount of \$30,000 for a revised total amount of \$155,000.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3-17 and 19-58 – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD and MACK abstaining on Items 9 and 10 (see Items 3, 9, or 10 for full disclosures) and GOODMAN excused

Item 18: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:24 – 9:27)

1-592

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 21, 2004

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of revision to purchase order 216344 for an annual requirements contract for Medical Supplies for Fire Services Department - Department of Fire and Rescue - Award to: BOUND TREE MEDICAL LLC (\$35,000 - General Fund)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$35,000

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Fire and Rescue

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: General Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

On February 22, 1999, City Council approved the award of Bid Number 990036-TG for the purchase of medical supplies to be used during patient transport to Bound Tree Medical LLC , from date of award through December 31, 1999, with four (4) one-year options to renew. This revision is requested to extend the current contract for ninety (90) days to March 31, 2004 and to increase funding by \$35,000 to allow the Purchasing and Contracts Division the opportunity to re-bid the medical supplies contract.

PCC: A. Green

POC: Steve Patraw (702) 807-1295

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve the issuance of a revision to purchase order 216344 to Bound Tree Medical LLC in the amount of \$35,000 for a revised total amount of \$120,000.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3-17 and 19-58 – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD and MACK abstaining on Items 9 and 10 (see Items 3, 9, or 10 for full disclosures) and GOODMAN excused

Item 18: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:24 – 9:27)

1-592

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 21, 2004

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Approval of issuance of a purchase order for professional monitoring and maintenance service of sewer system flow monitors - Department of Public Works - Award recommended to: ADS ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES (Estimated annual amount of \$49,356 - Sanitation Enterprise Fund)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$49,356☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Public Works☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** Sanitation Enterprise Fund**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

This requirement provides for bi-annual professional monitoring and maintenance service of sixteen (16) sewer system flow monitors within the City of Las Vegas.

This item is exempt from the competitive bidding process pursuant to NRS 332.115.1(c), additions to and repairs and maintenance of equipment which may be more efficiently added to, repaired or maintained by a certain person.

PCC: A. Green

POC: Paul Forsthoefel - (858) 571-0045

CFN: 040202-TG

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve the issuance of a purchase order for monitoring and maintenance service of sewer system flow monitors to ADS Environmental Services in the annual amount of \$49,356 from date of award to December 31, 2004 with annual renewals as long as the bidding exemption applies.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3-17 and 19-58 – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD and MACK abstaining on Items 9 and 10 (see Items 3, 9, or 10 for full disclosures) and GOODMAN excused

Item 18: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:24 – 9:27)

1-592

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 21, 2004

DEPARTMENT: HUMAN RESOURCES**DIRECTOR: F. CLAUDETTE ENUS**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Approval of payment for a permanent partial disability award - Claim #WC02120326 - as required under the workers' compensation statutes (\$27,660 - Workers' Compensation Internal Service Fund)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$27,660☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Human Resources - Insurance☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** Workers' Compensation Internal Service Fund**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

A corrections corporal was diagnosed with a right shoulder injury after being involved in a motor vehicle accident. She has been rated by a state authorized physician who determined that the injury resulted in a 7 percent whole body impairment.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval of the \$27,660 payment for permanent partial disability award.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3-17 and 19-58 – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD and MACK abstaining on Items 9 and 10 (see Items 3, 9, or 10 for full disclosures) and GOODMAN excused

Item 18: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:24 – 9:27)

1-592

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 21, 2004

DEPARTMENT: HUMAN RESOURCES**DIRECTOR: F. CLAUDETTE ENUS**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Approval to contract with American International Group, Inc. (AIG) for reinsurance (\$414,346 - Self-insurance internal service fund)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$414,346☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Human Resources - Insurance☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** Self-insurance internal service fund**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

City Health Insurance Plan (CHIP) is a partially self funded Preferred Provider Organization (PPO) plan. Reinsurance is obtained to limit our total liability. This renewal combines the stop loss limits for both CHIP & the Lumenos Consumer Driven Health Plan. The premium for 2004 is significantly lower than anticipated for an account our size, reflecting the success of our Large Case Management program and is approximately \$50,000 less than the premium paid for comparable coverage in calendar year 2001.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval to contract with AIG for reinsurance.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Rate proposal from COMRISK INSURANCE, a reinsurance broker, showing proposals from a number of competing companies (for both specific excess insurance and aggregate excess insurance)

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3-17 and 19-58 – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD and MACK abstaining on Items 9 and 10 (see Items 3, 9, or 10 for full disclosures) and GOODMAN excused

Item 18: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:24 – 9:27)

1-592

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 21, 2004

DEPARTMENT: HUMAN RESOURCES

DIRECTOR: F. CLAUDETTE ENUS

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval to renew the contract with BenefitElect for Flex Plan Administration services

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount: \$0

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Human Resources - Insurance

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: N/A

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The City has used BenefitElect as the Third Party Administrator (TPA) for the Flex Benefit Plan since 1996. This renewal is offered with a small increase to participants. The increase is to cover the increased cost of postage. BenefitElect has not requested a rate increase since 1996.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approve renewal of the contract with BenefitElect for Flex Plan Administration services.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Letter from BenefitElect dated December 15, 2003.

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3-17 and 19-58 – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD and MACK abstaining on Items 9 and 10 (see Items 3, 9, or 10 for full disclosures) and GOODMAN excused

Item 18: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:24 – 9:27)

1-592

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 21, 2004

DEPARTMENT: NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES**DIRECTOR: ORLANDO SANCHEZ, ACTING** ☒ **CONSENT** ☐ **DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Approval of the final reduction of the Deferred United States Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) Rental Rehab Loan for the MoMart Apartments property located at 920 West Bonanza - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

This 920 W. Bonanza MoMart Apartments project received a City of Las Vegas HUD Rental Rehab Grant in the amount of \$281,872.06, in Community Development Block Grant funds in December 1991. Pursuant to the City of Las Vegas HUD Rental Rehabilitation Loan Agreement, because all loan conditions have been met, the loan may be forgiven. The \$140,936.01 reduction in the Deferred Loan amount reduction constitutes the final forgiveness for the loan.

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends that the City Council approve this loan reduction regarding 920 W. Bonanza in the amount of \$140,936.01 and reconvey the property to the owner CBC Financial.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Agenda Memo

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3-17 and 19-58 – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD and MACK abstaining on Items 9 and 10 (see Items 3, 9, or 10 for full disclosures) and GOODMAN excused

Item 18: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:24 – 9:27)

1-592

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 21, 2004

DEPARTMENT: NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES**DIRECTOR:** ORLANDO SANCHEZ, ACTING ☒ **CONSENT** ☐ **DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Approval of an additional \$30,000 of Community Development Block Grant Program Income funding to the East Las Vegas Business/Incubator Center at the Northwest corner of Stewart and Mojave Avenues for the purpose of adding contingency for the architectural and engineering services approved August 6 and December 17, 2003, for architectural and engineering services for a total amount of \$243,500 for the project design services - Ward 3 (Reese)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$30,000☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Neigh. Svcs./Neigh. Devel.☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** CDBG**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

On August 6, 2003, City Council approved \$200,000 for architectural and engineering services of the ELV Business/Incubator Center. On December 17, 2003, City Council approved \$13,500 to cover geotechnical and survey work. Public Works Office of Architectural Services has advised the Neigh. Svcs. Dept. to add \$30,000 to cover any contingencies which may arise during the implementation of the services required in the Agreement. The ELV Business/Incubator Center will provide training and development assistance to emerging and start-up businesses and office rentals to established businesses.

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends that the City Council approve the allocation of an additional \$30,000 of Community Development Block Grant funds for contingency to the architectural and engineering services for the East Las Vegas Business/Incubator Center.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3-17 and 19-58 – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD and MACK abstaining on Items 9 and 10 (see Items 3, 9, or 10 for full disclosures) and GOODMAN excused

Item 18: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:24 – 9:27)

1-592

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 21, 2004

DEPARTMENT: NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES**DIRECTOR:** ORLANDO SANCHEZ, ACTING ☒ **CONSENT** ☐ **DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Approval of a Deferred Loan Agreement expending \$30,000 of Home Investment Partnership Program (HOME) funds for housing rehabilitation activities at 265 South 17th Street - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$30,000☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Neigh. Svcs./Neigh. Devel.☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** HOME**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Liliana Ponce, her husband and five children live on an annual combined income of \$20,892, which qualifies her for a HOME funded Deferred Loan. The home is in need of a new roof, windows, plumbing and electrical repairs, flooring, kitchen cabinetry, exterior siding and paint. Total job cost includes payment to the lowest responsive general contractor, preliminary title report, credit report, recording fees, real estate appraisal and work contract contingency.

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends that the City Council approve the loan and authorize the Mayor to execute the Deferred Loan Agreement with the homeowner upon approval by the City Attorney.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Deferred Loan Agreement

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3-17 and 19-58 – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD and MACK abstaining on Items 9 and 10 (see Items 3, 9, or 10 for full disclosures) and GOODMAN excused

Item 18: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:24 – 9:27)

1-592

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 21, 2004

DEPARTMENT: NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES**DIRECTOR: ORLANDO SANCHEZ, ACTING** ☒ **CONSENT** ☐ **DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Approval of a Direct Loan Agreement expending \$30,000 of Home Investment Partnership (HOME) Program funds for housing rehabilitation activities at 7205 Tempest Place - Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$30,000☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Neigh. Svcs./Neigh. Devel.☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** HOME**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Edward Waples supports his wife and three children on an annual income of \$39,768. This income qualifies him for a HOME funded 3.0% Direct Housing Rehabilitation Loan. Mr. Waples' home is in need of a new roof, roof sheathing, siding removal and new stucco siding and hard-wired smoke detectors. Total job costs include a credit report, preliminary title report, real estate appraisal, asbestos removal recording fees, payment to the low responsive bidding contractor and work contract contingency.

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends that the City Council approve the loan and authorize the Mayor to execute the Direct Loan Agreement with the homeowner upon approval by the City Attorney.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Direct Loan Agreement

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3-17 and 19-58 – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD and MACK abstaining on Items 9 and 10 (see Items 3, 9, or 10 for full disclosures) and GOODMAN excused

Item 18: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:24 – 9:27)

1-592

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 21, 2004

DEPARTMENT: NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES**DIRECTOR: ORLANDO SANCHEZ, ACTING** ☒ **CONSENT** ☐ **DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Approval of an Agreement between the EOB Community Action Partnership Child Care Assistance Division and the City of Las Vegas in the amount of \$210,000 for the Child Care Improvement Grant (CCIG) - All Wards

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:** \$210,000☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Neigh. Svcs./Neigh. Admin.☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** EOB-CCAD**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The CCIG program enhances the quality of childcare services by providing mini-grants up to \$5,000. Funding can be used towards facility rehab, including interior/exterior upgrades such as flooring and landscaping. Neighborhood Services works closely with the CLV Childcare Licensing Div. and Clark Co. Health Dist. to ensure providers meet codes and regulations. The CCIG program has helped 121 childcare providers promote a healthier and safer environment to over 3,021 children. Due to the outstanding performance and success of the CCIG program, the city has been awarded an additional \$210,000.

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends that the City Council approve to enter into an Agreement for Quality Enhancement Programs and Services with the EOB Community Action Partnership - CCAD in the amount of \$210,000 and authorize the Mayor to execute the agreement.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Community Action Partnership Agreement for Quality Enhancement Programs and Services

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3-17 and 19-58 – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD and MACK abstaining on Items 9 and 10 (see Items 3, 9, or 10 for full disclosures) and GOODMAN excused

Item 18: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:24 – 9:27)

1-592

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 21, 2004

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval to file a Right-of-Way Grant with the Bureau of Land Management for sewer purposes on portions of land lying within the North Half of Section 31 and the Northwest Quarter of Section 32, Township 19 South, Range 60 East, Mount Diablo Meridian, generally located on the south side of the Hammer Lane alignment, between the Hualapai Way and El Capitan Way alignments, APNs 125-31-201-007, -601-004, -602-001 and 125-32-203-001 - County

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Public Works/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3-17 and 19-58 – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD and MACK abstaining on Items 9 and 10 (see Items 3, 9, or 10 for full disclosures) and GOODMAN excused

Item 18: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:24 – 9:27)

1-592

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 21, 2004

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a Declaration of Utilization from the Bureau of Land Management for a portion of the Northwest Quarter of Section 19, Township 19 South, Range 60 East, Mount Diablo Meridian, for sewer purposes located on the south side of the Elkhorn Road alignment, between the Hualapai Way and Grand Canyon alignments, APNs 125-19-101-001, -002, 125-19-102-003, -004 and -005 – County

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Public Works/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3-17 and 19-58 – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD and MACK abstaining on Items 9 and 10 (see Items 3, 9, or 10 for full disclosures) and GOODMAN excused

Item 18: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:24 – 9:27)

1-592

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 21, 2004

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Third Supplemental Interlocal Contract #LAS.19.B.01 between the City of Las Vegas and the Clark County Regional Flood Control District to increase funding for construction of Owens Avenue System (Rancho Drive to I-15) (\$667,000 - Clark County Regional Flood Control District) - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$667,000

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Public Works/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Clark County Regional Flood Control District

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Third Supplemental Interlocal Contract #LAS.19.B.01 applies to additional construction funding needed for increased costs associated with contaminated material, potholing, water line relocation, valves, barrier rails, grade changes and design upgrades for installation of the storm drain and to extend the completion date to allow time to complete these changes. The Clark County Regional Flood Control District Board of Directors approved this contract at their December 11, 2003 meeting. Total cost for this project shall not exceed \$4,631,000.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Third Supplemental Interlocal Contract #LAS.19.B.01

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3-17 and 19-58 – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD and MACK abstaining on Items 9 and 10 (see Items 3, 9, or 10 for full disclosures) and GOODMAN excused

Item 18: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:24 – 9:27)

1-592

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 21, 2004

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS**DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Approval of a Declaration of Utilization from the Bureau of Land Management for portions of the Southwest Quarter of Section 18, the North Half of Section 19, the Southwest Quarter of Section 30, Township 19 South, Range 60 East, the Northwest Quarter and the East Half of Section 25, Township 19 South, Range 59 East, MDM, for sewer purposes generally located along the north side of Severance Lane between Hualapai Way and Grand Canyon Drive; the south side of Elkhorn Road between Hualapai Way and Grand Canyon Drive; the north side of Deer Springs Road between Hualapai Way and Fort Apache Road; the south side of Tropical Way between Hualapai Way and Grand Canyon Drive; the south side of Centennial Way between Marla Street and Michelli Crest Way and the south side of Reiss Way between Hualapai Way and Michelli Crest Way – APNs 125-18-301-013, -014, -016, -017, -019 through -022, 125-19-101-001, -002, -102-003 through -005, -202-006 through -012, -601-009, -010, 125-30-301-007, -009, -302-006, -007, 126-25-101-003, -004, -501-002, -003, -601-034, -048 and -701-027 - County

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Public Works/City Engineer☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:****RECOMMENDATION:**

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3-17 and 19-58 – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD and MACK abstaining on Items 9 and 10 (see Items 3, 9, or 10 for full disclosures) and GOODMAN excused

Item 18: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:24 – 9:27)

1-592

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 21, 2004

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS**DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Approval of Third Supplemental Interlocal Contract #337c between the City of Las Vegas and the Regional Transportation Commission of Southern Nevada for increased cost for right-of-way for Rainbow Boulevard, Silverstream Avenue to Smoke Ranch Road (\$175,000 - Regional Transportation Commission) - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$175,000☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Public Works/City Engineer☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** Regional Transportation Commission**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Third Supplemental Interlocal Contract #337c increases total project funding due to increased cost of right-of-way acquisition. The Regional Transportation Commission Board of Directors approved this contract at their December 11, 2003 meeting. Total cost for this project shall not exceed \$15,982,000.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Third Supplemental Interlocal Contract #337c

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3-17 and 19-58 – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD and MACK abstaining on Items 9 and 10 (see Items 3, 9, or 10 for full disclosures) and GOODMAN excused

Item 18: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

NOTE: Under Item 3, COUNCILMAN MACK disclosed that the locations involved in Items 39 and 46 are located near Timbers Bar & Grill locations owned by his brother-in-law, ANDREW DONNER. The locations involved in Items 14 and 47 are near SuperPawn shops owned by his brother, STEVEN MACK, for whom he does consulting. Nevertheless, COUNCILMAN MACK indicated he would be voting on these matters as his relatives have not spoken to him concerning these matters and their businesses would not be affected.

MINUTES:

There was no further discussion.

(9:24 – 9:27)

1-592

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 21, 2004

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of changes to Cooperative Agreement R252-00-010 whereby the State of Nevada Department of Transportation has increased cost of construction for the Rancho Road System, Durango Drive US 95 Interchange project based on actual costs (\$148,046.10 - Clark County Regional Flood Control District) - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$146,046.10

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Public Works/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Clark County Regional Flood Control District

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

State of Nevada Department of Transportation Cooperative Agreement #R252-00-010 was originally approved on June 7, 2000 for an estimated amount of \$300,000 with costs to be determined by actual Outfall construction costs. The amount of structure excavation and granular backfill increased the construction cost to \$448,046.10.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Cooperative Agreement #R252-00-010 executed 6/7/2000

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3-17 and 19-58 – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD and MACK abstaining on Items 9 and 10 (see Items 3, 9, or 10 for full disclosures) and GOODMAN excused

Item 18: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:24 – 9:27)

1-592

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 21, 2004

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Fourth Supplemental Interlocal Contract #295d between the City of Las Vegas, Clark County and the Regional Transportation Commission of Southern Nevada to increase the costs for Durango/El Capitan, Lone Mountain Road to US-95 (\$1,500,000 - Regional Transportation Commission) - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$1,500,000

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Public Works/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Regional Transportation Commission

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Fourth Supplemental Interlocal Contract #295d increases total project funding and extends the date of completion for construction of Durango/El Capitan, Lone Mountain Road to US-95. This funding applies to construction of the Phase IV, Tropical Parkway to I-215 Beltway portion of the project. The Regional Transportation Commission Board of Directors approved this contract at their November 13, 2003 meeting. Total cost for this project shall not exceed \$15,982,000.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Fourth Supplemental Interlocal Contract #295d

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3-17 and 19-58 – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD and MACK abstaining on Items 9 and 10 (see Items 3, 9, or 10 for full disclosures) and GOODMAN excused

Item 18: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:24 – 9:27)

1-592

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 21, 2004

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS**DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Approval of Interlocal Contract #445 between the City of Las Vegas, City of North Las Vegas, City of Henderson, Clark County and the Regional Transportation Commission of Southern Nevada for design and construction of the Offsite Improvements Adjacent to Regional Flood Control District Detention Basin Projects FY 2003-04 (\$500,000 - Regional Transportation Commission) - All Wards

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$500,000☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Public Works/City Engineer☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** Regional Transportation Commission**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Interlocal Contract #445 applies to the design and construction of Offsite Improvements Adjacent to Regional Flood Control District Detention Basin Projects FY 2003-04. The Regional Transportation Commission Board of Directors approved this contract at their August 14, 2003 meeting. Total cost for all entities for this project shall not exceed \$500,000.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Interlocal Contract #445

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3-17 and 19-58 – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD and MACK abstaining on Items 9 and 10 (see Items 3, 9, or 10 for full disclosures) and GOODMAN excused

Item 18: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:24 – 9:27)

1-592

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 21, 2004

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a Right of Way Grant for Pedestrian Walkway Purposes from the Las Vegas Valley Water District, a Quasi Municipal Corporation for a portion of the Southwest Quarter of Section 28, Township 19 South, Range 60 East, Mount Diablo Meridian, for a 5 foot pedestrian walkway easement located south of Tropical Parkway, west of the Cimarron Road alignment, APN 125-28-303-001 – Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Public Works/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3-17 and 19-58 – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD and MACK abstaining on Items 9 and 10 (see Items 3, 9, or 10 for full disclosures) and GOODMAN excused

Item 18: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:24 – 9:27)

1-592

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 21, 2004

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of an Engineering Design Services Agreement with G.C. Wallace, Inc. for design engineering services for the Gowan North Channel Phase IV Storm Drain System, Lone Mountain Road - El Capitan Way to the Western Beltway (\$520,720 - Clark County Regional Flood Control District) - Ward 4 (Brown)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$520,720

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Public Works/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Clark County Regional Flood Control District

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This agreement will allow G.C. Wallace, Inc. to perform the design engineering for the Gowan North Channel Phase IV Storm Drain System, Lone Mountain Road - El Capitan Way to the Western Beltway. This project will extend storm drain in Lone Mountain Road after construction of the Gowan Channel Phase III project is completed.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Engineering Design Services Agreement

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3-17 and 19-58 – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD and MACK abstaining on Items 9 and 10 (see Items 3, 9, or 10 for full disclosures) and GOODMAN excused

Item 18: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:24 – 9:27)

1-592

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 21, 2004

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a Land Surveying Services Agreement with HMH Inc. for the completion of survey and right-of-way engineering services for the Town Center Loop Road East, Tenaya Way to Elkhorn Road, and Tule Springs Road, Loop Road East to Sunny Springs Lane (\$80,000 - Special Improvement District 1476) - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$80,000

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Public Works/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Special Improvement District 1476

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This agreement will allow HMH Inc. to perform the survey work required to complete the design of the Town Center Loop Road East, Tenaya Way to Elkhorn Road and Tule Springs Road, Loop Road East to Sunny Springs Lane.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Land Surveying Services Agreement

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3-17 and 19-58 – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD and MACK abstaining on Items 9 and 10 (see Items 3, 9, or 10 for full disclosures) and GOODMAN excused

Item 18: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:24 – 9:27)

1-592

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 21, 2004

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of an Engineering Design Services Agreement with Carter-Burgess, Inc. for design engineering services for the Cheyenne Avenue Street Rehabilitation, Rampart Boulevard to Buffalo Drive (total project \$302,040 - \$235,500 - Clark County Regional Flood Control District, \$16,000 - City of Las Vegas Annual Drainage Maintenance, \$50,540 - 17th Year Regional Transportation Commission Traffic Fund) - Ward 4 (Brown)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$302,040

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Public Works/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: RTC, CLV Annual Drainage Maintenance, 17th Year RTC Traffic Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This agreement will allow Carter-Burgess, Inc. to complete the design engineering required to perform pavement rehabilitation on Cheyenne Avenue between Rampart Boulevard and Buffalo Drive, including signal upgrades and storm drainage improvements on Soaring Gulls Drive.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Engineering Design Services Agreement

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3-17 and 19-58 – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD and MACK abstaining on Items 9 and 10 (see Items 3, 9, or 10 for full disclosures) and GOODMAN excused

Item 18: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

NOTE: Under Item 3, COUNCILMAN MACK disclosed that the locations involved in Items 39 and 46 are located near Timbers Bar & Grill locations owned by his brother-in-law, ANDREW DONNER. The locations involved in Items 14 and 47 are near SuperPawn shops owned by his brother, STEVEN MACK, for whom he does consulting. Nevertheless, COUNCILMAN MACK indicated he would be voting on these matters as his relatives have not spoken to him concerning these matters and their businesses would not be affected.

MINUTES:

There was no further discussion.

(9:24 – 9:27)

1-592

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 21, 2004

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Interlocal Agreement No.109264 between the City of Las Vegas and Las Vegas Valley Water District for the Holmby Channel Improvements, Monte Cristo Way to Rainbow Boulevard - Ward 1 (Moncrief)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Public Works/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Interlocal Agreement No.109264 allows the City of Las Vegas to modify existing water facilities, install new water facilities, and remove obsolete water facilities as necessary to complete construction of the subject project.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Interlocal Agreement No.109264

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3-17 and 19-58 – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD and MACK abstaining on Items 9 and 10 (see Items 3, 9, or 10 for full disclosures) and GOODMAN excused

Item 18: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

NOTE: Under Item 3, COUNCILMAN MACK disclosed that the locations involved in Items 39 and 46 are located near Timbers Bar & Grill locations owned by his brother-in-law, ANDREW DONNER. The locations involved in Items 14 and 47 are near SuperPawn shops owned by his brother, STEVEN MACK, for whom he does consulting. Nevertheless, COUNCILMAN MACK indicated he would be voting on these matters as his relatives have not spoken to him concerning these matters and their businesses would not be affected.

MINUTES:

There was no further discussion.

(9:24 – 9:27)

1-592

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 21, 2004

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of an Agreement to Adopt, Extend and Amend Agreement No. P450-99-010 with the Nevada Department of Transportation which will allow the Department of Transportation to design and construct road improvements at the Sahara Avenue/Teddy Drive intersection utilizing State Funds - Ward 1 (Moncrief)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Public Works/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This agreement is necessary to further delineate City of Las Vegas and the Nevada Department of Transportation responsibilities in regard to the design, right-of-way acquisition, and construction of road improvements at the Sahara Avenue/Teddy Drive intersection and to extend the time frame of the original agreement by one year. Funding for right-of-way acquisition was provided for in the previous agreements.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Agreement to Adopt, Extend and Amend Agreement No. P450-99-010

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3-17 and 19-58 – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD and MACK abstaining on Items 9 and 10 (see Items 3, 9, or 10 for full disclosures) and GOODMAN excused

Item 18: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:24 – 9:27)

1-592

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 21, 2004

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of an Encroachment Request from Church Christian Captives Free, owner (Lawry Avenue west of Lexington Street) - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Public Works/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The proposed encroachment shall consist of an approximate 4' wide area of landscaping on the north side of Lawry Avenue extending approximately 150' adjacent to the property line consisting of trees, shrubs, ground cover, and an irrigation system for Captives Free Christian Church. If approved, the applicant will be required to sign an Encroachment Agreement which has conditions of maintenance, liability, and removal.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Copy of Encroachment Exhibit "A" (Lawry Avenue west of Lexington Street)

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3-17 and 19-58 – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD and MACK abstaining on Items 9 and 10 (see Items 3, 9, or 10 for full disclosures) and GOODMAN excused

Item 18: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:24 – 9:27)

1-592

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 21, 2004

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS**DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Approval of a Sewer Connection and Interlocal Contract with Clark County Sanitation District - Southwest Engineering on behalf of Carina Corporation, owner (northeast corner of Cimarron Road and Craig Road, APN 138-04-601-015 and 138-04-601-016) - County (near Ward 4 - Brown)

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Public Works/City Engineer☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

This request is to connect 20 single family dwellings located at the northeast corner of Cimarron Road and Craig Road. The owner proposes to extend the public sewer in Valdez Street to the northern edge of the development and connect to the 12" sewer line in Craig Road. The Planning Department has determined the project does conform to the City's General Plan for the area; there is sufficient capacity in the City Sanitary Sewer. The applicant has signed a "Sewer Connection Agreement." This property is within the Clark County Interlocal Annexation Exceptions area and cannot be annexed to the City.

RECOMMENDATION:

Public Works recommends approval subject to conformance with all City codes and departmental standards and off-site improvements.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Agenda memo

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3-17 and 19-58 – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD and MACK abstaining on Items 9 and 10 (see Items 3, 9, or 10 for full disclosures) and GOODMAN excused

Item 18: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:24 – 9:27)

1-592

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 21, 2004

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of an Encroachment Request from James P. Gerner on behalf of School Board of Trustees, owner (southeast corner of Grand Teton Drive and Buffalo Drive) - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Public Works/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The proposed encroachment shall consist of an approximate 5' wide area of landscaping on the south side of Grand Teton Drive extending approximately 1,018' eastward from Buffalo Drive consisting of trees, shrubs, ground cover, and an irrigation system for the proposed Buffalo/Grand Teton High School. If approved, the applicant will be required to sign an Encroachment Agreement which has conditions of maintenance, liability, and removal.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Copy of Encroachment Exhibit "A" (southeast corner of Grand Teton Drive and Buffalo Drive)

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3-17 and 19-58 – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD and MACK abstaining on Items 9 and 10 (see Items 3, 9, or 10 for full disclosures) and GOODMAN excused

Item 18: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:24 – 9:27)

1-592

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 21, 2004

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of an Encroachment Request from Civiltec, Incorporated, on behalf of Willows Town Center, LLC, owner (southeast corner of Fort Apache Road and Bath Drive) - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Public Works/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The proposed encroachment shall consist of landscaping along the east side of Fort Apache Road extending southward from Bath Drive and on the south side of Bath Drive extending eastward from Fort Apache Road consisting of trees, shrubs, ground cover, and an irrigation system to meet Town Center Landscaping Requirements for the proposed Willows at Town Center development. If approved, the applicant will be required to sign an Encroachment Agreement which has conditions of maintenance, liability, and removal.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Copy of Encroachment Exhibit "A" (southeast corner of Fort Apache Road and Bath Drive)

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3-17 and 19-58 – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD and MACK abstaining on Items 9 and 10 (see Items 3, 9, or 10 for full disclosures) and GOODMAN excused

Item 18: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:24 – 9:27)

1-592

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 21, 2004

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RESOLUTIONS:

R-12-2004 - Approval of a Resolution Amending Schedule 28-I to Make Golf Cart Permissible Street Changes - Ward 1 (Moncrief)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Public Works/Traffic Eng.

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Clark County staff will be using golf carts to transport items to locations around the Regional Justice Center. Schedule 28-I identifies golf cart permissible streets, so these streets are being added to the Schedule.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Map
2. Resolution No. R-12-2004

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3-17 and 19-58 – **UNANIMOUS** with L.B. McDONALD and MACK abstaining on Items 9 and 10 (see Items 3, 9, or 10 for full disclosures) and GOODMAN excused

Item 18: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:24 – 9:27)

1-592

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 21, 2004

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RESOLUTIONS:

R-13-2004 - Approval of a Resolution Amending Schedule 29-II, Truck Routes, to Add Decatur Boulevard from the 215 Beltway to the North City Limits - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Public Works/Traffic Eng.

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Currently, there are no north/south truck routes designated within the city's northwest area. With the rapid development in this area and the truck traffic associated with this activity, the city is looking to concentrate the truck traffic on the major corridors and away from residential streets. The City of North Las Vegas has concurred with this designation as the east half of Decatur Boulevard lies within their jurisdiction.

RECOMMENDATION:

Traffic and Parking Commission: Approval
Staff: Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Map
2. Resolution No. R-13-2004

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3-17 and 19-58 – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD and MACK abstaining on Items 9 and 10 (see Items 3, 9, or 10 for full disclosures) and GOODMAN excused

Item 18: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:24 – 9:27)

1-592

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 21, 2004

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RESOLUTIONS:

R-14-2004 - Approval of a Resolution Amending Schedule 25-II, 35 MPH Speed Limits, to Add a Speed Limit of 35 MPH on Tenaya Way from Lake Mead Boulevard to Smoke Ranch Road - Ward 4 (Brown)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Public Works/Traffic Eng.

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Traffic studies conducted on this roadway showed that the 85th percentile speed was 39 mph. The speed limit on Tenaya Way north and south of this section of roadway is 35 mph, and this section is now fully improved. Staff recommends changing the speed limit from 25 to 35 mph.

RECOMMENDATION:

Traffic and Parking Commission: Approval

Staff: Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Map
2. Resolution No. R-14-2004

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3-17 and 19-58 – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD and MACK abstaining on Items 9 and 10 (see Items 3, 9, or 10 for full disclosures) and GOODMAN excused

Item 18: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:24 – 9:27)

1-592

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 21, 2004

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

REPORT FROM REAL ESTATE COMMITTEE - Councilman Weekly and Councilwoman Moncrief

Approval of Memorandum of Understanding #2003-07 between the City of Las Vegas (City) and the Clark County School District (District) for modular classroom usage located at 7801 West Gilmore Avenue commonly known as Molasky Middle School - Ward 4 (Brown)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Public Works/Real Estate

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of this MOU will allow the City to install a modular building on District property, which will provide various recreational programs sponsored by the City for the benefit of the children attending Molasky Middle School.

RECOMMENDATION:

The 1/20/2004 Real Estate Committee and staff recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

MOU #2003-07

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3-17 and 19-58 – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD and MACK abstaining on Items 9 and 10 (see Items 3, 9, or 10 for full disclosures) and GOODMAN excused

Item 18: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

Under Item 3, COUNCILMAN WEEKLY reported that the Real Estate Committee met to review all the Real Estate items on the Consent Agenda and joins with the recommendation of staff that each item be approved by the City Council.

There was no further discussion.

(9:24 – 9:27)

1-592

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 21, 2004

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS**DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

REPORT FROM REAL ESTATE COMMITTEE - Councilman Weekly and Councilwoman Moncrief

Approval authorizing staff to enter into negotiations with Richmond American Homes of Nevada, Inc. to purchase a portion of APN 125-08-401-004 located at Grand Teton Drive and US-95 North from the City of Las Vegas - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Public Works/Real Estate☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The City of Las Vegas purchased the parcel 12/03 in conjunction with future roadway and Rights-of-Way for the Grand Teton overpass. Richmond American Homes of Nevada, Inc. is developing a residential subdivision just north of this parcel. The parcel consists of approximately 6.23 acres. The City of Las Vegas needs approximately 2.0 acres for Right-of-Way purposes and wishes to attempt to sell the excess for housing development.

RECOMMENDATION:

The 1/20/2004 Real Estate Committee and staff recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Site map

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3-17 and 19-58 – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD and MACK abstaining on Items 9 and 10 (see Items 3, 9, or 10 for full disclosures) and GOODMAN excused

Item 18: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

Under Item 3, COUNCILMAN WEEKLY reported that the Real Estate Committee met to review all the Real Estate items on the Consent Agenda and joins with the recommendation of staff that each item be approved by the City Council.

There was no further discussion.

(9:24 – 9:27)

1-592

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 21, 2004

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS**DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

REPORT FROM REAL ESTATE COMMITTEE - Councilman Weekly and Councilwoman Moncrief

Approval of submission of a Request for Proposal for Outdoor Advertising/Billboard Program for placement of billboard signs on City owned land located on the north side of U.S. 95 west of Las Vegas Boulevard, northwest corner of Las Vegas Boulevard and Mesquite Avenue, north side of Bonanza Road east of Mojave Road, and the northwest corner of Stewart Avenue and Pecos Road, APNs 139-27-803-002, 139-34-501-003, 139-25-802-006, 139-36-604-001 - Wards 3 and 5 (Reese and Weekly)

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

It is the City of Las Vegas' aim to develop an Outdoor Advertising/Billboard Program at appropriate locations chosen by the City. The proposed locations are in compliance with current zoning & would require no variances. Each Billboard will serve to maximize the property value without disrupting any existing/future use. Within the language of the RFP, CLV will propose to lease a portion of the property to the selected Applicant under terms to be negotiated after Applicant selection. A Lease would be brought back to Council for consideration of approval, if an agreement can be reached.

RECOMMENDATION:

The 1/20/2004 Real Estate Committee and staff recommend approval of submission of a Request for Proposal (RFP)

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Request for Proposal

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3-17 and 19-58 – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD and MACK abstaining on Items 9 and 10 (see Items 3, 9, or 10 for full disclosures) and GOODMAN excused

Item 18: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 21, 2004

Consent – Real Estate

Item 58 – Approval of submission of a Request for Proposal for Outdoor Advertising/Billboard Program for placement of billboard signs on City owned land located on the north side of U.S. 95 west of Las Vegas Boulevard, northwest corner of Las Vegas Boulevard and Mesquite Avenue, north side of Bonanza Road east of Mojave Road, and the northwest corner of Stewart Avenue and Pecos Road, APNs 139-27-803-002, 139-34-501-003, 139-25-802-006, 139-36-604-001

MINUTES:

Under Item 3, COUNCILMAN WEEKLY reported that the Real Estate Committee met to review all the Real Estate items on the Consent Agenda and joins with the recommendation of staff that each item be approved by the City Council.

There was no further discussion.

(9:24 – 9:27)

1-592

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 21, 2004

DEPARTMENT: ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES**DIRECTOR: CHRISTOPHER KNIGHT** ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Report from the Nevada League of Cities and Municipalities on new initiatives

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The newly appointed Executive Director, David Fraser, will update the Council on any changes and new initiatives the Nevada League of Cities will undertake during the next biennium.

RECOMMENDATION:

Report only, no action required.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Submitted at the meeting: CED Resolution #6

MOTION:**None required. A report was given.****MINUTES:**

CHRIS KNIGHT, Director of Administrative Services, introduced DAVID FRASER to speak about the legislative process for the upcoming legislative session.

MR. FRASER thanked the Councilmembers, in particular COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD, for their active participation in the Nevada League of Cities and Municipalities. He went over the hiring process that resulted in his appointment as Executive Director. He continued and stated that one of the main functions of the League is legislative advocacy on the state and national levels for the issues that are of interest to cities and municipalities in the State of Nevada. The League is presently formulating its 2005 Legislative Agenda. In April, the City should be receiving a draft of that agenda, which was prepared with input from staff of member municipalities and the legislative committee, comprised of elected officials.

The League has gone through a period of change and transition, and it is the desire of the League Board of Directors that the League closely examine its function to see what services could be changed for the better. Consequently, a retreat of the League Board of Directors has been set for April to identify goals and formulate a strategic plan for those goals. He requested the City's input prior to that retreat so that it can be taken into consideration.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 21, 2004

Administrative Services

Item 59 - Report from the Nevada League of Cities and Municipalities on new initiatives

MINUTES – Continued:

He submitted and displayed a copy of CED Resolution #6, which COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD was very instrumental in getting passed. The object of this Resolution is to be able to acquire and develop federally owned lands for affordable housing, small business growth, and economic development. As the Resolution went through the process, delegations from Eastern states significantly criticized it, arguing that they wanted the federal government to retain federal lands because they have a lack of open space. The League and other member cities were very helpful in this endeavor, as well as some of the Western states. One of the key clauses of the Resolution gives local governments control and approval discretion, which is very important. MR. FRASER thanked COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD and the City for sponsoring the Resolution.

COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD agreed that this was a very significant Resolution. For so many years the Eastern states have dominated the National League of Cities and issues to the West have not been incorporated into the national policy of the National League. However, the Western states are gaining ground. Some very significant appointments have come about within the last month. COUNCILWOMAN MONCRIEF was appointed to the National League's Public Safety Steering Committee, which is the major policy group that will be crafting national policies related to public safety, COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD was appointed to the Steering Committee for the Community and Economic Development Committee for the National League, and City of Henderson COUNCILMAN ANDY HAFEN was appointed to a major steering committee at the national level. Nevadans are starting to attain National League leadership positions that will help formulate policy that will help Nevada and the Western states. She thanked MR. FRASER for his presentation and taking on the torch as Executive Director. MR. FRASER noted that there is a real opportunity now for the City of Las Vegas and the State of Nevada to have their voices heard at the national level.

There was no further discussion.

(9:27 – 9:43)

1-699

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 21, 2004

DEPARTMENT: ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES**DIRECTOR: CHRISTOPHER KNIGHT** ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Report and possible action on direction to staff regarding the 2004 federal action plan

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Staff will provide an outline of the 2004 Federal Legislative Agenda.

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that the City Council provide direction to staff concerning the 2004 Federal Plan.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Submitted at the meeting: six-page draft CLV 2004 Federal Legislative Agenda

MOTION:

BROWN – APPROVED the Plan, directing staff to also seek funding for economic development and infrastructure improvements in the more mature areas of the City and to work on a proposal to obtain HOPE VI funds in conjunction with the private community and the Housing Authority in order to be more competitive – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

MINUTES:

CHRIS KNIGHT, Director of Administrative Services, submitted the proposed CLV 2004 Federal Legislative Agenda. It includes Fiscal-Year 2005 federal appropriations priorities. He noted that the Agenda was developed with input from Ball Janik, the City's federal lobbyist, and input gathered from the department directors and Councilmembers through interviews with the government-relations manager. That list was compiled and reviewed by the City Manager and the department directors. MR. KNIGHT then gave an overview of the proposed agenda. He requested approval.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY supported the list. However, he stressed that he would like the City to also seek funding for economic development and infrastructure improvements in more mature areas of the City. He then questioned how priorities are set. MR. KNIGHT responded that the priorities are driven by the seven priorities the Council has adopted, plus potential for success in obtaining funding. However, he indicated that COUNCILMAN WEEKLY'S points would be added to the list.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 21, 2004

Administrative Services

Item 60 – Report and possible action on direction to staff regarding the 2004 federal action plan

MINUTES – Continued:

COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD mentioned that after speaking with ALFONSO JACKSON, Acting Secretary of Housing and Urban Development, about many of the successful national initiatives funded through the HOPE VI, she learned that the reason the City of Las Vegas has not been part of the top tier is because it has failed to work on a proposal in conjunction with the private community and the Housing Authority. The cities that have done this have been more successful. The City has to identify the partners that will help make its proposals and grant applications nationally competitive. MR. KNIGHT asserted that the City is cooperating with the Southern Nevada Regional Planning Coalition (SNRPC), which just hired a person to deal with homeless issues. Through SNRPC regional issues can be addressed and help the City be more nationally competitive. COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD suggested looking at those cities that have submitted successful applications, such as St. Louis and Portland, in an effort to recreate those types of scenarios.

There was no further discussion.

(9:43 – 9:57)

1-1268

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 21, 2004

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Discussion and possible action regarding Complaint seeking disciplinary action against MDG, Inc., d/b/a Blue Heaven Bar, 2025 East Charleston Boulevard, Las Vegas, Clark County, Nevada, for violations of Title 6 of the Las Vegas Municipal Code - Ward 3 (Reese)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

MDG, Inc., d/b/a Blue Heaven Bar, holds Tavern License No. L16-00029-4-000216. Michael Galardi owns the corporation, and is a principal in it. On August 28, 2003, an Indictment was filed with the Clerk of the United States District Court for the Southern District of California accusing Michael Galardi of numerous felonies. On September 8, 2003, Michael Galardi pled guilty to Conspiracy to Commit Wire Fraud, a felony, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, sections 371, 1343, and 1346.

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council issue the Complaint for Disciplinary Action and set a date and time certain for hearing no later than 60 days from the date of approval of the Complaint.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Proposed Complaint for Disciplinary Action
2. Submitted at the meeting: Original Affidavit of Service and Complaint for Disciplinary Action by Senior Litigation Counsel Bill Henry

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED issuing the Complaint and setting the public hearing for 2/18/2004 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

NOTE: COUNCILMAN MACK disclosed that he is a consultant for a nearby SuperPawn shop owned by his brother, STEVEN MACK, and he did not believe this item would impact his brother's shop nor has he been approached regarding this matter. Therefore, he would be voting.

NOTE: CITY ATTORNEY JERBIC disclosed that in the past he has abstained on matters concerning MR. GALARDI under the belief that attorneys who have represented CITY ATTORNEY JERBIC'S family in the past, such as GEORGE KELESIS and MARC COOK, were representing MR. GALARDI. Neither MR. KELESIS nor MR. COOK has contacted his office to confirm that they would be representing MR. GALARDI; hence, he does not believe a conflict exists. Based on this disclosure, he would be participating in this matter. But if these aforementioned facts change, he would reveal that and abstain.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 21, 2004

City Attorney

Item 61 – Discussion and possible action regarding Complaint seeking disciplinary action against MDG, Inc., d/b/a Blue Heaven Bar, 2025 East Charleston Boulevard, Las Vegas, Clark County, Nevada, for violations of Title 6 of the Las Vegas Municipal Code

MINUTES:

The applicant was not present.

On behalf of the Department of Finance and Business Services, BILL HENRY, Senior Litigation Counsel, requested that the proposed Disciplinary Complaint be approved for the reasons set forth in the backup, which include criminal conduct by MICHAEL GALARDI, who owns 100% of the business. MR. HENRY submitted an Affidavit of Service, advising MR. GALARDI of this proceeding. He requested approval of the Complaint and that the hearing be set. After the vote, MR. HENRY submitted the original Complaint to CITY CLERK RONEMUS.

There was no further discussion.

(9:57 – 10:02)

1-1806

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 21, 2004

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Discussion and possible action regarding Temporary Approval of a new Martial Arts Instruction Business License subject to the provisions of the planning and fire codes, Woods & Woods, dba Nevada WCKFC, 5000 West Oakey Boulevard, Suite D21, Frieda C. Woods and Scotty L. Woods, 100% jointly as husband and wife - Ward 1 (Moncrief)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Discussion and possible action regarding Temporary Approval of a new Martial Arts Instruction Business License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval subject to the provisions of the planning and fire codes with authority for the Director or Designee to issue a permanent license upon receipt of a favorable police report

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Agenda Memo
2. Letter from Frieda and Scott Woods
3. Map

MOTION:

MONCRIEF – APPROVED the temporary license, subject to provisions as recommended with the manager of Business Services granted the authority to approve the permanent license after completion of appropriate process – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

MINUTES:

The applicants were present.

JIM DiFIORE, Manager, Business Services, stated that the applicants met the criteria for temporary approval. He recommended approval as indicated under the Recommendation section above.

There was no further discussion.

(10:02)

1-1953

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 21, 2004

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Discussion and possible action regarding Temporary Approval of a new Martial Arts Instruction Business License subject to the provisions of the planning and fire codes, Wandering Monk, Inc., dba America's Best Karate of Las Vegas, 7501 North Cimarron Road, Suite 112, Michael R. Val, Dir, Pres, Secy, Treas, 51%, Laurie K. Val, Dir, 49% - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Discussion and possible action regarding Temporary Approval of a new Martial Arts Instruction Business License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval subject to the provisions of the planning and fire codes with authority for the Director or Designee to issue a permanent license upon receipt of a favorable police report

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Agenda Memo
2. Letter from Michael Val
3. Map

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED the temporary license, subject to provisions as recommended with the manager of Business Services granted the authority to approve the permanent license after completion of appropriate process – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

MINUTES:

The applicant was present.

JIM DiFIORE, Manager, Business Services, stated that the applicants met the criteria for temporary approval. He recommended approval as indicated under the Recommendation section above.

There was no further discussion.

(10:02 – 10:03)

1-1999

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 21, 2004

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Discussion and possible action regarding Temporary Approval of a new Massage Establishment License subject to the provisions of the planning and fire codes, Vivian Taylor & Gustavo Lopez, dba Magic Touch Day Spa, 2400 South Jones Boulevard, Suite 14, Gustavo A. Lopez and Vivian J. Taylor, 100% jointly as husband and wife - Ward 1 (Moncrief)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Discussion and possible action regarding Temporary Approval of a new Massage Establishment License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval subject to the provisions of the planning and fire codes with authority for the Director or Designee to issue a permanent license upon receipt of a favorable police report

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Agenda Memo
2. Letter from Vivian Taylor
3. Map

MOTION:

MONCRIEF – APPROVED the temporary license, subject to provisions as recommended with the manager of Business Services granted the authority to approve the permanent license after completion of appropriate process – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

MINUTES:

The applicants were present.

JIM DiFIORE, Manager, Business Services, advised that this involves an existing massage establishment. Since the massage code does not allow transfer of ownership, the applicants are seeking approval of a new massage establishment license. The applicants met the criteria for temporary approval. He recommended approval as indicated under the Recommendation section above.

There was no further discussion.

(10:03 – 10:04)

1-2038

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 21, 2004

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Discussion and possible action regarding a Six Month Review of a Hypnotist License, Alameda Ventures, LLC, dba Hypnoadvantage, LLC, 5111 Telegraph Avenue, #272, Oakland, California, Carol M. Adams, Mmbr, 88% - California

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Discussion and possible action regarding a Six Month Review of a Hypnotist License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval without further review

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Agenda Memo

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED the temporary license subject to another six-month (7/21/2004) review – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

MINUTES:

The applicant was not present.

JIM DiFIORE, Manager, Business Services, reported that six months ago the Council had concerns with the applicant not having a permanent business location in the City of Las Vegas. Her application was to be able to provide one-day seminars at hotels for weight reduction, smoking cessation, etc. However, she has not done that to date. He advised that Council had the discretion to extend the license.

DETECTIVE STACY RODD, Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department (Metro), stated that there are no complaints of misconduct and there is no operating history.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE confirmed with MR. DiFIORE that the applicant has not held any seminars locally. MR. DiFIORE had no problem with extending the license to see if the applicant actively seeks a temporary seminar license so that staff can have something on which to report.

There was no further discussion.

(10:04 – 10:07)

1-2079

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 21, 2004

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Discussion and possible action regarding an Appeal of Denial of Approval of Suitability as Key Employee of 7-Eleven of Nevada, Inc., dba 7-Eleven Food Store #27071A, 2003 North Jones Boulevard, Bryce Hansen, Mgr - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Discussion and possible action regarding an Appeal of Denial of Approval of Suitability as Key Employee

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend City Council uphold denial

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Agenda Memo
2. Letter from Bryce Hansen

MOTION:

WEEKLY – Motion to uphold the DENIAL – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

NOTE: COUNCILMAN MACK disclosed that he would be voting, even though his brother, STEVEN MACK, owns a SuperPawn shop in the vicinity and he does consulting for SuperPawn. He did not believe his brother's shop would be affected nor have they held any discussions on this matter.

MINUTES:

BRYCE HANSEN, 1344 Chestnut Lane, Boulder City, was present, accompanied by the 7-Eleven owner, BENJAMIN GODFREY.

JIM DiFIORE, Manager, Business Services, informed the Council that the director or a designee had the authority to deny the suitability application under Las Vegas Municipal Code 6.06.125. MR. HANSEN is appealing the denial based on Las Vegas Municipal Code 6.02.110.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 21, 2004

Finance and Business Services

Item 66 – Discussion and possible action regarding an Appeal of Denial of Approval of Suitability as Key Employee of 7-Eleven of Nevada, Inc., dba 7-Eleven Food Store #27071A, 2003 North Jones Boulevard, Bryce Hansen, Mgr

MINUTES – Continued:

DETECTIVE STACY RODD, Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department (Metro), reported that the confidential report details numerous violations at the subject 7-Eleven store. Metro had contact with MR. HANSEN on numerous occasions dating back to his initial investigation in 9/2003. At that time, MR. HANSEN was interviewed in conjunction with his license. The investigating detective specifically asked MR. HANSEN about his arrest history, requiring him to list and explain each arrest and conviction. MR. HANSEN admitted his arrest for two separate DUIs on 8/26/01 and 8/25/02; however, he failed to disclose that he was convicted of a hit-and-run incident with property damage, which was the initial reason for the call to Metro. The applicant was charged with minor possession of alcohol and on other dates there were more arrests related to these and other charges that the applicant failed to disclose. The applicant has a lengthy history of alcohol-related law violations, any of which have affected the citizens in the community where the incidents have occurred.

The most recent incident took place at the business where the applicant now seeks approval to be a key employee. On 8/2003, detectives conducted a site check of the business and found an employee, who had been hired three weeks earlier, transacting alcohol sales with a customer at the counter. After the transaction, the detectives requested an alcohol awareness card, a work card, and health card. The clerk stated that she had only been working there three weeks and had not been able to obtain them. Detectives requested the key employee to respond to address these issues and to place a responsible party at the store for the balance of the shift. Shortly thereafter MR. HANSEN arrived at the 7-Eleven and admitted that he had been drinking. He drove from Henderson to the 7-Eleven at Jones under the influence of alcohol. It was also determined that he was driving his girlfriend's car on a revoked driver's license.

The site check resulted in the issuance of citations to the clerk for not having the appropriate cards required for her position. MR. HANSEN was issued citations for employing the clerk without confirming that she had the appropriate cards, failure to maintain the required employee list, and for driving on a revoked driver's license.

After the citations were issued, MR. GODFREY confirmed that he had spoken with MR. HANSEN several times since the site check and had made no mention of the violations or the citations issued to the clerk and to MR. HANSEN. MR. GODFREY was also unaware that MR. HANSEN'S driving privileges had been revoked.

Metro's concerns are based on the applicant's behavior. MR. HANSEN has had six alcohol related offenses since 5/2001 in separate local jurisdictions, two of them consecutive DUIs, two for alcohol possession by a minor, one for hit-and-run damage, and most recently for driving with a revoked license.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 21, 2004

Finance and Business Services

Item 66 – Discussion and possible action regarding an Appeal of Denial of Approval of Suitability as Key Employee of 7-Eleven of Nevada, Inc., dba 7-Eleven Food Store #27071A, 2003 North Jones Boulevard, Bryce Hansen, Mgr

MINUTES – Continued:

MR. HANSEN'S decision-making ability is clouded, as demonstrated by the fact that he drove a vehicle while impaired on a revoked license in order to meet the police. His ability to effectively supervise persons who are selling alcohol has resulted in failure. Placing him in a position of responsibility over a privileged business directly contradicts the City's regulations and ordinances, which are crafted for industry and community protection. He requested the Council uphold the denial.

MR. GODFREY explained that MR. HANSEN'S alcohol problems occurred when he was under 21. As far as the incident in September 2003, even though he told Metro officers he had been drinking, he drove to the store because the officers advised him that he had to respond. He should not have driven to the store under his condition, but he was honest about it and let the officers search the vehicle. He did not misrepresent himself. MR. HANSEN allowed the young lady that had none of the required cards work because he felt sorry for her because she did not have enough money to obtain the necessary cards. MR. GODFREY stood behind MR. HANSEN and opined that he deserves a chance because he was in training and he is trying very hard.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY felt uncomfortable with approving this application, given the incidents that have occurred. He opined that MR. GODFREY, as a business owner, should have taken some responsibility. MR. HANSEN could have killed himself with his actions, and he put many people in danger. COUNCILMAN WEEKLY verified with MR. HANSEN that he has attended counseling to help him with his alcohol problem. Even though he felt that MR. HANSEN deserved an opportunity, he could not support approval of this application out of concern for the safety of innocent people.

MR. GODFREY interjected that Metro officers did not advise him of the incident until after the fact; otherwise, he would have taken the necessary steps. COUNCILMAN WEEKLY stressed that MR. GODFREY needs to hire responsible people. DETECTIVE RODD rejoined that Metro does not designate who responds to the scene and requests that the key employee or the owner respond.

COUNCILMAN BROWN requested that MR. HANSEN submit proof of the alcohol counseling he has attended to demonstrate that he is trying to be responsible.

NOTE: COUNCILMAN WEEKLY requested that Metro investigate MR. GODFREY to ensure that he is conducting business as required.

There was no further discussion.

(10:07 – 10:22)

1-2202

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 21, 2004

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Discussion and possible action regarding Contractor Qualification Policy and Procedure for On-site Public Works Projects - Department of Finance and Business Services - All Wards

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Finance and Business Services☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Council heard a presentation on the revised Contractor Qualification Plan and Application for the City's Contractor Qualification Process at the August 20, 2003 City Council meeting. Council approved the revised Application; however, it was requested that additional components be added to the revised Contractor Qualification Plan prior to approval.

The Contractor Qualification Policy and Procedure for On-site Public Works Projects has been revised to address the areas that Council requested and is attached as part of this submittal.

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve the Contractor Qualification Policy and Procedure for On-site Public Works Projects.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Contractor Qualification Policy and Procedure for On-site Public Works Projects

MOTION:

BROWN – APPROVED as recommended – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

MINUTES:

MARK VINCENT, Director, Finance and Business Services, stated that the Qualification Plan has been in place for two years. This Plan includes updates to include some of the new provisions allowed by NRS. Some of the areas that are looked at specifically are previous disqualifications pursuant to NRS 338, convictions, discrimination violations, safety programs in compliance with NRS 618, disciplinary action by the State Contractors Board, bankruptcy filings within the past five years, and failure to complete a job within the past five years.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 21, 2004

Finance and Business Services

Item 67 – Discussion and possible action regarding Contractor Qualification Policy and Procedure for On-site Public Works Projects – Department of Finance and Business Services

MINUTES – Continued:

When the Plan was initially presented Council asked if performance measures could be incorporated to measure performance on City jobs. Hence, Finance staff has been working with Public Works staff on the use of a database, but currently there is not one available that the City could rely upon that will be consistent and fair in the process. In meetings with the City Manager's office, it has been agreed that such a database could be developed and used on a future plan. He requested approval as submitted.

COUNCILMAN BROWN indicated that project management is very important, especially when it comes to time, money, and documenting contractors that have failed to perform on certain jobs. He asked MR. VINCENT if the City lacks the database to be able to document. MR. VINCENT clarified that the City does not have the database that has been applied consistently across the board to all contractors that have done work for the City of Las Vegas in the past five years. In this particular procedure, staff is relying upon complaints filed and processed by the State Contractors Board. To his understanding, Council directed that staff use firsthand measures stemming from project performance on City jobs. While there are some experiences, the database is not yet available. The goal is to establish some criteria and measurements for each City project.

COUNCILMAN BROWN referred to Paragraph No. 12 of Page 3 of the Policy Statement, and stressed that the City has to be very aggressive. It is very frustrating to the Council when some contractors, even though it may be a small percentage, take advantage of the public agency bureaucracy where policies are not clearly defined. He supported the Plan as submitted, but requested that Finance, in conjunction with the City Manager's office and Public Works, become more aggressive and make contractors responsible.

COUNCILMAN MACK shared the views of COUNCILMAN BROWN, stating that some of the poorly performing contractors that have been used on certain capital projects seem to keep coming back. He asked if most public projects are awarded to the lowest and responsible bidder. MR. VINCENT replied that the law requires award to the lowest, responsible responsive bidder. Responsive addresses responsiveness to the bid requirements. Responsible would address some of the points included in the qualification process. But award does not have to be made to the low bidder. It can award to the lowest, responsible responsive bidder. The qualification process is an attempt to eliminate the few poor performance contractors that have not completed projects, have violations, or have had their licenses revoked. Specific projects would have specific criteria. COUNCILMAN MACK noted that to him the word "responsible" means someone that has had a good track record with the City of Las Vegas.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 21, 2004

Finance and Business Services

Item 67 – Discussion and possible action regarding Contractor Qualification Policy and Procedure for On-site Public Works Projects – Department of Finance and Business Services

MINUTES – Continued:

KATHY RAINEY, Manager, Purchasing and Contracts, advised that it is going to take a few years to establish a database with the statistical data to assist in the qualification and disqualification process. However, in the interim, staff is going to review every bid individually and consider additional criteria that can be defined to only accept those bids that are responsible to the unique criteria established for that particular bid. She will be working very closely with Public Works staff to define that for each bid.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY asked if the current contractors the City deal with have been advised of the new policies so that they will understand the expectations of the City. He wants to make sure that the process is fair, which can only be achieved when all the contractors fully understand the expectations. MR. VINCENT assured COUNCILMAN WEEKLY that staff not only wants to share the criteria, but also wants to receive and share feedback.

NOTE: COUNCILMAN BROWN directed MR. VINCENT to work with the City Manager's office and Public Works on including more aggressive policies that extract poor-performing contractors and hold them accountable.

NOTE: COUNCILMAN WEEKLY directed MR. VINCENT to advise the contractors of the new policies so that they clearly know the expectations.

There was no further discussion.

(10:22 – 10:33)

1-2944

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 21, 2004

DEPARTMENT: LEISURE SERVICES**DIRECTOR: BARBARA JACKSON, DPA** ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Discussion and possible action regarding a proposed program participation fee increase
(estimated \$600,000 revenue increase - General Fund)

Fiscal Impact

<input type="checkbox"/>	No Impact	Amount: Estimated \$600,000 Revenue Increase
<input type="checkbox"/>	Budget Funds Available	Dept./Division: Recreation Division
<input type="checkbox"/>	Augmentation Required	Funding Source: General Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The Department of Leisure Services is proposing fee increases. The expected additional revenue, as a result of the proposed fee increases, will make future cost recovery possible. If approved, all increases will go into effect beginning in April, 2004.

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends approval of the fee increases as proposed.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. City Manager's Information Report
2. Proposed Fee Increases
3. Submitted after the meeting: hard copy of PowerPoint

MOTION:

BROWN – APPROVED as recommended – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

MINUTES:

DR. BARBARA JACKSON, Director, and BILLIE BASTIAN, Deputy Director, of Leisure Services were present. Using a PowerPoint document, which is made a part of the minutes, DR. JACKSON reviewed the analysis conducted for the proposed fee increases. She noted that the concept of variable pricing is not an uncommon practice. Staff has gone to another level and has specifically designated tiers for fee increases to avoid arbitrary fee increases. It is not an unprecedented move to have varying fees. She recommended approval of the proposed fees for the following programs: Safekey (across the City), aquatic passes and classes (Municipal and Pavilion Pools only), general recreation programs in tiers 1 and 2, fitness memberships in tiers 1 and 2 (specifically Mirabelli and Veterans Memorial Community Centers). Staff anticipates a \$600,000 increase in revenues because of the proposed fees, which, if approved, would become effective in the spring and be fully implemented by June 30, 2004.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 21, 2004

Leisure Services

Item 68 – Discussion and possible action regarding a proposed program participation fee increase (estimated \$600,000 revenue increase – General Fund)

MINUTES – Continued:

DR. JACKSON thanked her staff for their assistance on this proposal, specifically MS. BASTIAN, DIANE ZAMMITO, and JUANITA RIGGS.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY asked for the current and proposed increases regarding Safekey. MS. BASTIAN indicated that she could give an example, because there are many fees related to Safekey due to family related fees. The current fee for a five-day program in the afternoon from 3:00 to 6:00 p.m. is \$26, which is being requested to increase to \$30. The County and Henderson are also at \$30 for the same Safekey program.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY questioned the meaning of tiers one and two relative to recreation programs. MS. BASTIAN replied that tier one would represent a 15% increase for Johnson Community School, Veterans Memorial Leisure Service Center, Becker Community School, Molasky Community School, Cadwallader Community School, Cimarron Rose Community Center, and Lied Community School. Tier two represents a 10% increase for Charleston Heights Community School, Mirabelli Community Center, Robison Community School, Brinley Community School, and Gibson Community School. Tier three, where there is no increase being recommended, includes Clark Community School, Stupak Community Center, Baker Park Community School, Rafael Rivera Community Center, Doolittle Community Center, and West Community Center.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY thanked DR. JACKSON and her staff for the briefings. When this first came about, he was very uncomfortable, especially given the proposed tax increases by the Legislature, as well as the sewer fee increases. But he regularly frequents the recreational centers in Ward 5 and his constituents want top quality programming. He commended DR. JACKSON for her bravery in proposing these increases. He then asked if there is going to be a hardship program for those that cannot afford the increases. DR. JACKSON replied that she would be approaching the City Manager regarding a program that will enable the City to renew current scholarship funding.

COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD concurred with the comments of COUNCILMAN WEEKLY. She indicated that the audit of the Veterans Memorial Leisure Center and the Durango Center was a big eye opener for her. She realized that people are willing to pay more in different areas. She supported the proposed fee increases, stating that top recreational services are not free. The City can also look at philanthropic opportunities to assist in underwriting some of the costs.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 21, 2004

Leisure Services

Item 68 – Discussion and possible action regarding a proposed program participation fee increase (estimated \$600,000 revenue increase – General Fund)

MINUTES – Continued:

DR. JACKSON interjected that Leisure Services has always tried to be innovative, but this is a different twist from what has been done traditionally. She thanked the Council for listening to this presentation.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE opined that costing in tiers is a good approach. He looks forward to possibly increasing fees in other tiers. He thanked DR. JACKSON and her staff for a job well done.

COUNCILMAN BROWN stated that Leisure Services is on a roll. The whole department has changed significantly and it provides valuable services, for which people are willing to pay. He commended DR. JACKSON on her achievements, a great mark on the City.

AL GALLEG0, citizen of Las Vegas, requested that the hours be extended at the Municipal Pool, even to holidays. COUNCILMAN WEEKLY indicated that MARY KILLION, Aquatics Field Supervisor, is very accommodating. He suggested MR. GALLEG0 speak with her.

There was no further discussion.

(10:33 – 10:53)

1-3564/2-1

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 21, 2004

DEPARTMENT: NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES**DIRECTOR: ORLANDO SANCHEZ, ACTING** ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Discussion and possible action on awarding Community Development Programs Center of Nevada (CDPCN) \$2,000,000 in Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) funds to assist in the purchase of Emerald Breeze Apartments located at Monroe and H Street - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact

<input type="checkbox"/>	No Impact	Amount: \$2,000,000
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Budget Funds Available	Dept./Division: Neigh. Svcs./Neigh. Devel.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Augmentation Required	Funding Source: CDBG

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

CDPCN has requested the city's assistance with CDBG funds to purchase this property and take over its management. The property is adjacent to a new CDPCN construction project and will greatly benefit the surrounding community.

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends approval to award the funding and authorization of the Mayor to execute the Agreement with Community Development Programs Center of Nevada after it has been approved by the City Attorney.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. December 22, 2003 Letter from Community Development Programs Center of Nevada
2. Submitted at the meeting: hard copy of PowerPoint on proposed Evergreen Granny Senior Apartments and Emerald Green Apartments

MOTION:

WEEKLY – APPROVED as recommended – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

MINUTES:

ORLANDO SANCHEZ, Acting Director, Neighborhood Services, advised that the projects under Items 69 and 70 are part of an approach to take the neighborhoods and to further the City's efforts to provide affordable housing to all sections of the community. Emerald Breeze is a housing project that has been in the City for a number of years. The property was recently offered for sale, and FRANK HAWKINS, Community Development Programs Center of Nevada, saw the opportunity to purchase it and have local ownership and management. Acquiring this property will be advantageous because Emerald Breeze is located next to Evergreen Granny Apartments, the first prototype development in the State of Nevada that addresses affordable housing for grandparents and/or great grandparents who have custody of their grand or great grandchildren.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 21, 2004

Neighborhood Services

Item 69 – Discussion and possible action on awarding Community Development Programs Center of Nevada (CDPCN) \$2,000,000 in Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) funds to assist in the purchase of Emerald Breeze Apartments located at Monroe and H Street

MINUTES – Continued:

The City's role will be to provide gap funding to make the project pencil out and to ensure that the federal funding is spent in accordance with federal guidelines and regulations. Neighborhood Services staff will be involved in the projects for the next 20 years to ensure that all federal requirements are being met. He noted that this endeavor is a prime example of what can be accomplished through public/private partnerships to improve the quality of life for City residents.

MR. HAWKINS thanked the Council for its past support of other affordable housing projects he has done in the City, and then requested the Council's support of Items 69 and 70. He then indicated that the Granny project is a first for Nevada; in fact, only one other similar project has been done in Baltimore, Maryland. SENATOR HARRY REID is pushing in Washington D.C. for this type of project to be done across the country. The concept of grandparents having custody of their grandchildren is common, but it is sometimes difficult for them to provide a living environment. The Evergreen Granny project will facilitate that for many grandparents.

The Emerald Breeze property carries some issues, and MR. HAWKINS would like to acquire it because it is located adjacent to the Evergreen Granny property; thus, allowing him to improve the Emerald Breeze property while managing both properties.

Using a PowerPoint presentation, which is made a part of these minutes, MR. HAWKINS then reviewed the elevations of the Evergreen Granny project and some of its amenities, some of his other affordable housing projects that have been completed or are under construction, and his mission statement. He noted that he is very proud of his affordable housing developments because he has consistently provided quality affordable units. He thanked the City for its part in helping him accomplish these developments.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE and COUNCILMEMBERS BROWN, L.B. McDONALD, MACK, and WEEKLY expressed their utmost respect and gratitude to MR. HAWKINS for continuing the vision he had as Councilman of improving the inner-City areas. He has a proven track record of success.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY said that Emerald Breeze, formerly Madison Terrace, will always be known as Madison Terrace and it is a mess and has been for a very long time. The condition of the property is due to an out-of-state landlord, who has no respect for the community in which he does business. Out-of-state landlords have led to the demise of many areas in the City. He truly feels blessed that MR. HAWKINS is taking over the Emerald Breeze and Evergreen Granny properties. He thanked FAYE JOHNSON and SUE PRESCOTT of Neighborhood Services for their assistance in bringing these projects to fruition.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 21, 2004

Neighborhood Services

Item 69 – Discussion and possible action on awarding Community Development Programs Center of Nevada (CDPCN) \$2,000,000 in Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) funds to assist in the purchase of Emerald Breeze Apartments located at Monroe and H Street

MINUTES – Continued:

See Item 70 for related discussion.

(10:53 – 11:08)

2-568

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 21, 2004

DEPARTMENT: NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES**DIRECTOR: ORLANDO SANCHEZ, ACTING** ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Discussion and possible action on reprogramming \$2,000,000 in HOME/LIHTF funds from various sources to the Community Development Programs Center of Nevada (CDPCN) Evergreen Granny Apartments located at Monroe and J Street for soft costs and construction for an aggregate total of \$2,250,000 in HOME/LIHTF on this project - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact

<input type="checkbox"/>	No Impact	Amount: \$2,000,000
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Budget Funds Available	Dept./Division: Neigh. Svcs./Neigh. Devel.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Augmentation Required	Funding Source: HOME/LIHTF

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

CDPCN has requested an additional \$2,000,000 in HOME/LIHTF for its Evergreen Granny Apartments. The original \$250,000 in HOME/LIHTF was approved by City Council April 5, 2000. The Evergreen Granny Apartments is a 100 unit rental housing project for grandparents and/or great grandparents who have custody of their grand or great-grand children. The number of HOME units will be determined at the time the agreement is signed and in accordance with all required federal regulations.

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends that the City Council approve this reprogramming of funds and authorizes the Mayor to execute the Agreement with CDPCN after it has been approved by the City Attorney.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. December 22, 2003 Letter from Community Development Programs Center of Nevada
2. Evergreen Family Housing Construction Funding
3. Submitted at the meeting: hard copy of PowerPoint on proposed Evergreen Granny Senior Apartments and Emerald Green Apartments (filed under Item 69)

MOTION:

WEEKLY – APPROVED as recommended – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

MINUTES:

ORLANDO SANCHEZ, Acting Director, Neighborhood Services, and FRANK HAWKINS, Community Development Programs Center of Nevada, were present.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY thanked MR. SANCHEZ for stepping in and supporting this endeavor. MR. SANCHEZ extended his gratitude to FAYE JOHNSON and SUE PRESCOTT of Neighborhood Services, as well as to FRANK REYNOLDS who did the graphics.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 21, 2004

Neighborhood Services

Item 70 – Discussion and possible action on reprogramming \$2,000,000 in HOME/LIHTF funds from various sources to the Community Development Programs Center of Nevada (CDPCN) Evergreen Granny Apartments located at Monroe and J Street for soft costs and construction for an aggregate total of \$2,250,000 in HOME/LIHTF on this project

MINUTES – Continued:

See Item 69 for other related discussion.

(11:08 – 11:09)

2-1102

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 21, 2004

DEPARTMENT: CITY MANAGER'S OFFICE**DIRECTOR: ELIZABETH FRETWELL**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:****BOARDS & COMMISSIONS:**

Discussion and possible action on the appointment by the City Council of a new Board of Directors member on the Commission for the Las Vegas Centennial

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

On May 7, 2003, the Las Vegas City Council approved the creation of the Commission of the Las Vegas Centennial, a Nevada non-profit corporation. The current Bylaws authorize the appointment of additional Directors to the Commission by the Las Vegas City Council.

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that Clark County Commissioner Bruce Woodbury be appointed as a Director on the Commission for the Las Vegas Centennial.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPOINT Clark County Commissioner Bruce Woodbury – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

Clerk to notify

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE noted that COMMISSIONER WOODBURY is a wonderful addition that will enhance the celebration.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY mentioned that STACY ALLSBROOK, Leisure Services, had done a great job in handling all the details associated with the centennial celebration.

There was no further discussion.

(11:09 – 11:10)

2-1127

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 21, 2004

DEPARTMENT: ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES**DIRECTOR: CHRISTOPHER KNIGHT** ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:****BOARDS AND COMMISSIONS:**

Discussion and possible action to appoint members from City Council to serve on the Nevada League of Cities Board of Directors and Legislative Committee

Fiscal Impact

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No Impact	Amount:
<input type="checkbox"/>	Budget Funds Available	Dept./Division:
<input type="checkbox"/>	Augmentation Required	Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The Nevada League of Cities and Municipalities coordinates legislative activities among the municipal governments in Nevada. They assist members by providing insurance, communications, bi-monthly newsletter, legislative updates, and other research data. In addition, the League works closely with the National League of Cities and national, state, and local organizations for the betterment of communities and the State of Nevada.

The Board of Directors is composed of the President, 1st Vice President, 2nd Vice President, 3rd Vice President, Secretary/Treasurer, Past President and one director appointed by each member incorporated city. The Legislative Committee is comprised of one member of each municipality to assist in developing the League's strategy for each legislative year.

RECOMMENDATION:

Appoint members of Council to the Nevada League of Cities Board of Directors and Legislative Committee.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

BROWN – Motion to bring forward and STRIKE Item Nos. 18 and 72 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(9:23)
1-543

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 21, 2004

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT THIS MEETING:

Bill No. 2003-107 – Annexation No. A-0017-02 (A) – Property location: On the southeast corner of Rainbow Boulevard and Atwood Avenue; Petitioned by: Dr. Carol Barlow; Acreage: 1.18 acres; Zoned: C-P (County zoning), O (City equivalent). Sponsored by: Councilman Michael Mack

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the southeast corner of Rainbow Boulevard and Atwood Avenue. The annexation is at the request of the property owner. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (January 30, 2004) is set by this ordinance.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 1/21/2004 City Council meeting pursuant to the 1/5/2004 Recommending Committee.

First Reading – 12/17/2003; First Publication – 1/9/2004

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2003-107 and Location Map

MOTION:

WEEKLY – Second Reading and BILL ADOPTED as recommended as Ordinance No. 5658 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

NOTE: COUNCILMAN MACK disclosed that he does consulting for a nearby SuperPawn shop owned by his brother, STEVEN MACK, who has not spoken with him regarding this Bill. He does not believe it will impact his brother's business; therefore, he would be voting.

Clerk to proceed with second publication

MINUTES:

There was no further discussion.

(11:10 – 11:11)

2-1182

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 21, 2004

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT THIS MEETING:

Bill No. 2003-108 – Annexation No. ANX-3103 – Property location: On the southwest corner of Coke Street and Horse Drive; Petitioned by: Coke Maggie LLC; Acreage: 5.94 acres; Zoned: R-E (County zoning), U (RNP) (City equivalent). Sponsored by: Councilman Michael Mack

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the southwest corner of Coke Street and Horse Drive. The annexation is at the request of the property owner. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (January 30, 2004) is set by this ordinance.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 1/21/2004 City Council meeting pursuant to the 1/5/2004 Recommending Committee.

First Reading – 12/17/2003; First Publication – 1/9/2004

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2003-108 and Location Map

MOTION:

WEEKLY – Second Reading and BILL ADOPTED as recommended as Ordinance No. 5659 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

Clerk to proceed with second publication

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(11:11 – 11:12)

2-1208

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 21, 2004

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT THIS MEETING:

Bill No. 2003-109 – Requires mobile food vendors to attach a City issued identification number to their vending vehicle, and conditions their operations within one thousand feet of a licensed concession stand located in a City park. Proposed by: Mark Vincent, Director of Finance and Business Services

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

This bill will prohibit a mobile food vendor from operating within one thousand feet of a licensed concession stand located in a City park when the concession stand is open for business. Additionally, this bill prohibits the transfer of a mobile food vendor license, and requires that the identification number assigned by the City to a mobile food vendor vehicle be displayed in such a manner on the rear, upper left corner area of the vehicle that it can be easily read from a distance of ten feet.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 1/21/2004 City Council meeting pursuant to the 1/5/2004 Recommending Committee.

First Reading – 12/17/2003; First Publication – 1/9/2004

[BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:](#)

Bill No. 2003-109

MOTION:

WEEKLY – Second Reading and BILL ADOPTED as a First Amendment as Ordinance No. 5660 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

Clerk to proceed with second publication

MINUTES:

JIM DiFIORE, Manager, Business Services, advised that this bill includes a provision that prohibits vending within 1,000 feet of a concession stand located at a City park when the concession stand is open for business. He received feedback that this is too restrictive; therefore, he offered an amendment to add the language: unless otherwise permitted under a contractual arrangement with the City regarding a specific location.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 21, 2004
Recommending Committee
Item No. 75 – Bill No. 2003-109

MINUTES – Continued:

COUNCILMAN MACK appreciated the amendment, stating that the restriction might be too burdensome. However, there are a lot of non-profit sports leagues that generate revenues from concession sales. He would not like to hinder their sales with this amendment. He asked MR. DiFIORE if vendors would not be allowed within 1,500 feet of the concession stands if open. MR. DiFIORE responded in the affirmative, adding that it would only be allowed in cases where Leisure Services draws up a contract with the vendor to work in compatibility with the non-profit league.

There was no further discussion.

(11:12 – 11:14)

2-1229

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 21, 2004

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT THIS MEETING:

Bill No. 2003-110 – Imposes a new requirement for the display of ice cream truck business licenses, prohibits the transfer of such licenses, repeals the requirement that ice cream trucks be inspected annually by the City, and conditions their operations within one thousand feet of a licensed concession stand located in a City park. Proposed by: Mark Vincent, Director of Finance and Business Services

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Currently, an ice cream truck (vehicle) must be inspected annually by the City in addition to meeting Health District certification requirements. This bill repeals the City's annual inspection requirement. This bill prohibits a vehicle's operation within one thousand feet of a licensed concession stand in a City park when the concession stand is open for business, its operation at the same location more than once a day, and its operation for longer than thirty minutes at any one location. The vehicle's City identification number must be readable from ten feet.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 1/21/2004 City Council meeting pursuant to the 1/5/2004 Recommending Committee.

First Reading – 12/17/2003; First Publication – 1/9/2004

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2003-110

MOTION:

WEEKLY – Second Reading and BILL ADOPTED as a First Amendment as Ordinance No. 5661 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

Clerk to proceed with second publication

MINUTES:

JIM DiFIORE, Manager, Business Services, requested the same amendment suggested for Bill 2003-109 under Item 75.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 21, 2004
Recommending Committee
Item 76 – Bill No. 2003-110

MINUTES - Continued:

See Item 75 for related discussion.

(11:14)
2-1294

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 21, 2004

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT THIS MEETING:

Bill No. 2003-111 – Allows mixed-use developments by means of special use permit throughout the Neighborhood Revitalization Area established by the Las Vegas 2020 Master Plan. Proposed by: Robert S. Genzer, Director of Planning and Development

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The City's zoning regulations currently allow mixed-use developments by means of special use permit within the Downtown Redevelopment Area. This bill will expand the number of potential locations for this type of development, providing that the use may be allowed by means of special use permit throughout the larger Neighborhood Revitalization Area, as established by the Las Vegas 2020 Master Plan.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 1/21/2004 City Council meeting pursuant to the 1/5/2004 Recommending Committee.

First Reading – 12/17/2003; First Publication – 1/9/2004

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2003-111

MOTION:

WEEKLY – Second Reading and BILL ADOPTED as recommended as Ordinance No. 5662 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

Clerk to proceed with second publication

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(11:14 – 11:15)

2-1323

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 21, 2004

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT THIS MEETING:

Bill No. 2003-112 – Establishes the circumstances under which the use “temporary real estate sales office” may be permitted as a conditional use in various commercial and industrial districts. Proposed by: Robert S. Genzer, Director of Planning and Development

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Temporary real estate sales offices currently are permitted to be located within and in connection with traditional subdivision developments, but there is no provision for the use in commercial and industrial districts. This bill establishes the parameters for the use in those districts.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 1/21/2004 City Council meeting pursuant to the 1/5/2004 Recommending Committee.

First Reading – 12/17/2003; First Publication – 1/9/2004

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2003-112

MOTION:

WEEKLY – Second Reading and BILL ADOPTED as recommended as Ordinance No. 5663 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

Clerk to proceed with second publication

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(11:15)
2-1340

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 21, 2004

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT THIS MEETING:

Bill No. 2004-3 – Adjusts the qualifications for membership on the Child Care Licensing Board.
Proposed by: Mark Vincent, Director of Finance and Business Services

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Presently, the membership of the Child Care Licensing Board must include at least one but not more than two members who have a present or past affiliation with the child care industry. This bill will amend the current ordinance to provide that at least one but not more than three members must be current owners or operators of licensed facilities. The bill provides for continuity and flexibility regarding the situation where a board member currently affiliated with the industry no longer has that affiliation.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 1/21/2004 City Council meeting pursuant to the 1/20/2004 Recommending Committee.

First Reading – 1/7/2004; First Publication – 1/10/2004

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2004-3

MOTION:

WEEKLY – Second Reading and BILL ADOPTED as recommended as Ordinance No. 5664 – **UNANIMOUS** with GOODMAN excused

Clerk to proceed with second publication

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(11:15 – 11:16)

2-1353

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 21, 2004

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT A LATER MEETING:

Bill No. 2003-103 – Prohibits the use of residential streets for the test-driving of vehicles offered for sale or lease by a vehicle dealership. Sponsored by: Councilwoman Janet Moncrief and Mayor Oscar B. Goodman

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

In some areas of the City, customers and employees of vehicle dealerships use nearby residential streets for the test-driving of vehicles that are being offered for sale or lease. This bill will generally prohibit that practice and, in addition to traditional enforcement tools, will provide that violations by dealership personnel may result in license disciplinary action.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 2/4/2004 City Council meeting as First Amendment pursuant to the 1/20/2004 Recommending Committee.

First Reading – 1/7/2004; First Publication – 1/23/2004

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

Recommendation noted.

2/4/2004 Council Agenda

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 21, 2004

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT A LATER MEETING:

Bill No. 2004-1 – Annexation No. ANX-3344 – Property location: On the west side of Ferrell Street, 200 feet south of Holly Avenue; Petitioned by: Holly Ferrell, LLC; Acreage: 1.50 acres; Zoned: R-E (County zoning), R-E (City equivalent). Sponsored by: Councilman Lawrence Weekly

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the west side of Ferrell Street, 200 feet south of Holly Avenue. The annexation is at the request of the property owner. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (February 13, 2004) is set by this ordinance.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 2/4/2004 City Council meeting pursuant to the 1/20/2004 Recommending Committee.

First Reading – 1/7/2004; First Publication – 1/23/2004

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

Recommendation noted.

2/4/2004 Council Agenda

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 21, 2004

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT A LATER MEETING:

Bill No. 2004-2 – Adopts as the City's Fire Code the NFPA 1, Uniform Fire Code, 2003 Edition, together with a Supplemental Document pertaining thereto. Proposed by: David L. Washington, Chief, Department of Fire and Rescue

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This bill will adopt as the City's Fire Code the NFPA 1, Uniform Fire Code, 2003 Edition, together with a Supplemental Document pertaining thereto. This code, promulgated by the National Fire Protection Association, will replace the 1997 Edition of the Uniform Fire Code, promulgated by the International Fire Code Institute, together with certain of its appendices and a corresponding Supplemental Document.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 2/4/2004 City Council meeting as First Amendment pursuant to the 1/20/2004 Recommending Committee.

First Reading – 1/7/2004; First Publication – 1/23/2004

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

Recommendation noted.

2/4/2004 Council Agenda

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 21, 2004

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT A LATER MEETING:

Bill No. Z-2004-1 – Amends the City’s Official Zoning Map Atlas by changing the zoning designations of certain parcels of land (nonresidential). Proposed by: Robert S. Genzer, Director of Planning and Development

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This bill will amend the zoning map to change the zoning designations of approximately 900 parcels. The rezoning of these parcels has already been approved by the City Council. This bill merely formalizes the rezoning by ordinance.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 2/4/2004 City Council meeting pursuant to the 1/20/2004 Recommending Committee.

First Reading – 1/7/2004; First Publication – 1/23/2004

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

Recommendation noted.

2/4/2004 Council Agenda

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 21, 2004

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT A LATER MEETING:

Bill No. Z-2004-2 – Amends the City’s Official Zoning Map Atlas by changing the zoning designations of certain parcels of land (residential). Proposed by: Robert S. Genzer, Director of Planning and Development

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This bill will amend the zoning map to change the zoning designations of approximately 3,600 parcels. The rezoning of these parcels has already been approved by the City Council. This bill merely formalizes the rezoning by ordinance.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 2/4/2004 City Council meeting pursuant to the 1/20/2004 Recommending Committee.

First Reading – 1/7/2004; First Publication – 1/23/2004

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

Recommendation noted.

2/4/2004 Council Agenda

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 21, 2004

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

NEW BILL:

Bill No. 2004-4 – Confirms the annexation of various parcels described generally as located north of Centennial Parkway, east of Puli Road, south of Grand Teton Drive and west of Hualapai Way. Proposed by: Bradford R. Jerbic, City Attorney

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The proposed ordinance confirms the annexation of certain real property located north of Centennial Parkway, east of Puli Road, south of Grand Teton Drive and west of Hualapai Way. The annexation previously was approved by means of Bill No. 2003-99 (Ordinance No. 5651), but the ordinance and annexation map were recorded after the recordation date established in Ordinance No. 5651. This bill will confirm the annexation as previously approved and recorded.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2004-4

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

First Reading – Referred – COUNCILMEMBERS WEEKLY and MONCRIEF

2/2/2004 Recommending Committee

2/4/2004 Council Agenda

(11:16)

2-1375

THE MORNING SESSION RECESSED AT 11:16 A.M.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 21, 2004

DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK

DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS ☐ **CONSENT** ☐ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

Any items from the afternoon session that the Council, staff and/or the applicant wish to be stricken or held in abeyance to a future meeting may be brought forward and acted upon at this time

MOTION:

BROWN – Motion to TABLE Item 112 [SUP-2848], accept the WITHDRAWAL WITHOUT PREJUDICE of Item 118 [SUP-3343] and to HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 115 [SUP-3315] to 2/4/2004 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE excused MAYOR GOODMAN, CITY MANAGER DOUG SELBY and DEPUTY CITY MANAGER BETSY FRETWELL as they were attending the U.S. Conference of Mayors in Washington D.C.

COUNCILMAN MACK requested that Item 115 [SUP-3315] be held to the 2/4/2004 City Council meeting so that the applicant can meet with the neighbors to work out any outstanding issues.

There was no further discussion.

(1:04 – 1:07)

3-1

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 21, 2004

DEPARTMENT: NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES**DIRECTOR: ORLANDO SANCHEZ-ACTING** ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Public hearing to consider the report of expenses to recover costs for abatement of a dangerous building located at 1933 E. Oakey Blvd. PROPERTY OWNER: RUBEN AND CONSUELO L. GUTIERREZ – Ward 3 (Reese)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$2,129.35☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Neighborhood Services/Response☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** General Fund**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The condition of the property was a public hazard and an attractive nuisance. The Department of Neighborhood Services declared the property in violation and started legal notification. When no corrective action was taken nor an appeal filed, KO Construction, Inc. was hired to abate the problem by removing all furniture, clothing, appliances, household and miscellaneous items, trash, debris, flat bed trailer, and high/dry/dead vegetation; securing and boarding the house; padlocking the security gates to the house and driveway; and by posting “No Trespassing” signs on all entrances.

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council: 1. Approve the report of expenses in the amount of \$2,129.35 in order that the above charges be filed and recorded against the property, constituting a special assessment and lien. 2. Authorize that the Notice and Lien of Assessment be duly recorded with the County Treas.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Agenda Memo
2. Location Map
3. Report of Expenses
4. Contractor Disclosure
5. Notice of Public Hearing
6. Chronological List of Events
7. Copy of the Notice and Claim of Lien
8. Video shown but not submitted

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED the action of Neighborhood Services - UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 21, 2004
Neighborhood Services Department
Item 87 – 1933 E. Oakey Blvd

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

DAVID SEMENZA, Manager of Neighborhood Response, advised that the property was declared a public hazard and an attractive nuisance. Vagrants were living in the vacant building. The Department of Neighborhood Services declared the property in violation and started legal notification. When no corrective action was taken or an appeal filed, the Department of Neighborhood Services hired the low bidder, KO Construction, to abate the problem. The subject property was cleaned and secured. MR. SEMENZA recommended the City Council approve the report of expenses in the amount of \$2,129.35 in order that charges be filed and recorded against the property constituting a special assessment and lien, as well as authorize the Notice and Lien of Assessment to be duly recorded with the County Treasurer's Office.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE pointed out that a tremendous improvement has been made at this location.

The property owner was not present.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

(1:07 – 1:09)

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 21, 2004

DEPARTMENT: NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES**DIRECTOR: ORLANDO SANCHEZ-ACTING** ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Public hearing to consider the report of expenses to recover costs for abatement of a dangerous building located at 7628 Shore Haven Dr. PROPERTY OWNER: DANIEL MARTIN – Ward 4 (Brown)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$1,883.25☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Neighborhood Services/Response☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** General Fund**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The condition of the property was a public hazard and an attractive nuisance. The Department of Neighborhood Services declared the property in violation and started legal notification. When no corrective action was taken nor an appeal filed, Weaver Construction was hired to abate the problem by removing the vehicle in driveway, all trash, debris, outside storage, and high/dry/dead vegetation; and by securing and boarding the rear patio door.

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council: 1. Approve the report of expenses in the amount of \$1,883.25 in order that the above charges be filed and recorded against the property, constituting a special assessment and lien. 2. Authorize that the Notice and Lien of Assessment be duly recorded with the County Treas.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Agenda Memo
2. Location Map
3. Report of Expenses
4. Contractor Disclosure
5. Notice of Public Hearing
6. Chronological List of Events
7. Copy of the Notice and Claim of Lien
8. Video shown but not submitted

MOTION:

BROWN – APPROVED the action of Neighborhood Services - UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 21, 2004
Neighborhood Services Department
Item 88 – 7628 Shore Haven Dr

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

DAVID SEMENZA, Manager of Neighborhood Response, advised that trash and debris was in front of the vacant property with an unregistered vehicle in the driveway. Notice and Order was sent to clean the property. When no corrective action was taken or an appeal filed, the Department of Neighborhood Services hired the low bidder, Weaver Construction, to abate the problem. MR. SEMENZA recommended the City Council approve the report of expenses in the amount of \$1,883.25 in order that charges be filed and recorded against the property constituting a special assessment and lien, as well as authorize the Notice and Lien of Assessment to be duly recorded with the County Treasurer's Office.

The property owner was not present.

COUNCILMAN MACK asked if the City ever acquired any abated properties. MR. SEMENZA replied that the City has never taken any property. If the property has any delinquent liens, the County takes over the property and then puts it out to bid.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

(1:09 – 1:11)

3-153

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 21, 2004

DEPARTMENT: NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES**DIRECTOR: ORLANDO SANCHEZ-ACTING** ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Public hearing to consider the report of expenses to recover costs for abatement of a dangerous building located at 204 W. Monroe Ave. PROPERTY OWNER: JANICE ARBULU – Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$1,899.35☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Neighborhood Services/Response☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** General Fund**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The condition of the property was a public hazard and an attractive nuisance. The Department of Neighborhood Services declared the property in violation and started legal notification. When no corrective action was taken nor an appeal filed, KO Construction, Inc. was hired to abate the problem by removing all trash, debris, broken glass, miscellaneous items, and high/dry/dead vegetation; securing and boarding the front and rear buildings; securing all gates and security doors; and by posting “No Trespassing” signs on the property.

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council: 1. Approve the report of expenses in the amount of \$1,899.35 in order that the above charges be filed and recorded against the property, constituting a special assessment and lien. 2. Authorize that the Notice and Lien of Assessment be duly recorded with the County Treas.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Agenda Memo
2. Location Map
3. Report of Expenses
4. Contractor Disclosure
5. Notice of Public Hearing
6. Chronological List of Events
7. Copy of the Notice and Claim of Lien
8. Video shown but not submitted

MOTION:

WEEKLY – APPROVED the action of Neighborhood Services - UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 21, 2004
Neighborhood Services Department
Item 89 – 204 W. Monroe Ave

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

DAVID SEMENZA, Manager of Neighborhood Response, advised that the vacant property was a public hazard and an attractive nuisance. The Department of Neighborhood Services declared the property in violation and started legal notification. When no corrective action was taken or an appeal filed, the Department of Neighborhood Services hired the low bidder, KO Construction, to abate the problem. The subject property was cleaned and boarded. MR. SEMENZA recommended the City Council approve the report of expenses in the amount of \$1,899.35 in order that charges be filed and recorded against the property constituting a special assessment and lien, as well as authorize the Notice and Lien of Assessment to be duly recorded with the County Treasurer's Office.

The property owner was not present.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

(1:11 – 1:12)

3-208

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 21, 2004

DEPARTMENT: NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES**DIRECTOR: ORLANDO SANCHEZ-ACTING** ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Public hearing to consider the report of expenses to recover costs for abatement of a dangerous building located at 704 W. Wilson Ave. PROPERTY OWNER: KARL EDWARD BUTLER – Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$2,819.35☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Neighborhood Services/Response☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** General Fund**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The condition of the property was a public hazard and an attractive nuisance. The Department of Neighborhood Services declared the property in violation and started legal notification. When no corrective action was taken nor an appeal filed, KO Construction, Inc. was hired to abate the problem by removing all trash, debris, and miscellaneous items; all high/dry/dead vegetation; securing and boarding all doors, windows and openings to the main building and to the rear accessory building; and by posting “No Trespassing” signs on the property.

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council: 1. Approve the report of expenses in the amount of \$2,819.35 in order that the above charges be filed and recorded against the property, constituting a special assessment and lien. 2. Authorize that the Notice and Lien of Assessment be duly recorded with the County Treas.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Agenda Memo
2. Location Map
3. Report of Expenses
4. Contractor Disclosure
5. Notice of Public Hearing
6. Chronological List of Events
7. Copy of the Notice and Claim of Lien
8. Video shown but not submitted

MOTION:

WEEKLY – APPROVED the action of Neighborhood Services - UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 21, 2004
Neighborhood Services Department
Item 90 – 704 W. Wilson Ave

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

DAVID SEMENZA, Manager of Neighborhood Response, advised that several calls were received from the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department about people living in the vacant property and about it being a crack house. The Department of Neighborhood Services declared the property in violation and started legal notification. When no corrective action was taken or an appeal filed, the Department of Neighborhood Services hired the low bidder, KO Construction, to abate the problem. The subject property was cleaned and boarded to City standards. MR. SEMENZA recommended the City Council approve the report of expenses in the amount of \$2,819.35 in order that charges be filed and recorded against the property constituting a special assessment and lien, as well as authorize the Notice and Lien of Assessment to be duly recorded with the County Treasurer's Office.

The property owner was not present.

DAVE HAUGNESS, 1511 Main Street, referred to an article in the newspaper indicating that the City might be taking over these properties. He suggested that the City use unemployed people to clean these properties. MAYOR PRO TEM REESE clarified that these properties are not the ones mentioned in the newspaper.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

(1:12 – 1:14)

3-245

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 21, 2004

DEPARTMENT: NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES**DIRECTOR: ORLANDO SANCHEZ-ACTING** ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Public hearing to consider the report of expenses to recover costs for abatement of a dangerous building located at 4404 W. Edward Ave. PROPERTY OWNER: THOMAS F. AND JENNIFER MCCORKLE – Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$1,784.35☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Neighborhood Services/Response☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** General Fund**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The condition of the property was a public hazard and an attractive nuisance. The Department of Neighborhood Services declared the property in violation and started legal notification. When no corrective action was taken nor an appeal filed, KO Construction, Inc. was hired to abate the problem by removing all trash, debris and junk; all high/dry/dead vegetation; securing and boarding all doors, windows and openings to the property, and the rear block wall which is missing a gate; and by posting “No Trespassing” signs on the property.

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council: 1. Approve the report of expenses in the amount of \$1,784.35 in order that the above charges be filed and recorded against the property, constituting a special assessment and lien. 2. Authorize that the Notice and Lien of Assessment be duly recorded with the County Treas.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Agenda Memo
2. Location Map
3. Report of Expenses
4. Contractor Disclosure
5. Notice of Public Hearing
6. Chronological List of Events
7. Copy of the Notice and Claim of Lien
8. Video shown but not submitted

MOTION:

WEEKLY – APPROVED the action of Neighborhood Services - UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 21, 2004
Neighborhood Services Department
Item 91 – 4404 W. Edward Ave

NOTE: Subsequent to the meeting it was clarified that the lien amount of \$1,092.50 and Weaver Construction were incorrect as read. The correct amount is \$1,784.35 and the construction company is KO Construction, as indicated on this agenda summary page backup document.

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

DAVID SEMENZA, Manager of Neighborhood Response, advised that the vacant building was a public hazard and an attractive nuisance. The Department of Neighborhood Services declared the property in violation and started legal notification. When no corrective action was taken or an appeal filed, the Department of Neighborhood Services hired the low bidder, KO Construction, to abate the problem. MR. SEMENZA recommended the City Council approve the report of expenses in the amount of \$1,784.35 in order that charges be filed and recorded against the property constituting a special assessment and lien, as well as authorize the Notice and Lien of Assessment to be duly recorded with the County Treasurer's Office.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY indicated that the last three items deal with properties located in Ward 5. He asked how many are owned by out-of-state landlords. MR. SEMENZA did not have that information available. COUNCILMAN WEEKLY asked that that information be provided. Most of the mature areas of the Valley suffer from this blight because of out-of-state landlords who have no respect or regards for the areas where they own property. Regarding the newspaper article, COUNCILMAN WEEKLY noted that the Mayor would like to see the City take ownership of these properties, but a procedure needs to be followed before they could be obtained. He indicated that last year an ordinance was introduced limiting the time these properties remain boarded.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE directed DEPUTY CITY MANAGER STEVE HOUCHENS to place an item on the agenda to discuss out of state and in state property owners.

The property owner was not present.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

(1:14 – 1:18)

3-314

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 21, 2004

DEPARTMENT: NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES**DIRECTOR: ORLANDO SANCHEZ-ACTING** ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Public hearing to consider the report of expenses to recover costs for abatement of a dangerous building located at 5813 Churchill Street. PROPERTY OWNER: DEMETRIO MEDRANO – Ward 1 (Moncrief)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$1,092.50☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Neighborhood Services/Response☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** General Fund**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The condition of the property was a public hazard and an attractive nuisance. The Department of Neighborhood Services declared the property in violation and started legal notification. When no corrective action was taken nor an appeal filed, Weaver Construction was hired to abate the problem by removing all furniture, clothing, appliances, household and miscellaneous items, trash, debris, flatbed trailer, and high/dry/dead vegetation; securing and boarding the house; padlocking the security gates to the house and driveway; and by posting “No Trespassing” signs on all entrances.

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council: 1. Approve the report of expenses in the amount of \$1,092.50 in order that the above charges be filed and recorded against the property, constituting a special assessment and lien. 2. Authorize that the Notice and Lien of Assessment be duly recorded with the County Treas.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Agenda Memo
2. Location Map
3. Report of Expenses
4. Contractor Disclosure
5. Notice of Public Hearing
6. Chronological List of Events
7. Copy of the Notice and Claim of Lien
8. Video shown but not submitted

MOTION:

MONCRIEF – APPROVED the action of Neighborhood Services - UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 21, 2004
Neighborhood Services Department
Item 92 – 5813 Churchill Street

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

DAVID SEMENZA, Manager of Neighborhood Response, advised that the vacant building had outside storage, high weeds and trash. The property was declared a public hazard and an attractive nuisance. The Department of Neighborhood Services declared the property in violation and started legal notification. When no corrective action was taken or an appeal filed, the Department of Neighborhood Services hired the low bidder, Weaver Construction, to abate the problem. MR. SEMENZA recommended the City Council approve the report of expenses in the amount of \$1,092.50 in order that charges be filed and recorded against the property constituting a special assessment and lien, as well as authorize the Notice and Lien of Assessment to be duly recorded with the County Treasurer's Office.

The property owner was not present.

AL GALLEG0, Las Vegas resident, questioned the bid process related to construction companies. MAYOR PRO TEM REESE replied that the low bidder is hired. MR. GALLEG0 noted that Weaver Construction's yard is unkempt. He also indicated that upon his own research, the majority of vacant properties are owned by out-of-state landlords. The City is losing money by allowing the County to take over these properties.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

(1:18 – 1:20)

3-412

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 21, 2004

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT
DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT PM SESSION INDEX:

CONSENT AGENDA

- EXTENSION OF TIME - VARIANCE
93 EOT-3251 - Great American Capital on behalf of Chabad of Summerlin, Inc.
- EXTENSION OF TIME RELATED TO EOT-3251 - SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW
94 EOT-3252 - Great American Capital on behalf of Chabad of Summerlin, Inc.

DISCUSSION/ACTION ITEMS

- REVIEW OF CONDITION - PUBLIC HEARING
95 ROC-3313 - Speedee Mart on behalf of MVR Corporation
- SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW - PUBLIC HEARING
96 TABLED ITEM - SDR-2534 - Blue Sage Properties, Limited Liability Company on behalf of Bureau of Land Management
97 SDR-3271 - Spinnaker Homes VII
98 SDR-3287 - Paul Brosseau on behalf of Penske Truck Leasing Company
99 SDR-3305 - Las Vegas Valley Water District
100 SDR-3310 - Carpenter Sellers Associates on behalf of Stephen M. Wold
101 SDR-3319 - Portable Medical Imaging, Inc. on behalf of Smoke Ranch Business Park
102 SDR-3347 - Auto Nation on behalf of J R J Properties
- VACATION - PUBLIC HEARING
103 VAC-3302 - Sahara Rancho Office Center, Limited Liability Company
104 VAC-3307 - David Arpin and Kathleen Olender
105 VAC-3311 - Sterling S. Development on behalf of Quarterhorse Falls II, Limited Liability Company
106 VAC-3318 - Astoria Homes on behalf of Astoria at Lone Mountain 30, Limited Liability Company
107 VAC-3323 - Canyon Ridge Christian Church
- REQUIRED ONE YEAR REVIEW - VARIANCE - PUBLIC HEARING
108 RQR-3543 - Sea Breeze Village, Limited Liability Company

City of Las Vegas

PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT - Page Two

INDEX

City Council Meeting of January 21, 2004

REQUIRED SIX MONT REVIEW - SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING
109 **RQR-3541** - United Brothers Enterprises

REQUIRED TWO YEAR REVIEW - SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING
110 **RQR-3232** - Lamar Outdoor Advertising on behalf of Pokroy N & E 1993 Living Trust, et al
111 **RQR-3233** - Lamar Outdoor Advertising on behalf of SG Properties, Limited Liability Company

SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING
112 **ABEYANCE ITEM - SUP-2848** - Reagan National Advertising on behalf of C O G III, Limited
113 **ABEYANCE ITEM - SUP-3114** - Show Media on behalf of Professional Investment Group, Limited Liability Company
114 **ABEYANCE ITEM - SUP-3128** - Nora Armenian on behalf of The Koutnouyan Living Trust
115 **SUP-3315** - Bumper Medic on behalf of Sun West
116 **SUP-3332** - Mom's Cash Box on behalf of Farm Road Retail, Limited Liability Company
117 **SUP-3333** - Mom's Cash Box on behalf of Northshore Plaza, Limited Liability Company
118 **SUP-3343** - Las Vegas Billboards on behalf of Sahara Rainbow, Limited Liability Company

SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING
119 **SUP-3405** - Family and Child Treatment of Southern Nevada on behalf of Furber Development
120 **SUP-3352** - Soho Lofts, Limited Liability Company on behalf of Smith-England Trust

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO SUP-3352 - PUBLIC HEARING
121 **SDR-3253** - Soho Lofts, Limited Liability Company on behalf of Smith-England Trust

VACATION RELATED TO SUP-3352 AND SDR-3253 - PUBLIC HEARING
122 **VAC-3409** - Soho Lofts, Limited Liability Company on behalf of Smith-England Trust

REZONING - PUBLIC HEARING
123 **ZON-3197** - Richard and Barbara Stimac
124 **ZON-3326** - Raso Corporation

City of Las Vegas

PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT - Page Three

INDEX

City Council Meeting of January 21, 2004

- SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO ZON-3326 - PUBLIC HEARING
125 **SDR-3327** - Raso Corporation
- REZONING - PUBLIC HEARING
126 **ZON-3328** - Kimball Hill Homes on behalf of Pardee Homes
- VARIANCE RELATED TO ZON-3328 - PUBLIC HEARING
127 **VAR-3331** - Kimball Hill Homes on behalf of Pardee Homes
- SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO ZON-3328 AND VAR-3331 - PUBLIC HEARING
128 **SDR-3330** - Kimball Hill Homes on behalf of Pardee Homes
- REZONING - PUBLIC HEARING
129 **ZON-3335** - Longford at Lake Mead, Limited Liability Company on behalf of Murtagh Family Living Trust
- SPECIAL USE PERMIT RELATED TO ZON-3335 - PUBLIC HEARING
130 **SUP-3337** - Longford at Lake Mead, Limited Liability Company on behalf of Murtagh Family Living Trust
131 **SUP-3338** - Longford at Lake Mead, Limited Liability Company on behalf of Murtagh Family Living Trust
132 **SUP-3339** - Longford at Lake Mead, Limited Liability Company on behalf of Murtagh Family Living Trust
- SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO ZON-3335, SUP-3337, SUP-3338 AND SUP-3339 - PUBLIC HEARING
133 **SDR-3340** - Longford at Lake Mead, Limited Liability Company on behalf of Murtagh Family Living Trust
- REZONING - PUBLIC HEARING
134 **ZON-3345** - J.L. & Virginia Pennington
- SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO ZON-3345 - PUBLIC HEARING
135 **SDR-3348** - J.L. & Virginia Pennington
- GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT - PUBLIC HEARING
136 **ABEYANCE ITEM - GPA-2966** - Hector and Maritza Camacho

City of Las Vegas

PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT - Page Four

INDEX

City Council Meeting of January 21, 2004

REZONING RELATED TO GPA-2966 - PUBLIC HEARING
137 ABEYANCE ITEM - ZON-2967 - Hector and Maritza Camacho

SPECIAL USE PERMIT RELATED TO GPA-2966 AND ZON-2967 - PUBLIC HEARING
138 ABEYANCE ITEM - SUP-3223 - Hector and Maritza Camacho

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO GPA-2966 AND ZON-2967 - PUBLIC HEARING
139 ABEYANCE ITEM - SDR-3224 - Hector and Maritza Camacho

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 21, 2004

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

EXTENSION OF TIME - VARIANCE - EOT-3251 - GREAT AMERICAN CAPITAL ON BEHALF OF CHABAD OF SUMMERLIN, INC. - Request for an Extension of Time of an approved Variance (V-0073-01) WHICH ALLOWED 315 PARKING SPACES WHERE 405 PARKING SPACES ARE REQUIRED adjacent to the southeast corner of Regatta Drive and Breakwater Drive (APN: 138-16-714-003, 138-717-002, and 138-16-820-001), C-1 (Limited Commercial) Zone, Ward 4 (Brown). The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

BROWN – APPROVED Items 93 [EOT-3251] and 94 [EOT-3252] subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(1:20)

3-477

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. This Extension of Time shall expire on December 19, 2004.
2. Conformance to all previous conditions of V-0073-01.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 21, 2004

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

EXTENSION OF TIME RELATED TO EOT-3251 - SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW - **EOT-3252 - GREAT AMERICAN CAPITAL ON BEHALF OF CHABAD OF SUMMERLIN, INC.** - Request for an Extension of Time of an approved Site Development Plan Review [Z-0121-87(1)] WHICH ALLOWED A PROPOSED 8,325 SQUARE FOOT SYNAGOGUE adjacent to the southeast corner of Regatta Drive and Breakwater Drive (APN: 138-16-714-003), C-1 (Limited Commercial) Zone, Ward 4 (Brown). The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

BROWN – APPROVED Items 93 [EOT-3251] and 94 [EOT-3252] subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(1:20)

3-477

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. This Reinstatement and Extension of Time will expire on December 19, 2004 unless another Extension of Time is approved by the City Council.
2. Conformance to the conditions of approval for Rezoning [Z-0121-87(1)] and all other subsequent site related actions as required by the Planning and Development Department and Department of Public Works.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 21, 2004

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

REVIEW OF CONDITION - PUBLIC HEARING - **ROC-3313 - SPEEDEE MART ON BEHALF OF MVR CORPORATION** - Request for a Review of Condition #2 of an approved Special Use Permit (U-0146-99) WHICH PROHIBITED THE SALE OF INDIVIDUAL CONTAINERS OF BEER, WINE, OR SCREW CAP WINE LARGER THAN 16 OUNCES IN SIZE at 1602 West Oakey Boulevard (APN: 162-04-602-009), M (Industrial) Zone, Ward 1 (Moncrief). Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (4-2 vote) recommends APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

2
0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (4-2 vote) recommends APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Back up referenced from the 12/18/2003 Planning Commission meeting Item 43

MOTION:

MONCRIEF – APPROVED subject to conditions with a six-month review – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

ATTORNEY BOB GRONAUER, Kummer Kaempfer Bonner & Renshaw, 3800 Howard Hughes Parkway, appeared together with the owners of Speedee Mart, MR. and MRS. EMERY. ATTORNEY GRONAUER explained that four years ago the special use permit was approved to allow the convenience store in the shopping center located at the corner of Western Avenue and Oakey Boulevard. The special use was approved with conditions requiring a six-month review and prohibiting the sale of single containers. Subsequent to that approval, the review was approved subject to no more reviews. The owners have conducted business for the past four years and invested money by changing the façade of the shopping center. Similar requests have been approved and he felt that it would be appropriate to have that condition removed on this application as well.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 21, 2004
Planning and Development Department
Item 95 – ROC-3313

MINUTES – Continued:

COUNCILWOMAN MONCRIEF pointed out that Speedee Mart has made a large investment to this area. She approved the application with a six-month review to ensure that no problems arise outside the business.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

(1:20 – 1:24)

3-457

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. The establishment shall conform to the provisions of Chapter 6.50 of the Las Vegas Municipal Code.
2. Conformance to all Minimum Requirements under Title 19.04.050 for a Liquor Establishment (Off-Premises Consumption).
3. The sale of alcoholic beverages shall be limited to the sale of beer and wine only.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 21, 2004

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

TABLED ITEM - SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW - PUBLIC HEARING - **SDR-2534 - BLUE SAGE PROPERTIES, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY ON BEHALF OF BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT** - Request for a Site Development Plan Review FOR A PROPOSED 192-UNIT APARTMENT COMPLEX AND A REDUCTION IN THE AMOUNT OF PARKING LOT LANDSCAPING on 11.06 acres adjacent to the southwest corner of Alexander Road and Hualapai Way (APN: 137-12-501-009 and a portion of 138-07-103-001), U (Undeveloped) Zone [PCD (Planned Community Development) General Plan Designation] under Resolution of Intent to PD (Planned Development), Ward 4 (Brown). (NOTE: Application is now for a 142-unit condominium complex). The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

45
1

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Letter filed by Quadrant Planning requesting this item reheard
5. Submitted after final agenda – Protest letter from Carl and Elizabeth Payton

MOTION:

BROWN – APPROVED subject to conditions and deleting Condition 5 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

ATTORNEY TOM AMICK, Kummer Kaempfer Bonner & Renshaw, 3800 Howard Hughes Parkway, appeared on behalf of the applicant. He stated that five months ago this item, along with the major modification to the Lone Mountain Master Plan was before the City Council. At that time the modification changing the land use on this property was approved to allow for Medium Density Residential. However, the site plan was for an apartment project, and upon

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 21, 2004
Planning and Development Department
Item 96 – SDR-2534

MINUTES – Continued:

COUNCILMAN BROWN'S direction the site plan was redesigned for a for sale condominium development. The previous application had 192 apartment units, however the current request is for 142 units. The units are larger and the landscaping along the street frontage has been maximized, particularly along Alexander Road. In addition, attached garage parking will be provided.

ATTORNEY AMICK expressed concern about Condition 5 regarding the distribution of the parking. The project exceeds the parking by 20 spaces. Seven units have 13 attached garages with two spaces, with the exception of one unit that has only one space. The property slopes severely to the southeast and because of some typographical issues, they were directed to where the buildings are placed. The property is also unusually shaped due to the configuration of Hualapai Way and Alexander Road. Therefore, he asked that the parking as depicted on the plan be allowed to remain.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street, verified with MARGO WHEELER, Deputy Director, Planning and Development Department, that there is a condition that addresses the trails.

DINA POLITO resides in the Legends Condominium complex adjacent to the proposed project, and asked whether a wall will be built between the two projects. She prefers the open space. A high wall will block her view. ATTORNEY AMICK replied that the intent is to build a wall to separate the two projects. He indicated that he would be happy to speak with her to further clarify her concerns.

DENISE FISHER, Legends Condominium, asked if the wall would be the same height of the existing wall. She paid a premium price for the view and opposes having her view blocked by a building. ATTORNEY AMICK pointed out that the proposed project falls away from the Legends Condominium. It is about a 10-foot grade difference with the proposed project being at the lower end.

COUNCILMAN BROWN noted that there is a significant grade differential. There is an existing retaining wall and if that wall is sufficient to meet the City code, another wall would not be built. Staff will work with the applicant to mitigate those issues. Regardless, of what is built at this location, he is not certain that the residents would have unobstructed views. COUNCILMAN BROWN pointed out that due to the irregular shape of the parcel and the fact that the applicant exceeds the parking requirements, he is comfortable with the current design. He asked that the first sentence in Condition 5 be deleted. However, MS. WHEELER recommended that it could be deleted in its entirety. ATTORNEY AMICK agreed to work with the abutting neighbors regarding the visual impacts.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 21, 2004
Planning and Development Department
Item 96 – SDR-2534

MINUTES – Continued:

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

(1:24 – 1:32)

3-600

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. A Major Modification (MOD-2533) to the Lone Mountain Master Plan to a Multi-Family Medium land use designation approved by the City Council.
2. This Site Development Plan Review shall expire two years from date of final approval unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
3. The standards for this development shall include the following: minimum distance between buildings of 10 feet, and building height shall not exceed three stories or 35 feet, whichever is less.
4. A maximum of 142 units, which must be condominium units, is approved herein. All development shall be in conformance with the site plan and building elevations, except as amended by conditions herein.
5. The site plan shall be revised and approved by the Planning and Development Department, prior to the time application is made for a tentative map, to reflect a distribution of both required and guest parking that places these spaces within a short walking distance of the units they are to serve, such as providing a seven-vehicle surface parking pod in between each pair of buildings. Garage spaces within buildings should remain as currently designed.
6. The applicant shall meet with Planning and Development Department staff, prior to the time application is made for a building permit, to determine the trail alignment along the eastern portion of the subject site.
7. Landscaping and a permanent underground sprinkler system shall be installed as required by the Planning Commission or City Council and shall be permanently maintained in a satisfactory manner.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 21, 2004
Planning and Development Department
Item 96 – SDR-2534

CONDITIONS – Continued:

8. All mechanical equipment, air conditioners and trash areas shall be fully screened in views from the abutting streets.
9. Parking lot lighting standards shall be no more than 20 feet in height and shall utilize ‘shoe-box’ fixtures and downward-directed lights. Wallpack lighting shall utilize ‘shoe-box’ fixtures and downward-directed lights on the proposed building. Non-residential property lighting shall be directed away from residential property or screened, and shall not create fugitive lighting on adjacent properties.
10. All utility boxes exceeding 27 cubic feet in size shall meet the standards of Municipal Code Section 19.12.050.
11. Any property line wall shall be a decorative block wall, with at least 20 percent contrasting materials. Wall heights shall be measured from the side of the fence with the least vertical exposure above the finished grade, unless otherwise stipulated. The wall shall adhere to the standards as listed in the Lone Mountain Master Plan.
12. Prior to the submittal of a building permit, the applicant shall meet with Planning and Development Department staff to develop a comprehensive address plan for the subject site. A copy of the approved address plan shall be submitted with any future building permit applications related to the site.
13. A fully operational fire protection system, including fire apparatus roads, fire hydrants and water supply, shall be installed and shall be functioning prior to construction of any combustible structures.
14. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.

Public Works

15. Meet with the Right-of-Way section of the Department of Public Works to determine the remaining rights-of-way to be dedicated or vacated prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits for this site. Additional right-of-way dedications per 201.1 and 234.1 will be required unless specifically annotated as not required by the update to the master Traffic Impact Analysis.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 21, 2004
Planning and Development Department
Item 96 – SDR-2534

CONDITIONS – Continued:

16. Construct half-street improvements including appropriate overpaving, if legally able on Alexander Road and Hualapai Way adjacent to this site concurrent with development of this site. Install all appurtenant underground facilities, if any, adjacent to this site needed for the future traffic signal system concurrent with development of this site.
17. Meet with the Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development for assistance in the possible redesign of the proposed driveway access, on site circulation and parking lot layout prior to the submittal of any construction plans or the issuance of any permits, whichever may occur first. Gated entries, if proposed, shall be designed, located and constructed in accordance with Standard Drawing #222A.
18. An update to the master Traffic Impact Analysis must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, or the submittal of any construction drawings for this site. Comply with the recommendations of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis prior to occupancy of the site. The City shall determine area traffic mitigation contribution requirements based upon information provided in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis; such monies shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any permits for this site. The Traffic Impact Analysis shall also include a section addressing Standard Drawings #234.1 #234.2 and #234.3 to determine additional right-of-way requirements for bus turnouts adjacent to this site, if any; dedicate all areas recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. All additional rights-of-way required by Standard Drawing #201.1 for exclusive right turn lanes and dual left turn lanes shall be dedicated prior to or concurrent with the commencement of on-site development activities unless specifically noted as not required in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. If additional rights-of-way are not required and Traffic Control devices are or may be proposed at this site outside of the public right-of-way, all necessary easements for the location and/or access of such devices shall be granted prior to the issuance of permits for this site. Phased compliance will be allowed if recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. No recommendation of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis, nor compliance therewith, shall be deemed to modify or eliminate any condition of approval imposed by the Planning Commission or the City Council on the development of this site.
19. Landscape and maintain all unimproved rights-of-way on Alexander Road and Hualapai Way adjacent to this site.
20. Submit an Encroachment Agreement for all landscaping and private improvements located in the Alexander Road and Hualapai Way public rights-of-way adjacent to this site prior to occupancy of this site.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 21, 2004
Planning and Development Department
Item 96 – SDR-2534

CONDITIONS – Continued:

21. A Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, submittal of any construction drawings or the recordation of a Map subdividing this site, whichever may occur first. Provide and improve all drainageways recommended in the approved drainage plan/study. The developer of this site shall be responsible to construct such neighborhood or local drainage facility improvements as are recommended by the City of Las Vegas Neighborhood Drainage Studies and approved Drainage Plan/Study concurrent with development of this site. In lieu of constructing improvements, in whole or in part, the developer may agree to contribute monies for the construction of neighborhood or local drainage improvements, the amount of such monies shall be determined by the approved Drainage Plan/Study and shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, or the recordation of a Map subdividing this site, whichever may occur first, if allowed by the City Engineer.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 21, 2004

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW - PUBLIC HEARING - **SDR-3271** - **SPINNAKER HOMES VII** - Request for a Site Development Plan Review FOR A 46,760 SQUARE-FOOT OFFICE AND RETAIL COMPLEX AND A WAIVER OF THE TOWN CENTER DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS TO ALLOW A MINIMUM BUILD-TO SETBACK LINE OF 47 PERCENT WHERE 70 PERCENT IS THE MINIMUM REQUIRED on 5.2 acres adjacent to the east side of Grand Montecito Parkway and the south side of Bath Drive (APN: 125-20-704-001, 002, and a portion of 005), T-C (Town Center) Zone [MS-TC (Main Street Mixed Use - Town Center) Land Use Designation], Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (5-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (5-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

ATTORNEY TOM AMICK, Kummer Kaemper Bonner & Renshaw, 3800 Howard Hughes Parkway, appeared on behalf of the applicant. The property is immediately north of the private school, Centennial Academy across from Timberlake.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

(1:32 – 1:33)

3-878

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 21, 2004
Planning and Development Department
Item 97 – SDR-3271

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. A landscape plan that conforms to Title 19.12 shall be submitted to the Planning and Development Department prior to issue of building permits.
2. All perimeter walls shall conform to the standards of the Town Center Development Standards Manual.
3. All development shall be in conformance with the Site Development plan and building elevations.
4. Landscaping and a permanent underground sprinkler system shall be provided as required by the Planning Commission and shall be permanently maintained in a satisfactory manner. For non-residential developments, failure to properly maintain required landscaping and underground sprinkler systems shall be cause for revocation of a business license.
5. All mechanical equipment, air conditioners and trash areas shall be screened from view from the abutting streets (excluding single family development).
6. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.
7. Fence heights shall be measured from the side of the fence with the least vertical exposure above the finished grade.
8. Provide plans showing accessible exterior routes from public transportation stops, accessible parking, passenger loading zones and public sidewalks to the accessible building entrance(s) with submittal of plans for building permits as required by the Department of Building and Safety. Accessible routes shall have running slopes and cross slopes in accordance with the applicable code.

Public Works

9. Construct sidewalk on at least one side of all access drives connecting this site to the adjacent public streets concurrent with development of this site; the connecting sidewalk shall extend from the sidewalk on the public street to the first intersection of the on-site roadway network; the connecting sidewalk shall be terminated on-site with a handicap ramp.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 21, 2004
Planning and Development Department
Item 97 – SDR-3271

CONDITIONS – Continued:

10. Driveways shall be designed, located and constructed in accordance with Standard Drawing #222A.
11. Coordinate with the Collection Systems Planning Section of the Department of Public Works to connect to public sewer in Doe Brook Trail AKA the “old Durango Drive alignment” at a depth and location acceptable to the City Engineer; connection to public sewer within Grand Montecito Parkway will not be accepted. Provide public sewer easements for all public sewers not located within existing public street right-of-way prior to the issuance of any permits. Improvement Drawings submitted to the City for review shall not be approved for construction until all required public sewer easements necessary to connect this site to the existing public sewer system have been granted to the City.
12. An update to the previously approved Traffic Impact Analysis must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, submittal of any construction drawings or the recordation of a Map subdividing this site. Comply with the recommendations of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis prior to occupancy of the site. The City shall determine area traffic mitigation contribution requirements based upon information provided in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis; such monies shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any permits or the recordation of a Map subdividing this site. The Traffic Impact Analysis shall also include a section addressing Standard Drawings #234.1 #234.2 and #234.3 to determine additional right-of-way requirements for bus turnouts adjacent to this site, if any; dedicate all areas recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. All additional rights-of-way required by Standard Drawing #201.1 for exclusive right turn lanes and dual left turn lanes shall be dedicated prior to or concurrent with the commencement of on-site development activities unless specifically noted as not required in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. Phased compliance will be allowed if recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. No recommendation of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis, or compliance therewith, shall be deemed to modify or eliminate any condition of approval imposed by the Planning Commission or the City Council on the development of this site.
13. An update to the previously approved Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits or submittal of any construction drawings, whichever may occur first. Provide and improve all drainageways recommended in the approved

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 21, 2004
Planning and Development Department
Item 97 – SDR-3271

CONDITIONS – Continued:

drainage plan/study. The developer of this site shall be responsible to construct such neighborhood or local drainage facility improvements as are recommended by the City of Las Vegas Neighborhood Drainage Studies and approved Drainage Plan/Study concurrent with development of this site. In lieu of constructing improvements, in whole or in part, the developer may agree to contribute monies for the construction of neighborhood or local drainage improvements, the amount of such monies shall be determined by the approved Drainage Plan/Study and shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, whichever may occur first, if allowed by the City Engineer.

14. Site development to comply with all applicable conditions of approval for the Montecito Town Center South (Commercial Subdivision) and all other subsequent site-related actions.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 21, 2004

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW - PUBLIC HEARING - **SDR-3287 - PAUL BROSSEAU ON BEHALF OF PENSKE TRUCK LEASING COMPANY** - Request for a Site Development Plan Review FOR A PROPOSED TRUCK WASH CANOPY ADDITION AND A REQUEST FOR A WAIVER FOR A ZERO SIDE SETBACK WHERE 10 FEET IS THE MINIMUM SETBACK REQUIRED at 1132 West Bonanza Road (APN: 139-28-703-004), C-2 (General Commercial) Zone, Ward 5 (Weekly). The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

1
0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

WEEKLY – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

PAUL BROSSEAU, Petroleum Systems Maintenance, concurred with staff recommendations.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY was pleased with the direction all the business owners along Bonanza Road are taking in order to enhance their businesses. It is becoming a nice thoroughfare, and he appreciates Penske participating in that process.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

(1:33 – 1:35)

3-921

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 21, 2004
Planning and Development Department
Item 98 – SDR-3287

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. This Site Development Plan Review shall expire two years from date of final approval unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
2. All development shall be in conformance with the site plan and building elevations, except as amended by conditions herein.
3. Landscaping and a permanent underground sprinkler system shall be installed as required by the Planning Commission or City Council and shall be permanently maintained in a satisfactory manner. [Failure to properly maintain required landscaping and underground sprinkler systems shall be cause for revocation of a business license.]
4. All mechanical equipment and air conditioners shall be fully screened in views from the abutting streets.
5. Parking lot lighting standards shall be no more than 20 feet in height and shall utilize 'shoe-box' fixtures and downward-directed lights. Wallpack lighting shall utilize 'shoe-box' fixtures and downward-directed lights on the proposed building. Non-residential property lighting shall be directed away from residential property or screened, and shall not create fugitive lighting on adjacent properties.
6. All utility boxes exceeding 27 cubic feet in size shall meet the standards of Title 19.12.050.
7. Any property line wall shall be a decorative block wall, with at least 20 percent contrasting materials. Wall heights shall be measured from the side of the fence with the least vertical exposure above the finished grade, unless otherwise stipulated.
8. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 21, 2004

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW - PUBLIC HEARING - **SDR-3305 - LAS VEGAS VALLEY WATER DISTRICT** - Request for a Site Development Plan Review FOR A PROPOSED 10-MILLION GALLON RESERVOIR BASIN, UTILITY BUILDING, AND A 100-FOOT HIGH ANTENNA at 901 South Rampart Boulevard (APN: 138-32-401-001), U (Undeveloped) Zone [PF (Public Facility) General Plan Designation] under Resolution of Intent to C-V (Civic), Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald). The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) recommends APPROVAL of the Reservoir and Utility Building only; and staff recommends APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

2
0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) recommends APPROVAL of the Reservoir and Utility Building only; and staff recommends APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Back up referenced from the 12/18/2003 Planning Commission meeting Item 34

MOTION:

L.B. McDONALD – APPROVED the 10-million gallon reservoir basin and utility building subject to conditions and HELD IN ABEYANCE the 100-foot high antenna to 2/4/2004 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

DAN WITZNER, Las Vegas Valley Water District, 1001 Valley View Boulevard, concurred with staff recommendations. He explained that the original request was for a 100-foot antenna, but that they are now requesting a 50-foot antenna. One of the antennas will be relocated to a new structure under construction.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 21, 2004
Planning and Development Department
Item 99 – SDR-3305

MINUTES – Continued:

MARGO WHEELER, Deputy Director, Planning and Development Department, clarified for COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD that the Planning Commission was not supportive of a 100-foot antenna. Staff originally went with the recommendation for approval and a 50-foot antenna would be a big improvement over the 100-foot antenna.

COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD indicated that she would approve the 10-million gallon reservoir basin and utility building, but would like to discuss the request for the 50-foot antenna. She wants to ensure that the height is compatible at this location.

COUNCILMAN BROWN verified with MR. WITZNER that the antenna is part of telemetry, as far as working the reservoir.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

(1:35 – 1:39)

3-975

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. This Site Development Plan Review application shall approve only the reservoir and utility building and expires two years from date of final approval unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
2. All development shall be in conformance with the site plan and building elevations, except as amended by conditions herein.
3. All outdoor utility boxes exceeding 27 cubic feet in size shall meet the standards of Title 19.12.050.
4. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.

Public Works

5. An update to the previously approved Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any grading or building permits, submittal of any construction drawings, whichever may occur first. Provide and improve all drainageways as recommended in the approved drainage plan/study.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 21, 2004**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW - PUBLIC HEARING - SDR-3310 - CARPENTER SELLERS ASSOCIATES ON BEHALF OF STEPHEN M. WOLD - Request for a Site Development Plan Review FOR A PROPOSED 13,135 SQUARE FOOT RETAIL AND MEDICAL OFFICE DEVELOPMENT AND A WAIVER OF THE PERIMETER AND PARKING LOT LANDSCAPING REQUIREMENTS on 0.96 acres on the south side of Pinto Lane, approximately 310 feet east of Tonopah Drive (APN: 139-33-302-020 and 021), PD (Planned Development) Zone, Ward 5 (Weekly). The Planning Commission (5-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****0****City Council Meeting****0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****1****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (5-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

WEEKLY – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

ANDREW HARTUNG, 3975 Palm Beach Street, appeared on behalf of the applicant and concurred with staff recommendations.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street, stated that the multi-use buildings are a good idea and appropriate for this location.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 21, 2004
Planning and Development Department
Item 100 – SDR-3310

MINUTES – Continued:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

(1:39 – 1:40)

3-1118

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. This Site Development Plan Review shall expire two years from date of final approval unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
2. All development shall be in conformance with the site plan and building elevations, except as amended by conditions herein.
3. Pinto Lane shall be developed in accordance with the Type B Streetscape as depicted in Figure Three of the Las Vegas Medical District Plan.
4. The handicap accessible route shall not be located within any perimeter planter.
5. Prior to the submittal of a building permit, the applicant shall meet with Planning and Development Department staff to develop a comprehensive address plan for the subject site. A copy of the approved address plan shall be submitted with any future building permit applications related to the site.
6. The landscape plan shall be revised and approved by the Planning and Development Department, prior to the time application is made for a building permit, to correspond to the revisions made on the site plan to the design of the parking area.
7. Landscaping and a permanent underground sprinkler system shall be installed as required by the Planning Commission or City Council and shall be permanently maintained in a satisfactory manner. [Failure to properly maintain required landscaping and underground sprinkler systems shall be cause for revocation of a business license.]
8. All mechanical equipment, air conditioners and trash areas shall be fully screened in views from the abutting streets
9. Parking lot lighting standards shall be no more than 30 feet in height and shall utilize ‘shoe-box’ fixtures and downward-directed lights. Wallpack lighting shall utilize ‘shoe-box’ fixtures and downward-directed lights on the proposed building. Non-residential property lighting shall be directed away from residential property or screened, and shall not create fugitive lighting on adjacent properties.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 21, 2004
Planning and Development Department
Item 100 – SDR-3310

CONDITIONS – Continued:

10. All utility boxes exceeding 27 cubic feet in size shall meet the standards of Title 19.12.050.
11. Any property line wall shall be a decorative block wall, with at least 20 percent contrasting materials. Wall heights shall be measured from the side of the fence with the least vertical exposure above the finished grade, unless otherwise stipulated.
12. A fully operational fire protection system, including fire apparatus roads, fire hydrants and water supply, shall be installed and shall be functioning prior to construction of any combustible structures.
13. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.

Public Works

14. Construct all incomplete half-street improvements on Pinto Lane adjacent to this site concurrent with development of this site. Driveways shall be designed, located and constructed in accordance with Standard Drawing #222A.
15. Submit an Encroachment Agreement for all landscaping and private improvements located in the public right-of-way adjacent to this site prior to issuance of any permits for this site.
16. Grant pedestrian walkway easements for all public sidewalks not located within the Pinto Lane public right-of-way.
17. A Traffic Impact Analysis must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, submittal of any construction drawings. Comply with the recommendations of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis prior to occupancy of the site. The City shall determine area traffic mitigation contribution requirements based upon information provided in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis; such monies shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any permits for this site. The Traffic Impact Analysis shall also include a section addressing Standard Drawings #234.1 #234.2 and #234.3 to determine additional right-of-way requirements for bus turnouts adjacent to this site, if any; dedicate all areas recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. All additional rights-of-way required by Standard Drawing #201.1 for exclusive right turn lanes and dual left turn lanes shall be

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 21, 2004
Planning and Development Department
Item 100 – SDR-3310

CONDITIONS – Continued:

dedicated prior to or concurrent with the commencement of on-site development activities unless specifically noted as not required in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. Phased compliance will be allowed if recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. No recommendation of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis, nor compliance therewith, shall be deemed to modify or eliminate any condition of approval imposed by the Planning Commission or the City Council on the development of this site.

Alternatively, in lieu of a Traffic Impact Analysis, the applicant may participate in a reasonable alternative mutually acceptable to the applicant and the Department of Public Works.

18. Meet with the Flood Control Section of the Department of Public Works for assistance with establishing finished floor elevations and drainage patterns for this site prior to submittal of construction plans or the issuance of any building or grading permits, whichever may occur first. Provide and improve all drainageways as recommended.
19. Site development to comply with all applicable conditions of approval for Z-0020-97 and all other subsequent site-related actions.
20. Provide a copy of a recorded Joint Access and Parking Agreement between the adjoining parcels comprising this site prior to the issuance of any permits.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 21, 2004

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW - PUBLIC HEARING - SDR-3319 - PORTABLE MEDICAL IMAGING, INC. ON BEHALF OF SMOKE RANCH BUSINESS PARK -
Request for a Site Development Plan Review FOR A 6,006 SQUARE FOOT RETAIL BUILDING AND A WAIVER OF THE PERIMETER LANDSCAPING STANDARD on 0.62 acres adjacent to the northwest corner of Smoke Ranch Road and Tenaya Way (a portion of APN: 138-15-410-034), C-PB (Planned Business Park) Zone, Ward 4 (Brown). The Planning Commission (5-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (5-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

BROWN – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

TIM HOLLENBACK, 7225 South Bermuda Road, Suite D, appeared on behalf of the applicant and concurred with staff recommendations. He met with staff and it was agreed that the 15-foot setback would be acceptable as designed at 10 ½ feet.

MARGO WHEELER, Deputy Director, Planning and Development Department, explained that Condition 1 could be stricken or remain in place. The application as proposed is adequate.

MR. HOLLENBACK commended the tech review committee for being extremely beneficial in developing this portion of the business park.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 21, 2004
Planning and Development Department
Item 101 – SDR-3319

MINUTES – Continued:

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

(1:40 – 1:42)

3-1179

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. A revised site plan showing the proper rear yard setback and location of a loading zone shall be submitted to Planning and Development Department prior to issue of building permits.
2. A revised landscaping plan showing sufficient parking lot trees and trees and landscaping along the west property line shall be submitted to Planning and Development Department prior to issue of building permits.
3. This Site Development Plan Review shall expire two years from date of final approval unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
4. All development shall be in conformance with the site plan and building elevations, except as amended by conditions herein.
5. Landscaping and a permanent underground sprinkler system shall be installed as required by the Planning Commission or City Council and shall be permanently maintained in a satisfactory manner. [Failure to properly maintain required landscaping and underground sprinkler systems shall be cause for revocation of a business license.]
6. All mechanical equipment and air conditioners shall be fully screened in views from the abutting streets.
7. Parking lot lighting standards shall be no more than 20 feet in height and shall utilize ‘shoe-box’ fixtures and downward-directed lights. Wallpack lighting shall utilize ‘shoe-box’ fixtures and downward-directed lights on the proposed building. Non-residential property lighting shall be directed away from residential property or screened, and shall not create fugitive lighting on adjacent properties.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 21, 2004
Planning and Development Department
Item 101 – SDR-3319

MINUTES – Continued:

8. All utility boxes exceeding 27 cubic feet in size shall meet the standards of Title 19.12.050.
9. Any property line wall shall be a decorative block wall, with at least 20 percent contrasting materials. Wall heights shall be measured from the side of the fence with the least vertical exposure above the finished grade, unless otherwise stipulated.
10. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.

Public Works

11. An update to the previously approved Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to submittal of any construction drawings or issuance of any permits whichever may occur first.
12. Provide a copy of a recorded Joint Access and Parking Agreement between the adjoining parcels comprising this site prior to the issuance of any permits.
13. Site development to comply with all applicable conditions of approval for Z-68-85 and all other subsequent site-related actions.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 21, 2004

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW - PUBLIC HEARING - **SDR-3347 - AUTO NATION ON BEHALF OF J R J PROPERTIES** - Appeal filed by Carter-Burgess from the Approval by the Planning Commission of a request for a Site Development Plan Review FOR THE REMODELING AND EXPANSION OF A CAR DEALERSHIP on 9.39 acres adjacent to the northwest corner of Sahara Avenue and Decatur Boulevard (APN: 163-01-803-003, 004 and 005), C-2 (General Commercial) Zone, Ward 1 (Moncrief). The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Appeal letter filed by Carter-Burgess

MOTION:

MONCRIEF – Granted the Appeal; thereby **APPROVING** the Site Development Plan Review subject to conditions and amending Condition 3 as follows:

3. *The display of vehicles in landscape planters shall be limited to the two areas at the intersection of Sahara Avenue and Decatur Boulevard and shall not be elevated over 18 inches.*

– **UNANIMOUS** with **GOODMAN** excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

REBECCA RALSTON, Carter & Burgess, 6655 Bermuda Road, appeared on behalf of the applicant with JOHN DETTERMAN, Desert Nissan. MS. RALSTON explained that the site plan review is for approximately three acres of an existing nine-acre site located at the northwest corner of Sahara Avenue and Decatur Boulevard. In addition to the site plan, they will renovate approximately 6,000 square feet of a building, including new curb, gutter, sidewalks, asphalt and landscaping. The auto display would be limited to two illustrated vehicular display pads.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 21, 2004
Planning and Development Department
Item 102 – SDR-3347

CONDITIONS – Continued:

COUNCILWOMAN MONCRIEF clarified with MS. RALSTON that the display would be less than 18 inches. She asked that Condition 3 be amended as originally written by the Planning and Development staff, and adding a condition that the display not be elevated over 18 inches.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

(1:42 – 1:45)

3-1251

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. This Site Development Plan Review shall expire two years from date of final approval unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
2. Landscaping shall be provided as depicted on the site plan.
3. Vehicles shall not be located in the landscaped areas.
4. Any new trash enclosure shall be properly screened and covered as required by the Commercial Development Standards.
5. All development shall be in conformance with the site plan and building elevations, except as amended by conditions herein.
6. Landscaping and a permanent underground sprinkler system shall be installed as required by the Planning Commission or City Council and shall be permanently maintained in a satisfactory manner. Failure to properly maintain required landscaping and underground sprinkler systems shall be cause for revocation of a business license.
7. Mechanical and electrical equipment and any communication equipment, excluding communication towers and antennas, shall be concealed from view of Sahara Avenue and neighboring properties.
8. All outdoor utility boxes exceeding 27 cubic feet in size shall meet the standards of Title 19.12.050.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 21, 2004
Planning and Development Department
Item 102 – SDR-3347

CONDITIONS – Continued:

9. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.
10. Parking lot lighting standards shall be no more than 30 feet in height and shall utilize 'shoe-box' fixtures and downward-directed lights. The lighting shall be directed away from residential property or screened, and shall not spill over onto adjacent properties.

Public Works

11. Dedicate and construct a bus stop on Sahara Avenue and additional rights-of-way per Clark County Area Standard Drawing 201.1 on the northwest corner of Decatur Boulevard and Sahara Avenue as required by the Department of Public Works, unless specifically noted as not required in an approved Traffic Impact Analysis.
12. Remove all substandard public street improvements and unused driveway cuts adjacent to this site, if any, and replace with new improvements meeting current City Standards concurrent with development of this site. Any new or modifications to existing driveways shall be designed, located and constructed in accordance with Standard Drawing #222A. All work within the Nevada Department of Transportation right of way shall also receive approval from the Nevada Department of Transportation.
13. Provide a copy of a recorded Joint Access and Parking Agreement between the adjoining parcels comprising this site prior to the issuance of any permits.
14. Landscape and maintain all unimproved rights-of-way on Decatur Boulevard and Sahara Avenue adjacent to this site.
15. Submit an application for an Occupancy Permit to the Nevada Department of Transportation (NDOT) for all landscaping and private improvements in the Sahara Avenue public right-of-way adjacent to this site prior to the issuance of any permits.
16. A Traffic Impact Analysis must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, or the submittal of any construction drawings. Comply with the recommendations of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis prior to occupancy of the site. The City shall determine area traffic mitigation contribution requirements based upon information provided in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis; such monies shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any permits for this site. The Traffic Impact Analysis shall also include a section addressing

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 21, 2004
Planning and Development Department
Item 102 – SDR-3347

CONDITIONS – Continued:

Standard Drawings #234.1 #234.2 and #234.3 to determine additional right-of-way requirements for bus turnouts adjacent to this site, if any; dedicate all areas recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. All additional rights-of-way required by Standard Drawing #201.1 for exclusive right turn lanes and dual left turn lanes shall be dedicated prior to or concurrent with the commencement of on-site development activities unless specifically noted as not required in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. If additional rights-of-way are not required and Traffic Control devices are or may be proposed at this site outside of the public right-of-way, all necessary easements for the location and/or access of such devices shall be granted prior to the issuance of permits for this site. Phased compliance will be allowed if recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. No recommendation of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis, nor compliance therewith, shall be deemed to modify or eliminate any condition of approval imposed by the Planning Commission or the City Council on the development of this site.

Alternatively, in lieu of a Traffic Impact Analysis, the applicant may participate in a reasonable alternative mutually acceptable to the applicant and the Department of Public Works.

17. Meet with the Flood Control Section of the Department of Public Works for assistance with establishing finished floor elevations and drainage patterns for this site prior to the submittal of construction drawings or issuance of any building or grading permits, whichever may occur first. Provide and improve all drainageways as recommended.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 21, 2004

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

VACATION - PUBLIC HEARING - VAC-3302 - SAHARA RANCHO OFFICE CENTER, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY - Request for a Petition to vacate a 20 foot wide public sewer easement generally located east of Rancho Drive, north of Sahara Avenue, Ward 1 (Moncrief). The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

MONCRIEF – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

MICHELE TENESON, 444 East Warm Springs Road, appeared on behalf of the applicant and stated that the vacation request is due to the redesign of the site. A new easement has been dedicated.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

(1:45 – 1:46)

3-1342

CONDITIONS:

1. Prior to the recordation of the Order of Vacation, a new public sewer easement shall be recorded at a width and location acceptable to the Department of Public Works.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 21, 2004
Planning and Development Department
Item 103 – VAC-3302

CONDITIONS – Continued:

2. Prior to the recordation of an Order of Vacation all public improvements, if any, adjacent to and in conflict with this vacation application are to be modified, as necessary, at the applicant's expense, or such modifications shall be guaranteed by provision of sufficient security for the performance thereof in accordance with the Subdivision Ordinance of the City of Las Vegas.
3. All development shall be in conformance with code requirements and design standards of all City departments.
4. The Order of Vacation shall not be recorded until all of the conditions of approval have been met. City Staff is empowered to modify this application if necessary because of technical concerns or because of other related review actions as long as current City right-of-way requirements are still complied with and the intent of the vacation application is not changed. If applicable, a five-foot wide easement for public streetlight and fire hydrant purposes shall be retained on all vacation actions abutting public street corridors that will remain dedicated and available for public use. Also, if applicable and where needed, public easement corridors and sight visibility or other easements that would/should cross any right-of-way being vacated must be retained.
5. If the Order of Vacation is not recorded within one (1) year after approval by the City Council and the Planning and Development Director does not grant an Extension of Time, then approval will terminate and a new petition must be submitted.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 21, 2004

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

VACATION - PUBLIC HEARING - VAC-3307 - DAVID ARPIN AND KATHLEEN OLENDER - Request for a Petition to vacate the east half of Buffalo Drive between Brent Lane and Iron Mountain Road, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

KATHLEEN OLENDER, 7856 Blue Eagle Way, concurred with staff conditions.

COUNCILMAN MACK pointed out that half of the road is located in the City and half in the County. Pulte Homes is on one side of the road. ROBERT GENZER, Director, Planning and Development Department, explained that Pulte Homes co-signed on the application. MS. OLENDER indicated that the County side has already been vacated.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

(1:46 – 1:47)

3-1377

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 21, 2004
Planning and Development Department
Item 104 – VAC-3307

CONDITIONS:

1. An application to vacate the western half of Buffalo Drive within Clark County, such as Clark County Petition of Vacation VS-1710-03, must record concurrently with this Order of Vacation.
2. This Petition of Vacation shall be modified to retain a 20 foot wide City of Las Vegas Sewer easement within Buffalo Drive, in an alignment and to a location acceptable to the City Engineer prior to recordation of the Order of Vacation.
3. This Petition of Vacation shall be modified to retain those portions of rights-of-way necessary for a corner at the intersection of Buffalo Drive and Brent Lane.
4. An update to the previously approved Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study or other related drainage information acceptable to the Flood Control Section must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the recordation of the Order of Vacation for this application. Appropriate drainage easements shall be reserved if recommended by the approved Drainage Plan/Study.
5. Prior to the recordation of an Order of Vacation all public improvements, if any, adjacent to and in conflict with this vacation application are to be modified, as necessary, at the applicant's expense, or such modifications shall be guaranteed by provision of sufficient security for the performance thereof in accordance with the Subdivision Ordinance of the City of Las Vegas.
6. All development shall be in conformance with code requirements and design standards of all City departments.
7. The Order of Vacation shall not be recorded until all of the above conditions have been met. City Staff is empowered to modify this application if necessary because of technical concerns or because of other related review actions as long as current City right-of-way requirements are still complied with and the intent of the vacation application is not changed. If applicable, a five foot wide easement for public streetlight and fire hydrant purposes shall be retained on all vacation actions abutting public street corridors that will remain dedicated and available for public use. Also, if applicable and where needed, public easement corridors and sight visibility or other easements that would/should cross any right-of-way being vacated must be retained.
8. If the Order of Vacation is not recorded within one (1) year after approval by the City Council and the Planning and Development Director does not grant an Extension of Time, then approval will terminate and a new petition must be submitted.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 21, 2004

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

VACATION - PUBLIC HEARING - VAC-3311 - STERLING S. DEVELOPMENT ON BEHALF OF QUARTERHORSE FALLS II, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY -
Request for a Petition to vacate a 10 foot wide public drainage easement at 9721 Paso Fino Street, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (4-0-2 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (4-0-2 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

BRENT WILSON, VTN Nevada, 2727 South Rainbow Boulevard, appeared on behalf of the applicant and concurred with staff recommendations.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

(1:47 – 1:48)

3-1431

CONDITIONS:

1. Appropriate adjacent Final Maps, such as El Capitan/O'Hare Unit 3, to provide alternative Drainage Easements, shall be recorded prior to or concurrent with the recordation of this Order of Vacation as required by the City of Las Vegas Flood Control Section.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 21, 2004
Planning and Development Department
Item 105 – VAC-3311

CONDITIONS – Continued:

2. Any existing Public Sewer Easements shall be retained and not affected by this Vacation Application.
3. Prior to the recordation of an Order of Vacation all public improvements, if any, adjacent to and in conflict with this vacation application are to be modified, as necessary, at the applicant's expense, or such modifications shall be guaranteed by provision of sufficient security for the performance thereof in accordance with the Subdivision Ordinance of the City of Las Vegas.
4. All development shall be in conformance with code requirements and design standards of all City departments.
5. The Order of Vacation shall not be recorded until all of the conditions of approval have been met. City Staff is empowered to modify this application if necessary because of technical concerns or because of other related review actions as long as current City right-of-way requirements are still complied with and the intent of the vacation application is not changed. If applicable, a five-foot wide easement for public streetlight and fire hydrant purposes shall be retained on all vacation actions abutting public street corridors that will remain dedicated and available for public use. Also, if applicable and where needed, public easement corridors and sight visibility or other easements that would/should cross any right-of-way being vacated must be retained.
6. If the Order of Vacation is not recorded within one (1) year after approval by the City Council and the Planning and Development Director does not grant an Extension of Time, then approval will terminate and a new petition must be submitted.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 21, 2004

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

VACATION - PUBLIC HEARING - VAC-3318 - ASTORIA HOMES ON BEHALF OF ASTORIA AT LONE MOUNTAIN 30, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY - Request for a Petition to vacate U.S. Government Patent Reservations and various public easements generally located east of Cliff Shadows Parkway, between Gilmore Avenue and Gowan Road, Ward 4 (Brown). The Planning Commission (5-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
 City Council Meeting

0
0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
 City Council Meeting

0
0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (5-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

BROWN – APPROVED subject to conditions and amending Conditions 5 and 7 by adding the words “*Order of Vacation*” after the words Order of Relinquishment of Interest and amending Condition 8 by adding the words “*Order of Relinquishment of Interest*” after the words Order of Vacation – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

SUSAN LeCAVALIER, 1555 South Rainbow Boulevard, appeared on behalf of the applicant and concurred with staff recommendations.

ROBERT GENZER, Director, Planning and Development Department, indicated that the words “Order of Vacation” need to be added to Conditions 5 and 7 after the words Order of Relinquishment of Interest and the words “Order of Relinquishment of Interest” after the words Order of Vacation in Condition 8. MS. LeCAVALIER accepted the amendments.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 21, 2004
Planning and Development Department
Item 106 – VAC-3318

MINUTES – Continued:

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

(1:48 – 1:50)

3-1463

CONDITIONS:

1. This Petition of Vacation shall be revised to retain interest in the south 20 feet of parcels 137-12-201-014 & 137-12-201-010 and the south 20 feet that transitions to 30 feet of along a portion of parcel 137-12-201-011, including the area for a circular cul-de-sac terminus for Gowan Road along parcel 137-12-201-011.
2. This Petition of Vacation shall be revised to retain appropriate public sewer easements in the Gowan Road alignment beyond the bulb of the cul-de-sac along parcel 137-12-201-011.
3. This Petition of Vacation shall be revised to exclude all area south of the centerline of Gowan Road.
4. A Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study or other related drainage information acceptable to the Flood Control Section must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the recordation of an Order of Relinquishment of Interest for this application. Appropriate drainage easements and drainage rights of way shall be reserved as recommended by the approved Drainage Plan/Study. The drainage study required by Rezoning Application ZON-2667 may be used to satisfy this condition provided that there is a section included in the study that covers the area proposed to be vacated.
5. Prior to the recordation of an Order of Relinquishment of Interest all public improvements, if any, adjacent to and in conflict with this vacation application are to be modified, as necessary, at the applicant's expense, or such modifications shall be guaranteed by provision of sufficient security for the performance thereof in accordance with the Subdivision Ordinance of the City of Las Vegas.
6. All development shall be in conformance with code requirements and design standards of all City departments.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 21, 2004
Planning and Development Department
Item 106 – VAC-3318

CONDITIONS – Continued:

7. The Order of Relinquishment of Interest shall not be recorded until all of the conditions of approval have been met provided, however, that conditions requiring modification of public improvements may be fulfilled for purposes of recordation by providing sufficient security for the performance thereof in accordance with the Subdivision Ordinance of the City of Las Vegas. City Staff is empowered to modify this application if necessary because of technical concerns or because of other related review actions as long as current City right-of-way requirements are still complied with and the intent of the vacation application is not changed. If applicable, a five-foot wide easement for public streetlight and fire hydrant purposes shall be retained on all vacation actions abutting public street corridors that will remain dedicated and available for public use. Also, if applicable and where needed, public easement corridors and sight visibility or other easements that would/should cross any right-of-way or easement being vacated must be retained.
8. If the Order of Vacation is not recorded within one (1) year after approval by the City Council and the Planning and Development Director does not grant an Extension of Time, then approval will terminate and a new petition must be submitted.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 21, 2004

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

VACATION - PUBLIC HEARING - VAC-3323 - CANYON RIDGE CHRISTIAN CHURCH - Request for a Petition to vacate the Bronco Street cul-de-sac bulb, located south of La Madre Way, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

THOMAS HELLUMS, Canyon Ridge Christian Church, 7310 Smoke Ranch Road, Suite E, concurred with staff recommendations.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

(1:50 – 1:51)

3-1565

CONDITIONS:

1. Parcel Map PMP-3325 shall record prior to the recordation of this Order of Vacation. If said map does not record, then separate documentation for the dedication of the new offset cul-de-sac must be submitted, approved, and recorded prior to or concurrently with this Order of Vacation.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 21, 2004
Planning and Development Department
Item 107 – VAC-3323

CONDITIONS – Continued:

2. A Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study or other related drainage information acceptable to the Flood Control Section must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the recordation of the Order of Vacation for this application. Appropriate drainage easements shall be reserved if recommended by the approved Drainage Plan/Study. The drainage study required by SDR-3213 may be used to satisfy this condition provided that it includes a section covering the area proposed to be vacated.
3. Prior to the recordation of an Order of Vacation all public improvements, if any, adjacent to and in conflict with this vacation application are to be modified, as necessary, at the applicant's expense, or such modifications shall be guaranteed by provision of sufficient security for the performance thereof in accordance with the Subdivision Ordinance of the City of Las Vegas.
4. All development shall be in conformance with code requirements and design standards of all City departments.
5. The Order of Vacation shall not be recorded until all of the conditions of approval have been met. City Staff is empowered to modify this application if necessary because of technical concerns or because of other related review actions as long as current City right-of-way requirements are still complied with and the intent of the vacation application is not changed. If applicable, a five-foot wide easement for public streetlight and fire hydrant purposes shall be retained on all vacation actions abutting public street corridors that will remain dedicated and available for public use. Also, if applicable and where needed, public easement corridors and sight visibility or other easements that would/should cross any right-of-way being vacated must be retained.
6. If the Order of Vacation is not recorded within one (1) year after approval by the City Council and the Planning and Development Director does not grant an Extension of Time, then approval will terminate and a new petition must be submitted.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 21, 2004

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

REQUIRED ONE YEAR REVIEW - VARIANCE - PUBLIC HEARING - **RQR-3543 - SEA BREEZE VILLAGE, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY** - Required One-Year Review of an approved Variance (V-0054-02) TO ALLOW 652 PARKING SPACES WHERE 729 SPACES ARE REQUIRED on property located adjacent to the northeast corner of Buffalo Drive and Vegas Drive (APN: 138-22-418-005, 007, 008 and 009), C-1 (Limited Commercial) Zone, Ward 4 (Brown). Staff recommends APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Submitted after final agenda – pictures submitted by Planning & Development Department

MOTION:

BROWN – APPROVED subject to condition – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

ATTORNEY RUSSELL ROWE, Kummer Kaempfer Bonner & Renshaw, 3800 Howard Hughes Parkway, appeared on behalf of the applicant and concurred with staff conditions.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

(1:51 – 1:52)

3-1602

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. Conformance to the Conditions of Approval for Variance (V-0054-02).

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 21, 2004

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

REQUIRED SIX MONTH REVIEW - SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - **RQR-3541 - UNITED BROTHERS ENTERPRISES** - Required Six-Month Review of an approved Special Use Permit (U-0088-01) FOR THE SALE OF BEER AND WINE FOR OFF-PREMISES CONSUMPTION IN CONJUNCTION WITH A CONVENIENCE STORE at 2320 Fremont Street (APN: 139-35-805-001), C-2 (General Commercial) Zone, Ward 3 (Reese). Staff recommends APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Submitted after final agenda – pictures submitted by Planning & Development Department

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED subject to condition – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

NOTE: COUNCILMAN MACK disclosed that he is a consultant for SuperPawn owned by his brother, STEVEN MACK located nearby. He has not discussed this issue with him, no affect on the business and he feels comfortable voting.

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

MARC RISMAN, 10120 South Eastern Avenue, Suite 200, appeared with BILL BHATTI, United Brothers Enterprises, 2320 Fremont Street. MR. RISMAN pointed out that the City Council approved the special use in 2001 with a restriction prohibiting the sale of single containers. His understanding was that the restriction would be reviewed today and its removal considered.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 21, 2004
Planning and Development Department
Item 109 – RQR-3541

MINUTES – Continued:

DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY BRYAN SCOTT explained that that particular request is not on this particular application. Only the actual review of the special use permit is being considered at this time. MAYOR PRO TEM REESE indicated that the applicant would need to apply for that particular request.

AL GALLEGOS, Las Vegas resident, stated that owners of this type of business should be reminded that alcohol should not be consumed on premises. He mentioned that while a car wash was being held in front of a convenience store, alcohol was being consumed. When he called Metro he was told they were not aware of a law prohibiting the consumption of alcohol in front of the premises. MAYOR PRO TEM REESE also mentioned an incident where Metro officers allowed homeless men to consume alcohol in front of the convenience store. However, this is not the case at this particular store.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street, thanked the applicant for investing his money into this particular area. However, he is apprehensive about the alcohol sale and asked that a review be imposed. MAYOR PRO TEM REESE stated that he will make sure there are no problems at this location.

ROBERT GENZER, Director, Planning and Development Department, clarified that the review is for the use itself, not for the prohibition of the single containers. Therefore, the condition being reviewed is whether or not the sale of beer and wine is acceptable at this location. There have been no incidents recorded by Metro directly related to the sale of beer and wine. The applicant would have to apply for the removal of that particular condition.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

(1:52 – 1:58)

3-1639

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. Conformance to the Conditions of Approval for Special Use Permit (U-0088-01).

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 21, 2004

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

REQUIRED TWO YEAR REVIEW - SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - **RQR-3232 - LAMAR OUTDOOR ADVERTISING ON BEHALF OF POKROY N & E 1993 LIVING TRUST, ET AL** - Required Two Year Review of an approved Special Use Permit (U-0132-01) WHICH ALLOWED AN OFF-PREMISE ADVERTISING (BILLBOARD) SIGN at 2395 North Rancho Drive (APN: 139-19-102-001), C-2 (General Commercial) Zone, Ward 5 (Weekly). The Planning Commission (5-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (5-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

WEEKLY – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

NOTE: COUNCILMAN MACK disclosed that Lamar Outdoor Advertising has a contract with SuperPawn owned by his brother, STEVEN MACK, with whom he has an outside contract. However, the billboard is located outside the City limits; he does not believe it will have any affect on this application, and he will vote on this item.

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

SCOTT NAFTZGER, Lamar Outdoor Advertising, 1863 Helm Drive, appeared on behalf of the applicant and concurred with staff conditions.

No one appeared in opposition.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 21, 2004
Planning and Development Department
Item 110 – RQR-3232

MINUTES – Continued:

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

(1:58 – 1:59)

3-1864

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. The Special Use Permit shall be reviewed in two years at which time the City Council may require the off-premise sign to be removed. The applicant shall be responsible for notification costs of the review. Failure to pay the City for these costs may result in a requirement that the off-premise advertising (billboard) sign is removed.
2. If the existing off-premise advertising sign structure is removed, this Special Use Permit shall be expunged and a new off-premise advertising sign structure shall not be erected in the same location unless: (1) a new Special Use Permit is approved for the new structure by the City Council, or (2) the location is in compliance with all applicable standards of Title 19 including, but not limited to, distance separation requirements, or (3) a Variance to the applicable standards of Title 19 has been approved for the new structure by the City Council.
3. The off-premise advertising (billboard) sign and its supporting structure shall be properly maintained and kept free of graffiti at all times. Failure to perform the required maintenance may result in fines and/or removal of the off-premise advertising (billboard) sign.
4. The property owner shall keep the property properly maintained and graffiti-free at all times. Failure to perform required maintenance may result in fines and/or removal of the off-premise advertising sign.
5. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City Departments must be satisfied.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 21, 2004

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

REQUIRED TWO YEAR REVIEW - SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - **RQR-3233 - LAMAR OUTDOOR ADVERTISING ON BEHALF OF SG PROPERTIES, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY** - Appeal filed by Lamar Outdoor Advertising from the Denial by the Planning Commission of a Required Two Year Review of an approved Special Use Permit [U-0002-98(2)] WHICH ALLOWED AN OFF-PREMISE ADVERTISING (BILLBOARD) SIGN at 845 West Bonanza Road (APN: 139-28-801-008), M (Industrial) Zone, Ward 5 (Weekly). The Planning Commission (5-0-1 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (5-0-1 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Appeal letter filed by Lamar Outdoor Advertising

MOTION:

WEEKLY – Granted the Appeal; thereby APPROVING the Required Two Year Review subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

NOTE: COUNCILMAN MACK disclosed that Lamar Outdoor Advertising has a contract with SuperPawn owned by his brother, STEVEN MACK, with whom he has an outside contract. However, the billboard is outside the City limits; he does not believe it will have any affect on this application, and he will vote on this item.

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

SCOTT NAFTZGER, Lamar Outdoor Advertising, 1863 Helm Drive, appeared on behalf of the applicant. He indicated that the area has not changed and is an appropriate location for the billboard.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 21, 2004
Planning and Development Department
Item 111 – RQR-3233

MINUTES – Continued:

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street, agreed with the Planning Commission recommendation for denial.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY pointed out that there have been no changes, but there might be changes in the future. Therefore he recommended for approval with the understanding that the billboard might have to be removed if changes happen in this particular area.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

(1:59 – 2:02)

3-1918

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. The Special Use Permit shall be reviewed in two years at which time the City Council may require the off-premise sign to be removed. The applicant shall be responsible for notification costs of the review. Failure to pay the City for these costs may result in a requirement that the off-premise advertising (billboard) sign is removed.
2. If the existing off-premise advertising sign structure is removed, this Special Use Permit shall be expunged and a new off-premise advertising sign structure shall not be erected in the same location unless: (1) a new Special Use Permit is approved for the new structure by the City Council, or (2) the location is in compliance with all applicable standards of Title 19 including, but not limited to, distance separation requirements, or (3) a Variance to the applicable standards of Title 19 has been approved for the new structure by the City Council.
3. The off-premise advertising (billboard) sign and its supporting structure shall be properly maintained and kept free of graffiti at all times. Failure to perform the required maintenance may result in fines and/or removal of the off-premise advertising (billboard) sign.
4. The property owner shall keep the property properly maintained and graffiti-free at all times. Failure to perform required maintenance may result in fines and/or removal of the off-premise advertising sign.
5. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City Departments must be satisfied.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 21, 2004

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

ABEYANCE ITEM - SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - **SUP-2848** - **REAGAN NATIONAL ADVERTISING ON BEHALF OF C O G III, LIMITED** - Appeal filed by Singer & Brown from the Denial by the Planning Commission on a request for a Special Use Permit for a proposed off-premise advertising (billboard) SIGN on a portion of 3.03 acres located at 1110 South Rainbow Boulevard (APN: 163-02-101-002), C-1 (Limited Commercial) Zone, Ward 1 (Moncrief). The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

2
0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Appeal letter filed by Singer & Brown
5. Submitted after final agenda – Letter from Singer & Brown requesting item be tabled

MOTION:

BROWN – Motion to TABLE Item 112 [SUP-2848], accept the WITHDRAWAL WITHOUT PREJUDICE of Item 118 [SUP-3343] and to HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 115 [SUP-3315] to 2/4/2004 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(1:04 – 1:07)

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 21, 2004**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

ABEYANCE ITEM - SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - SUP-3114 - SHOW MEDIA ON BEHALF OF PROFESSIONAL INVESTMENT GROUP, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY - Appeal filed by Show Media from the Denial by the Planning Commission of a request for a Special Use Permit FOR A 40-FOOT TALL, 14 FOOT BY 48 FOOT OFF-PREMISE ADVERTISING (BILLBOARD) SIGN located at 4545 West Sahara Avenue (APN:162-07-101-005), C-1 (Limited Commercial) Zone, Ward 1 (Moncrief). The Planning Commission (5-1 vote) recommends DENIAL. Staff recommends APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

1
0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (5-1 vote) recommends DENIAL. Staff recommends APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Appeal letter filed by Show Media

MOTION:

MONCRIEF – Granted the Appeal; thereby APPROVING the Special Use Permit subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

ATTORNEY JAY BROWN, 520 South 4th Street, appeared on behalf of the applicant and stated that CHRIS YERGENSEN was not present to show the site plan. However, the Council could hold the item if the plans are required. COUNCILWOMAN MONCRIEF felt that the item could move forward without the plans.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street, agreed with the Planning Commission recommendation, but indicated that the billboard might be appropriate if it would be similar to the ones on the Chelsea property.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 21, 2004
Planning and Development Department
Item 113 – SUP-3114

MINUTES – Continued:

COUNCILWOMAN MONCRIEF indicated she had reservations about this particular site. However, the applicant is planning on improving the site and therefore moved for approval.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

(2:02 – 2:04)

3-2015

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. Conformance to all minimum requirements and provisions under Title 19.14.100 for the off-premise advertising (billboard) sign use and all other applicable sign requirements.
2. The Special Use Permit shall be reviewed in two years, at which time the City Council may require the off-premise sign to be removed. The applicant shall be responsible for notification costs of the review. Failure to pay the City for these costs may result in a requirement that the off-premise sign be removed.
3. If the existing off-premise advertising (billboard) sign structure is removed, this Special Use Permit shall be expunged and a new off-premise sign structure shall not be erected in the same location unless: (1) a new Special Use Permit is approved for the new structure by the City Council; or (2) the location is in compliance with all applicable standards of Title 19 including, but not limited to, distance separation requirements; or (3) a Variance to the applicable standards of Title 19 has been approved for the new structure by the City Council.
4. The off-premise advertising (billboard) sign and its supporting structure shall be properly maintained and kept free of graffiti at all times. Failure to perform the required maintenance may result in fines and/or removal of the off-premise advertising (billboard) sign.
5. The off-premise advertising sign (billboard) supporting structure shall be designed to finish materials to complement the existing on-site building. The entire face-area of both sides of the off-premise advertising (billboard) sign shall comprise signage area or its border framework; none of the supporting structure shall be visible aside from the support pole.
6. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 21, 2004
Planning and Development Department
Item 113 – SUP-3114

CONDITIONS – Continued:

Public Works

7. The proposed billboard shall not be located within public right-of-way, existing or proposed public sewer or drainage easements, or interfere with Site Visibility Restriction Zones.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 21, 2004

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

ABEYANCE ITEM - SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - **SUP-3128** - **NORA ARMENIAN ON BEHALF OF THE KOUTNOUYAN LIVING TRUST** - Request for a Special Use Permit FOR AUTO PARTS (NEW AND REBUILT) (ACCESSORY SALES & SERVICE) AND A PROPOSED AUTO REPAIR GARAGE, MINOR, located at 4401 Stewart Avenue (APN: 140-32-201-002), C-1 (Limited Commercial) Zone, Ward 3 (Reese). The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED subject to conditions and the following added conditions:

- *The hours of operation shall be from 8:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m.*
- *Trash dumpster shall be located and fully enclosed to meet Title 19 Standards.*
- *No signage shall be placed on the lawn or landscaped areas.*
- *There shall be no outside displays.*
- *The Special Use Permit shall be reviewed in six months by City Council.*

– **UNANIMOUS** with GOODMAN excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

NORA ARMENIAN, 1000 Stephanie Place, Henderson, Nevada, concurred with staff conditions.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 21, 2004
Planning and Development Department
Item 114 – SUP-3128

MINUTES – Continued:

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street, stated he was disappointed that this business is not going to be similar to Wiens Tires. He indicated that the garage is already open for business. He does not believe it should be open until the use permit is approved. Additionally, there are other tire shops in the vicinity.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE noted that he explained to the applicant that additional conditions would be imposed, to which the applicant agreed. They are open for business and will remodel the existing building. The applicant is aware that if any problems arise, the permit will be reconsidered. MR. FARLOW asked that a review be imposed.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE thanked the applicant for meeting with him. This will be a good project if all the conditions are met. He asked that the hours of operation be from 8:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m. and that a large dumpster area be enclosed with block. In addition, no signage shall be placed on the lawn or bay areas, no outside displays and the use shall be reviewed in six months. Conditions are already in place requiring that no repair, service or installation of tires will be performed outside of the building. If any problems arise, they will be cited. He wants this area to be safe. MS. ARMENIAN concurred with all added conditions.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.
(2:04 – 2:09)

3-2115

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. This Special Use Permit shall expire one year from the date of final approval, unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
2. Prior to the issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy the owners of parcels APN 140-32-201-001 and APN 140-32-201-002 must execute an Off-Site Parking Agreement or similar document, satisfactory to the City Attorney, allowing for the use of five additional parking spaces for the subject site.
3. A landscaping plan showing shrubs in conformance to Code standards must be submitted and approved by the Planning and Development Department Staff prior to or at the same time application is made for a building permit.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 21, 2004
Planning and Development Department
Item 114 – SUP-3128

CONDITIONS – Continued:

4. Landscaping and a permanent underground sprinkler system shall be installed as required by the Planning Commission or City Council and shall be permanently maintained in a satisfactory manner. [Failure to properly maintain required landscaping and underground sprinkler systems shall be cause for revocation of a business license.]
5. All repair, service, and installation work shall be performed within a completely enclosed building.
6. No used or discarded automotive parts or equipment shall be located in any open area outside of an enclosed building.
7. No outside storage of stock, equipment or residual used equipment shall be located in any open area outside of an enclosed building.
8. All disabled vehicles shall be stored in an area, which is screened from view from the surrounding properties and adjoining streets. Vehicles shall not be stored on the property longer than 45 days.
9. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.

Public Works

10. Dedicate an additional 29 feet of right-of-way for a total radius of 54 feet on the northeast corner of Stewart Avenue and Lamb Boulevard prior to the issuance of any permits as required by the Department of Public Works. Alternatively, the applicant may grant a traffic signal chord easement on the northeast corner of Stewart Avenue and Lamb Boulevard; coordinate with the Right-of-Way Section of the Department of Public Works for assistance in the preparation of appropriate documents prior to the issuance of any permits for this site.
11. Provide a copy of a recorded Joint Access and Parking Agreement between this site and the adjoining parcel to the north prior to the issuance of any permits.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 21, 2004**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - SUP-3315 - BUMPER MEDIC ON BEHALF OF SUN WEST - Request for a Special Use Permit FOR A PROPOSED AUTO PAINT AND BODY REPAIR SHOP at 7870 West Ann Road (APN: 125-28-818-004), C-2 (General Commercial) Zone, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (5-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

2
2

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (5-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Submitted after final agenda – Protest letters from Albert Smolski and Sandy Baklajian
5. Back up referenced from the 12/18/2003 Planning Commission meeting Item 25

MOTION:

BROWN – Motion to TABLE Item 112 [SUP-2848], accept the WITHDRAWAL WITHOUT PREJUDICE of Item 118 [SUP-3343] and to HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 115 [SUP-3315] to 2/4/2004 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN MACK requested that Item 115 [SUP-3315] be held to the 2/4/2004 City Council meeting so that the applicant can meet with the neighbors to work out any outstanding issues.

There was no further discussion.

(1:04 – 1:07)

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 21, 2004

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - SUP-3332 - MOM'S CASH BOX ON BEHALF OF FARM ROAD RETAIL, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY - Request for a Special Use Permit FOR A FINANCIAL INSTITUTION, SPECIFIED at 8400 Farm Road, Suite #120 (APN: 125-17-610-007), T-C (Town Center) Zone, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

BROWN – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Items 116 and 117 due to his relationship with SuperPawn owned by his brother, STEVEN MACK, who offers the same services and GOODMAN excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

ATTORNEY BOB GRONAUER, Kummer, Kaempfer, Bonner & Renshaw, 3800 Howard Hughes Parkway, appeared on behalf of the applicant and concurred with staff recommendations.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street, verified with Planning staff that no saturation issues exist with this particular application.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 21, 2004
Planning and Development Department
Item 116 – SUP-3332

MINUTES – Continued:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

(2:09 – 2:11)

3-2345

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. This Special Use Permit shall expire one year from the date of final approval, unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
2. The use shall comply with all applicable requirements of LVMC Title 6.
3. Any proposed changes to the building design and color scheme shall be subject to review by the Planning and Development Department to ensure that it will be harmonious and compatible with the surrounding area.
4. No temporary signs (as described in LVMC Title 19.14.090) such as balloons, inflated devices, searchlights, pennants, portable billboards, portable signs, streamers, trucks parked for signage purposes, or other similar devices are permitted, except that banners announcing a “grand opening” or that a business is “coming soon” may be approved administratively for a period not to exceed thirty days.
5. Window signs shall not cover more than twenty percent (20%) of the area of all exterior windows.
6. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 21, 2004

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - SUP-3333 - MOM'S CASH BOX ON BEHALF OF NORTHSORE PLAZA, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY - Request for a Special Use Permit FOR A FINANCIAL INSTITUTION, SPECIFIED at 8410 West Cheyenne Avenue, Suite #100 (APN: 138-09-420-007), U (Undeveloped) Zone under Resolution of Intent to C-1 (Limited Commercial), Ward 4 (Brown). The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

BROWN – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Items 116 and 117 due to his relationship with SuperPawn owned by his brother, STEVEN MACK, who offers the same services and GOODMAN excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

ATTORNEY BOB GRONAUER, Kummer, Kaempfer, Bonner & Renshaw, 3800 Howard Hughes Parkway, appeared on behalf of the applicant and concurred with staff recommendations.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

(2:11 – 2:12)

3-2445

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 21, 2004
Planning and Development Department
Item 117 – SUP-3333

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. This Special Use Permit shall expire one year from the date of final approval, unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
2. The use shall comply with all applicable requirements of LVMC Title 6.
3. Any proposed changes to the building design and color scheme shall be subject to review by the Planning and Development Department to ensure that it will be harmonious and compatible with the surrounding area.
4. No temporary signs (as described in LVMC Title 19.14.090) such as balloons, inflated devices, searchlights, pennants, portable billboards, portable signs, streamers, trucks parked for signage purposes, or other similar devices are permitted, except that banners announcing a “grand opening” or that a business is “coming soon” may be approved administratively for a period not to exceed thirty days.
5. Window signs shall not cover more than twenty percent (20%) of the area of all exterior windows.
6. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 21, 2004

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - SUP-3343 - LAS VEGAS BILLBOARDS ON BEHALF OF SAHARA RAINBOW, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY - Appeal filed by Total Scope from the Denial by the Planning Commission on a request for a Special Use Permit FOR A PROPOSED 40-FOOT TALL, 14 FOOT BY 48 FOOT, OFF-PREMISE ADVERTISING (BILLBOARD) SIGN adjacent to the northeast corner of Sahara Avenue and Rainbow Boulevard (APN: 163-02-415-015), C-1 (Limited Commercial) Zone, Ward 1 (Moncrief). The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

1
0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Appeal letter filed by Total Scope Land Development Consulting
5. Submitted after final agenda – Protest letter by Earl W. Degner
6. Submitted after final agenda – Letter from Singer & Brown requesting withdrawal without prejudice

MOTION:

BROWN – Motion to TABLE Item 112 [SUP-2848], accept the WITHDRAWAL WITHOUT PREJUDICE of Item 118 [SUP-3343] and to HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 115 [SUP-3315] to 2/4/2004 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(1:04 – 1:07)

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 21, 2004

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - SUP-3405 - FAMILY AND CHILD TREATMENT OF SOUTHERN NEVADA ON BEHALF OF FURBER DEVELOPMENT
- Request for a Special Use Permit FOR A PROPOSED SEX OFFENDER COUNSELING FACILITY at 1050 South Rainbow Boulevard (APN: 138-34-820-009), C-1 (Limited Commercial) Zone, Ward 1 (Moncrief). The Planning Commission (5-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

8
3

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

2
0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (5-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Submitted after final agenda – Protest letters from Lehua Enterprises, Inc., Lester Bruno Jr. and Steve Dimond
5. Back up referenced from the 1/8/2004 Planning Commission meeting Item 45

MOTION:

MONCRIEF – APPROVED subject to conditions – Motion carried with L.B. McDONALD voting NO and GOODMAN excused

NOTE: COUNCILMAN MACK disclosed that he is a consultant for a SuperPawn owned by his brother, STEVEN MACK, located in the vicinity. He has not discussed this matter with him nor does he feel this request will impact his brother's business and feels comfortable voting.

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 21, 2004
Planning and Development Department
Item 119 – SUP-3405

MINUTES – Continued:

ATTORNEY MICHAEL RASMUSSEN, 7401 West Charleston Boulevard, appeared with VICTORIA GRAFF, Executive Director, Family and Child Treatment of Southern Nevada, 1015 South Rainbow Boulevard. ATTORNEY RASMUSSEN concurred with staff recommendations.

Upon COUNCILWOMAN MONCRIEF'S request, MS. GRAFF explained that Family and Child Treatment of Southern Nevada (FACT) has been in operation for 20 years. Last year they treated 827 clients, of which 80% were victims of child abuse, neglect and family violence. At any given time half of them are treated completely free of charge through grants and donations. Ninety percent of all children, who are sexually abused are abused by someone they know. Regardless of intervention through the courts, many times these children end up living with the offenders again.

MS. GRAFF added that their program treats offenders by working closely with the courts and with probation and parole boards. Only Tier I and Tier II sex offenders receive counseling, which generally are incest and lower risk offenders. They do not treat Tier III. Working actively with the courts and probation and parole, when someone is identified as a high risk or someone has been inappropriately termed a Tier I or Tier II, those sex offenders are incarcerated. She noted that since the program was established, there have been no incidents of violence or disruption at the Center.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE verified with MS. GRAFF that she is not related to his wife, whose maiden name is GRAFF.

JOHN KENDRICK, 6733 Theus Circle, opposed the proposed facility because of its proximity to area schools. He argued that having these offenders pass the schools would subject the children to unnecessary risks. The facility is not appropriate for this area and a different location should be found. He asked that prominent signage be installed indicating who is being treated at the facility. He commended the City Council for a wonderful job.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street, referred to a newspaper article related to an accused sex offender who for 18 years has been insisting that he never molested any children. He opined that many of the offenses never happened, and that many of the accused plead the Alfred Plea. These offenders should be given the opportunity to reenter society.

MIKE CHISUM, owner of ABC Dance Studio, 6700 West Charleston, Suite G, stated that approximately 135 children ranging from three years of age and up attend his dance studio. Regardless of what tier these offenders are, parents will not bring their child to his studio. In fact, he already has lost 15 students and if the facility is approved he would be forced to close his business.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 21, 2004
Planning and Development Department
Item 119 – SUP-3405

MINUTES – Continued:

JODI TYSON, Director, Nevada Coalition Against Sexual Violence, appeared in support of FACT. Her organization is a multi-disciplinary member association advocating for coordinated and comprehensive response to intervention and prevention of sexual violence. She pointed out that 80% of predators know their victims very well. The highest risk for re-offense is after five years of finishing the justice system requirements, including treatment. Communities are not in danger because of where the Family and Child Treatments are located, but because of the unidentified offenders that are still living in those communities. FACT provides free services for victims and their families. These services are crucial to the safety, well-being and mental health of the community. The Nevada Coalition Against Sexual Violence has used the therapist at FACT as model approaches to sex offender and victim treatment. They have separate entrances for victims and their families and for offenders. FACT'S expertise is also utilized in dealing with offenders and victims to train other facilities in the State of Nevada. This professional organization has been in existence in this community and serves to better the mental health of this community. Sex offenders live in apartment complexes and in neighborhoods. They are coming to FACT to be held accountable and to fulfill their requirements for being in the community.

JUANITA CLARK, Charleston Neighborhood Preservation, is not against the counseling, but opposes the facility in a residential neighborhood. Graffiti in the neighborhood has increased since the facility has been providing these services. Tier I and Tier II sex offenders have a history. She pointed out that schools, parks and childcare centers are located in this area, as well as dance studios. She outlined the types of offenders who are treated at this facility and the victims of that abuse. If a teacher, real estate broker or a lawyer is in a Tier I, it is just because they have money or a name and are able to avoid incarceration. Her concern is for those offenders who might retaliate against someone who turned them in. FACT is doing a wonderful job, but she asked that the request be denied.

OFFICER WORTHINGTON, Parole and Probation, indicated that she works very closely with FACT. Sex offenders go through a program that has different levels and steps. If sex offenders go through a 12-week process and are still in denial, they may face potential termination from the group. If that should occur, they are terminated and arrested. They must admit their crime in order to obtain the treatment they need. If the offender's danger level might escalate, FACT would inform the Parole and Probation immediately. They also polygraph one to two offenders every month. The community is not notified about Tier I and Tier II sex offenders that live in their zip codes but is notified when offenders are Tier level III. Not all sex offenders are child molesters. There are people with statutory sexual seduction or sexual assault against an adult woman. A child molester and a pedophile is not the bulk of their caseload.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 21, 2004
Planning and Development Department
Item 119 – SUP-3405

MINUTES – Continued:

SUE BRNA, 6365 Palmyra Avenue, adamantly opposed the facility. Her daughter attends the dance studio and she would not feel comfortable letting her daughter ride her bicycle by this facility. Her concern is that some of the offenders may not be properly diagnosed. She is a five-year old victim of such a crime and commends FACT on treating these offenders. She pointed out these offenders pass an apartment building, dance studio and a bus stop. It is like putting a fox in a hen house. This use should not be allowed at this location.

MIKE COMPTON, Operations Supervisor, Sex Offender Unit at Parole and Probation, commented that many people on probation and parole are sex offenders. By Nevada Revised Statutes they are required to undergo therapy, and Parole and Probation depend very heavily on counseling services, such as FACT, to provide that kind of therapy. FACT keeps Parole and Probation informed as to the progress these people are making, when they could possibly begin their offense cycle, and if that happens, those offenders are looked at more closely. Part of the sex offenders' specific counseling includes a polygraph and a sexual biography. While it is true that many sex offenders often use the Alfred Plea when they are in courts it is also true that when they start counseling they admit to their offense and get help.

MR. COMPTON explained that FACT uses a kind of counseling called Sex Offenders Specific Counseling. It is the only kind of counseling that has been approved and recommended by two national organizations. One is Center for Sex Offenders Management (CSOM) and Association for the Treatment of Sex Abusers (ATSA). It is a very specific kind of counseling. It has been shown that folks who do this counseling are much less likely to re-offend. Many sex offenders live in every neighborhood and it is better to have these people in therapy.

JUNE INGRAM, Charleston Neighborhood Preservation, stated that the adjacent businesses would have to close if the proposed facility is approved. These people should not come into the neighborhood. She does not support the application.

DOROTHY BARNES has a problem with child molesters being supported by the same people that provide these treatment centers. This kind of facility should be located outside of the city limits.

STEVE "CAPTAIN TRUTH" DEMPSEY referred to an organization called VOCALS, Victims of Child Abuse Laws. It comes down to money and they will get more from the State because they are selling fear. There are other locations where this facility could be placed, but they want it where it can fester to become a problem so they can get the funding.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 21, 2004
Planning and Development Department
Item 119 – SUP-3405

MINUTES – Continued:

AL GALLEG0, citizen of Las Vegas, asked where the offenders are moving from and maybe find out what kind of neighbors they were.

Regarding the foot traffic, ATTORNEY RASMUSSEN rebutted that group sessions are based on specific appointment times and offenders are regulated as they come through the doors. As far as signage, it would be a violation to mention the type of people going into the facility because it would be releasing medical information by having such a sign. He added that they are a non-profit agency and most of their money is based on grants. The sex offenders are actually charged and that money is used to fund the counseling of the children, the other victims of violence.

MS. GRAFF added that the offenders are treated in groups, which are currently held Monday through Thursday from 4:30 p.m. to 7:30 p.m. The offenders are to arrive on the property no more than ten minutes before the group meets and expected to exit immediately by using a different door. Contrary to what the residents stated, they have not been treating sex offenders since August. Each offender is required to sign a confidentiality statement. Without that document, the individual is refused counseling. The signed document enables the facility to communicate with the Courts and the Parole and Probation.

COUNCILWOMAN MONCRIEF commented that she had the same concerns expressed by the constituents. She was offended to hear that this facility is a moneymaker. It is a non-profit organization that helps people who need help. FACT is a needed and required counseling facility. She agrees that the unknown victims are the ones who are in danger. Sex offenders are in every community and need to be treated.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

(2:12 – 2:47)

3-2472

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. This Special Use Permit shall expire two years from the date of final approval, unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
2. This use shall be subject to a one year review at a public hearing by the Planning Commission and City Council.
3. Any treatment other than Tier 1 or Tier 2 offenders shall require the submittal of a new Special Use Permit application.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 21, 2004
Planning and Development Department
Item 119 – SUP-3405

CONDITIONS – Continued:

4. The facility shall adhere to business hours Monday through Saturday, 8:00 to 5:00 pm, with treatment available until 8:00 pm.
5. Conformance to all applicable regulatory criteria of Federal, State and local agencies.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 21, 2004**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - SUP-3352 - SOHO LOFTS, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY ON BEHALF OF SMITH-ENGLAND TRUST - Request for a Special Use Permit FOR A MIXED-USE DEVELOPMENT WITH 112 RESIDENTIAL UNITS AND APPROXIMATELY 4,000 SQUARE FEET OF RETAIL SPACE adjacent to the southwest corner of Las Vegas Boulevard and Hoover Street (APN: 139-34-401-003), C-2 (General Commercial) Zone, Ward 5 (Weekly). The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

1
1

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Submitted after final agenda – Protest letter from Vern Yamanaka

MOTION:

WEEKLY – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open for Item 120 [SUP-3352], Item 121 [SDR-3253] and Item 122 [VAC-3409].

HARRIS RITTOFF, 1514 Treetop Court, indicated that he first came to Las Vegas in 1945 and has seen many major developments in the City. He was very excited when he got a call from SAM CHERRY, who talked about downtown and building lofts. While driving through downtown, it reminded him of New York and the Soho District. People who visit Las Vegas do not realize that there is more than just the Strip. He commended the Mayor and Council for the redevelopment of downtown Las Vegas. However, the one element missing is residential. Residential brings business services and business services bring more people. He is excited to be

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 21, 2004
Planning and Development Department
Item 120 – SUP-3352

MINUTES – Continued:

part of this plan and this is an opportunity for Las Vegas to establish itself as a City. When people talk about Las Vegas they recognize a metropolitan, vibrant City with the Strip as an amenity, just like Michigan Avenue is to Chicago and Fifth Avenue is to New York. He recognizes that they are taking a risk, but with risk comes reward. He will be one who will reside in these lofts. The Soho Lofts will be a 112-unit development with all the amenities of high-rise living with a 24-hour doorman service and 24-hour security. The latest technology will be going into that building, from walking in and opening the door with fingerprints to many services. The building will have a cooler and storage facility for grocery deliveries. There will be an abundance of parking.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street, thanked the applicant for bringing this proposal to downtown.

JUANITA CLARK, Charleston Neighborhood Preservation, commented on the appearance of the building and especially on the name of Soho.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY appreciated the applicant's vision and for taking a risk. The Mayor is very excited about this project. MR. RITTOFF indicated that he has been working very diligently with his architect and construction should start as early as June.

With regards to the Vacation, BART ANDERSON, Public Works Department, confirmed that staff supports the request. There was a concern from a neighbor to which he responded that this vacation is consistent with other actions in the past. Staff supports the request.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed for Item 120 [SUP-3352], Item 121 [SDR-3253] and Item 122 [VAC-3409].

(2:47 – 2:59)

4-425

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. Conformance to all Minimum Requirements under Title 19.04.050 for Mixed-Use developments.
2. Approval of and conformance to the Conditions of Approval for Site Development Plan Review (SDR-3253).

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 21, 2004
Planning and Development Department
Item 120 – SUP-3352

CONDITIONS – Continued:

3. This Special Use Permit shall expire two years from the date of final approval, unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 21, 2004

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO SUP-3352 - PUBLIC HEARING - **SDR-3253 - SOHO LOFTS, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY ON BEHALF OF SMITH-ENGLAND TRUST** - Request for a Site Development Plan Review FOR A 112 UNIT RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT WITH APPROXIMATELY 4,000 SQUARE FEET OF RETAIL AND WAIVERS OF THE DOWNTOWN CENTENNIAL PLAN STEP BACK STANDARD AND REQUIRED SIDEWALK WIDTH on 0.68 acres adjacent to the southwest corner of Las Vegas Boulevard and Hoover Street (APN: 139-34-401-003), C-2 (General Commercial) Zone, Ward 5 (Weekly). The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

1
0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

2
0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

WEEKLY – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

MINUTES:

NOTE: See Item 120 [SUP-3352] for all related discussion.

(2:47 – 2:59)

4-425

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. A Special Use Permit (SUP-3352) to allow the mixed-use development in a C-2 district and a Vacation (VAC-3409) petition to allow a reduction in the Hoover Avenue right-of-way approved by City Council.
2. This Site Development Plan Review shall expire two years from date of final approval unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 21, 2004
Planning and Development Department
Item 121 – SDR-3253

CONDITIONS – Continued:

3. All development shall be in conformance with the site plan and building elevations, except as amended by conditions herein.
4. Landscaping and a permanent underground sprinkler system shall be installed as required by the Planning Commission or City Council and shall be permanently maintained in a satisfactory manner. Landscape materials in the public right-of-way shall conform to the Downtown Centennial Plan standards.
5. Street lighting and sidewalk paving treatments shall be installed in conformance with the Downtown Centennial Plan standards.
6. Any property line wall shall be a decorative block wall, with at least 20 percent contrasting materials. Wall heights shall be measured from the side of the fence with the least vertical exposure above the finished grade, unless otherwise stipulated.
7. Any new utility or power service line provided to the parcel shall be placed underground from the property line to the point of on-site connection or service panel location.
8. Meet with the Fire Protection Engineering Section of the Department of Fire Services prior to submittal of a Tentative Map for this site. The Design and layout of all onsite private circulation and access drives shall meet the approval of the Department of Fire Services.

Public Works

9. An application to vacate the existing public sewer easement on this site must be approved and the Order of Vacation recorded prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits. A sanitary sewer relocation plan must be submitted to and approved by the City Engineer prior to the recordation of an Order of Vacation; comply with all recommendations of the approved plan. Provide public sewer easements for all public sewers not located within existing public street right-of-way prior the recordation of an Order of Vacation. Also, continuous sewer service to upstream parcels shall be maintained at all times.
10. Dedicate an additional 5 feet of right-of-way for a total half-street width of 45 feet on Las Vegas Boulevard South adjacent to this site. Also dedicate a 10-foot radius on the southwest corner of Hoover Avenue and Las Vegas Boulevard and a 10-foot radius on the southeast corner of Hoover Avenue and Fourth Street.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 21, 2004
Planning and Development Department
Item 121 – SDR-3253

CONDITIONS – Continued:

11. Remove all substandard public street improvements and unused driveway cuts adjacent to this site, if any, and replace with new improvements meeting current Las Vegas Downtown Centennial Plan City Standards concurrent with on-site development activities.
12. Meet with the Flood Control Section of the Department of Public Works for assistance with establishing finished floor elevations and drainage patterns for this site prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, whichever may occur first. Provide and improve all drainageways as recommended.
13. Meet with the Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development for assistance in the possible redesign of the proposed driveway access, on site circulation and parking lot layout prior to the submittal of any construction plans or the issuance of any permits, whichever may occur first. Driveways shall be designed, located and constructed in accordance with Standard Drawing #222a.
14. A Traffic Impact Analysis must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits. Comply with the recommendations of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis prior to occupancy of the site. The City shall determine area traffic mitigation contribution requirements based upon information provided in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis; such monies shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any permits for this site. The Traffic Impact Analysis shall also include a section addressing Standard Drawings #234.1 #234.2 and #234.3 to determine additional right-of-way requirements adjacent to this site, if any; dedicate all areas recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. All additional rights-of-way required by Standard Drawing #201.1 shall be dedicated prior to or concurrent with the commencement of on-site development activities unless specifically noted as not required in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. If additional rights-of-way are not required and Traffic Control devices are or may be proposed at this site outside of the public right-of-way, all necessary easements for the location and/or access of such devices shall be granted prior to the issuance of permits for this site. Phased compliance will be allowed if recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. No recommendation of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis, nor compliance therewith, shall be deemed to modify or eliminate any condition of approval imposed by the Planning Commission or the City Council on the development of this site.

Alternatively, in lieu of a Traffic Impact Analysis, the applicant may participate in a reasonable alternative mutually acceptable to the applicant and the Department of Public Works.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 21, 2004
Planning and Development Department
Item 121 – SDR-3253

CONDITIONS – Continued:

15. Landscape and maintain all unimproved rights-of-way on 4th Street, Hoover Avenue, and Las Vegas Boulevard adjacent to this site.
16. Submit an Encroachment Agreement for all landscaping and private improvements located within or over the public right-of-way adjacent to this site prior to occupancy of this site.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 21, 2004

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

VACATION RELATED TO SUP-3352 AND SDR-3253 - PUBLIC HEARING - VAC-3409 - SOHO LOFTS, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY ON BEHALF OF THE SMITH-ENGLAND TRUST, ET AL - Petition to Vacate the south six feet of Hoover Avenue, between Las Vegas Boulevard and 4th Street; and a 20 foot public sewer easement generally located south of Hoover Avenue, between Las Vegas Boulevard and 4th Street, Ward 5 (Weekly). The Planning Commission (4-0-2 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
1

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (4-0-2 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Submitted after final agenda – Protest letter from Vern Yamanaka

MOTION:

WEEKLY – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

MINUTES:

NOTE: See Item 120 [SUP-3352] for all related discussion.

(2:47 – 2:59)

4-425

CONDITIONS:

1. This vacation shall include the southerly most 6-feet of Hoover Avenue between the east right-of-way line along 4th Street and the west right-of-way line along Las Vegas Boulevard, excepting appropriate radius corners.
2. A sanitary sewer relocation/abandonment plan must be submitted and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the recordation of the Order of Vacation; alternatively appropriate public sewer easements shall be retained in line with the previously vacated alley between 4th Street and Las Vegas Boulevard.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 21, 2004
Planning and Development Department
Item 122 – VAC-3409

CONDITIONS – Continued:

3. All public improvements, if any, adjacent to and in conflict with this vacation application are to be modified, as necessary, at the applicant's expense prior to the recordation of an Order of Vacation.
4. All development shall be in conformance with code requirements and design standards of all City departments.
5. Reservation of easements for the facilities of the various utility companies together with reasonable ingress thereto and egress therefrom shall be provided if required.
6. The Order of Vacation shall not be recorded until all of the above conditions have been met provided, however, that conditions requiring modification of public improvements may be fulfilled for purposes of recordation by providing sufficient security for the performance thereof in accordance with the Subdivision Ordinance of the City of Las Vegas. City Staff is empowered to modify this application if necessary because of technical concerns or because of other related review actions as long as current City right-of-way requirements are still complied with and the intent of the vacation application is not changed. If applicable, a five foot wide easement for public streetlight and fire hydrant purposes shall be retained on all vacation actions abutting public street corridors that will remain dedicated and available for public use. Also, if applicable and where needed, public easement corridors and sight visibility or other easements that would/should cross any right-of-way or easement being vacated must be retained.
7. If the Order of Vacation is not recorded within one (1) year after approval by the City Council and the Planning and Development Director does not grant an Extension of Time, then approval will terminate and a new petition must be submitted.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 21, 2004

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

REZONING - PUBLIC HEARING - **ZON-3197 - RICHARD AND BARBARA STIMAC**
- Request for a Rezoning FROM: U (UNDEVELOPED) [SC (SERVICE COMMERCIAL)
GENERAL PLAN DESIGNATION] TO: C-1 (LIMITED COMMERCIAL) on 3.53 acres
adjacent to the southwest corner of Craig Road and US 95 (APN: 138-03-701-003), Ward 6
(Mack). The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

NOTE: COUNCILMAN MACK disclosed that a Timbers Bar owned by his brother-in-law, ANDREW DONNER, is adjacent to this site. He has not discussed this with him nor will it impact his business, therefore he will be voting on this item.

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

RUSS SILLITOE, Civil Tech, 4795 South Sandhill Road, Suite #14, appeared on behalf of the applicant and concurred with staff recommendations.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

(2:59 – 3:00)

4-794

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 21, 2004
Planning and Development Department
Item 123 – ZON-3197

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. A Resolution of Intent with a two-year time limit.
2. A Site Development Plan Review application approved by the Planning Commission and City Council prior to issuance of any permits, any site grading, and all development activity for the site.

Public Works

3. Remove all substandard public street improvements, if any, adjacent to this site and replace with new improvements meeting current City Standards concurrent with on-site development activities.
4. Provide a copy of a recorded Joint Access Agreement between this site and the adjoining parcel to the west prior to the issuance of any permits.
5. A Traffic Impact Analysis must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, submittal of any construction drawings or the recordation of a Final Map for this site, whichever may occur first. Comply with the recommendations of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis prior to occupancy of the site. The City shall determine area traffic mitigation contribution requirements based upon information provided in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis; such monies shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any permits or the recordation of a Final Map for this site. The Traffic Impact Analysis shall also include a section addressing Standard Drawings #234.1 #234.2 and #234.3 to determine additional right-of-way requirements for bus turnouts adjacent to this site, if any; dedicate all areas recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. All additional rights-of-way required by Standard Drawing #201.1 for exclusive right turn lanes and dual left turn lanes shall be dedicated prior to or concurrent with the commencement of on-site development activities unless specifically noted as not required in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. If additional rights-of-way are not required and Traffic Control devices are or may be proposed at this site outside of the public right-of-way, all necessary easements for the location and/or access of such devices shall be granted prior to the issuance of permits for this site. Phased compliance will be allowed if recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. No recommendation of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis, nor compliance therewith, shall be deemed to modify or eliminate any condition of approval imposed by the Planning Commission or the City Council on the development of this site.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 21, 2004
Planning and Development Department
Item 123 – ZON-3197

CONDITIONS – Continued:

Alternatively, in lieu of a Traffic Impact Analysis, the applicant may participate in a reasonable alternative mutually acceptable to the applicant and the Department of Public Works.

6. A Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, submittal of any construction drawings or the recordation of a Final Map for this site, whichever may occur first. Provide and improve all drainageways recommended in the approved drainage plan/study. The developer of this site shall be responsible to construct such neighborhood or local drainage facility improvements as are recommended by the approved Drainage Plan/Study concurrent with development of this site. In lieu of constructing improvements, in whole or in part, the developer may agree to contribute monies for the construction of neighborhood or local drainage improvements, the amount of such monies shall be determined by the approved Drainage Plan/Study and shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits or the recordation of a Final Map, whichever may occur first, if allowed by the City Engineer. We note that this site is within a FEMA “AE” Flood Zone; development of this parcel may be significantly impacted by the flood zone and may require removal of some or all buildings depicted on this site plan.
7. Dedicate additional rights-of-way for a right turn at this property on the southwest corner of Craig Road and U.S. 95 as required by the Department of Public Works, unless specifically noted as not required in an approved Traffic Impact Analysis.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 21, 2004

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

REZONING - PUBLIC HEARING - **ZON-3326 - RASO CORPORATION** - Request for a Rezoning FROM: R-1 (SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL) TO: C-1 (LIMITED COMMERCIAL) on 0.94 acres on the south side of Vegas Drive, approximately 584 feet west of Pyramid Drive (APN: 139-30-501-001), Ward 5 (Weekly). The Planning Commission (5-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

1
0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (5-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Back up referenced from the 12/18/2003 Planning Commission meeting Item 12

MOTION:

WEEKLY – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open for Item 124 [ZON-3326] and Item 125 [SDR-3327].

The applicant was not present.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed for Item 124 [ZON-3326] and Item 125 [SDR-3327].

(3:00 – 3:02)

4-835

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 21, 2004
Planning and Development Department
Item 124 – ZON-3326

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. A Resolution of Intent with a two-year time limit.
2. A Site Development Plan Review application approved by the Planning Commission and City Council prior to issuance of any permits, any site grading, and all development activity for the site.

Public Works

3. Construct all incomplete half-street improvements on Vegas Drive adjacent to this site concurrent with development of this site. Driveways shall be designed, located and constructed in accordance with Standard Drawing #222A.
4. A Traffic Impact Analysis must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, or the submittal of any construction drawings. Comply with the recommendations of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis prior to occupancy of the site. The City shall determine area traffic mitigation contribution requirements based upon information provided in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis; such monies shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any permits for this site. The Traffic Impact Analysis shall also include a section addressing Standard Drawings #234.1 #234.2 and #234.3 to determine additional right-of-way requirements for bus turnouts adjacent to this site, if any; dedicate all areas recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. All additional rights-of-way required by Standard Drawing #201.1 for exclusive right turn lanes and dual left turn lanes shall be dedicated prior to or concurrent with the commencement of on-site development activities unless specifically noted as not required in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. Phased compliance will be allowed if recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. No recommendation of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis, nor compliance therewith, shall be deemed to modify or eliminate any condition of approval imposed by the Planning Commission or the City Council on the development of this site.

Alternatively, in lieu of a Traffic Impact Analysis, the applicant may participate in a reasonable alternative mutually acceptable to the applicant and the Department of Public Works.

5. Meet with the Flood Control Section of the Department of Public Works for assistance with establishing finished floor elevations and drainage patterns for this site prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, whichever may occur first. Provide and improve all drainageways as recommended.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 21, 2004

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO ZON-3326 - PUBLIC HEARING - **SDR-3327 - RASO CORPORATION** - Request for a Site Development Plan Review FOR A 9,000 SQUARE FOOT OFFICE DEVELOPMENT on 0.94 acres on the south side of Vegas Drive, approximately 584 feet west of Pyramid Drive (APN: 139-30-501-001), R-1 (Single Family Residential) Zone [PROPOSED: C-1 (Limited Commercial)], Ward 5 (Weekly). The Planning Commission (5-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (5-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

WEEKLY – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

MINUTES:

NOTE: See Item 124 [ZON-3326] for all related discussion.
(3:00 – 3:02)

4-835

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. A Rezoning (ZON-3326) to a C-1 (Limited Commercial) Zoning District approved by the City Council.
2. This Site Development Plan Review shall expire two years from date of final approval unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
3. All development shall be in conformance with the site plan and building elevations, except as amended by conditions herein.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 21, 2004
Planning and Development Department
Item 125 – SDR-3327

CONDITIONS – Continued:

4. The landscape plan shall be revised and approved by Planning and Development Department staff, prior to the time application is made for a building permit, to reflect minimum 24-inch box trees planted a maximum of 30 feet on-center and a minimum of four five-gallon shrubs for each tree within the planter provided along the front property line and 24-inch box trees within all provided parking lot planters.
5. The site plan shall be revised and approved by Planning and Development Department staff, prior to the time application is made for a building permit, to reflect the addition of one loading zone to the site plan.
6. Prior to the submittal of a building permit, the applicant shall meet with Planning and Development Department staff to develop a comprehensive address plan for the subject site. A copy of the approved address plan shall be submitted with any future building permit applications related to the site.
7. Landscaping and a permanent underground sprinkler system shall be installed as required by the Planning Commission or City Council and shall be permanently maintained in a satisfactory manner. [Failure to properly maintain required landscaping and underground sprinkler systems shall be cause for revocation of a business license.]
8. All mechanical equipment, air conditioners and trash areas shall be fully screened in views from the abutting streets
9. Parking lot lighting standards shall be no more than 30 feet in height and shall utilize shoe-box' fixtures and downward-directed lights. Wallpack lighting shall utilize 'shoe-box' fixtures and downward-directed lights on the proposed building. Non-residential property lighting shall be directed away from residential property or screened, and shall not create fugitive lighting on adjacent properties.
10. All utility boxes exceeding 27 cubic feet in size shall meet the standards of Municipal Code Section 19.12.050.
11. Any property line wall shall be a decorative block wall, with at least 20 percent contrasting materials. Wall heights shall be measured from the side of the fence with the least vertical exposure above the finished grade, unless otherwise stipulated.
12. A fully operational fire protection system, including fire apparatus roads, fire hydrants and water supply, shall be installed and shall be functioning prior to construction of any combustible structures.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 21, 2004
Planning and Development Department
Item 125 – SDR-3327

CONDITIONS – Continued:

13. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.

Public Works

14. Construct sidewalk on at least one side of all access drives connecting this site to the adjacent public streets concurrent with development of this site; the connecting sidewalk shall extend from the sidewalk on the public street to the first intersection of the on-site roadway network; the connecting sidewalk shall be terminated on-site with a handicap ramp.
15. Install a median island restricting the east driveway to right in right out only operation or provide a driveway turning analysis that demonstrates this driveway will operate safely.
16. Site development to comply with all applicable conditions of approval for ZON-3326 and all other subsequent site-related actions.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 21, 2004**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

REZONING - PUBLIC HEARING - ZON-3328 - KIMBALL HILL HOMES ON BEHALF OF PARDEE HOMES - Request for a Rezoning FROM: U (UNDEVELOPED) [DR (DESERT RURAL) GENERAL PLAN DESIGNATION] TO: R-PD3 (RESIDENTIAL PLANNED DEVELOPMENT - 3 UNITS PER ACRE) on 4.2 acres adjacent to the south side of Deer Springs Way, approximately 660 feet west of Tee Pee Lane (APN: 125-19-701-002 and 003), Ward 6 (Mack). Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) recommends APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****2****City Council Meeting****0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) recommends APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Back up referenced from the 12/18/2003 Planning Commission meeting Item 14

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open for Item 126 [ZON-3328], Item 127 [VAR-3331] and Item 128 [SDR-3330].

RUSSELL SKUSE, 401 North Buffalo Drive, appeared on behalf of the applicant and concurred with staff recommendations. He withdrew without prejudice Item 127 [VAR-3331].

COUNCILMAN MACK thanked the applicant for working with staff. There had been questions regarding the Variance and the open space. He was pleased that the applicant would comply with the open space requirements by withdrawing that application.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 21, 2004
Planning and Development Department
Item 126 – ZON-3328

MINUTES – Continued:

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed for Item 126 [ZON-3328], Item 127 [VAR-3331] and Item 128 [SDR-3330].

(3:02 – 3:05)

4-890

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. A Resolution of Intent with a two-year time limit.
2. A Site Development Plan Review application approved by the Planning Commission and City Council prior to issuance of any permits, any site grading, and all development activity for the site.

Public Works

3. Construct half-street improvements including appropriate overpaving if legally able on Deer Springs Way and Bath Drive adjacent to this site concurrent with development of this site. All existing paving damaged or removed by this development shall be restored at its original location and to its original width concurrent with development of this site.
4. Coordinate with the Collection Systems Planning Section of the Department of Public Works to extend public sanitary sewer in Bath Drive to the west edge of this site at a location and depth acceptable to the City Engineer. Provide public sewer easements for all public sewers not located within existing public street right-of-way prior to the issuance of any permits.
5. A Traffic Impact Analysis must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, submittal of any construction drawings or the recordation of a Map subdividing this site. Comply with the recommendations of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis prior to occupancy of the site. The City shall determine area traffic mitigation contribution requirements based upon information provided in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis; such monies shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any permits or the recordation of a Map subdividing this site. The Traffic Impact Analysis shall also include a section addressing Standard Drawings #234.1 #234.2 and #234.3 to determine additional right-of-way requirements

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 21, 2004
Planning and Development Department
Item 126 – ZON-3328

CONDITIONS – Continued:

for bus turnouts adjacent to this site, if any; dedicate all areas recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. All additional rights-of-way required by Standard Drawing #201.1 for exclusive right turn lanes and dual left turn lanes shall be dedicated prior to or concurrent with the commencement of on-site development activities unless specifically noted as not required in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. If additional rights-of-way are not required and Traffic Control devices are or may be proposed at this site outside of the public right-of-way, all necessary easements for the location and/or access of such devices shall be granted prior to the issuance of permits for this site. Phased compliance will be allowed if recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. No recommendation of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis, or compliance therewith, shall be deemed to modify or eliminate any condition of approval imposed by the Planning Commission or the City Council on the development of this site.

Alternatively, in lieu of a Traffic Impact Analysis, the applicant may participate in a reasonable alternative mutually acceptable to the applicant and the Department of Public Works.

6. A Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, submittal of any construction drawings or the recordation of a Map subdividing this site, whichever may occur first. Provide and improve all drainageways recommended in the approved drainage plan/study. The developer of this site shall be responsible to construct such neighborhood or local drainage facility improvements as are recommended by the City of Las Vegas Neighborhood Drainage Studies and approved Drainage Plan/Study concurrent with development of this site. In lieu of constructing improvements, in whole or in part, the developer may agree to contribute monies for the construction of neighborhood or local drainage improvements, the amount of such monies shall be determined by the approved Drainage Plan/Study and shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, or the recordation of a Map subdividing this site, whichever may occur first, if allowed by the City Engineer.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 21, 2004

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

VARIANCE RELATED TO ZON-3328 - PUBLIC HEARING - **VAR-3331 - KIMBALL HILL HOMES ON BEHALF OF PARDEE HOMES** - Request for a Variance TO ALLOW 34,637 SQUARE FEET OF OPEN SPACE WHERE 46,488 SQUARE FEET IS REQUIRED FOR A PROPOSED 65-LOT SINGLE FAMILY DEVELOPMENT on 15.4 acres adjacent to the southwest corner of Tee Pee Lane and Deer Springs Way (APN: 125-19-701-002, 003, 005, and 012), U (Undeveloped) Zone [DR (Desert Rural Density Residential) General Plan Designation] [PROPOSED: R-PD3 (Residential Planned Development - 3 Units Per Acre) and U (Undeveloped) Zone [L (Low Density Residential) General Plan Designation] under Resolution of Intent to R-PD4 (Residential Planned Development - 4 Units Per Acre), Ward 6 (Mack). Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) recommends APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

2
0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) recommends APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Back up referenced from the 12/18/2003 Planning Commission meeting Item 15

MOTION:

MACK – WITHDRAWN WITHOUT PREJUDICE – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

MINUTES:

NOTE: See Item 126 [ZON-3328] for all related discussion.

(3:02 – 3:05)

4-890

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. This Variance shall expire two years from the date of final approval, unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 21, 2004
Planning and Development Department
Item 127 – VAR-3331

CONDITIONS – Continued:

2. Approval of and conformance to the Conditions of Approval for Site Development Plan Review (SDR-3330). The approved site plan shall show no more than 63 lots.
3. In lieu of compliance with the open space requirements of Municipal Code 19.06.040, the developer will be allowed to make a contribution to the City of Las Vegas Parks CIP Fund in the amount of \$47,404.00 to be utilized by the City Council for improvements to existing public parks nearby. This contribution must be made to Land Development prior to approval of a Final Map; otherwise the developer is still required to comply with the Open Space requirement in accordance with Title 19 of the Las Vegas Municipal Code.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 21, 2004

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO ZON-3328 AND VAR-3331 - PUBLIC HEARING - **SDR-3330 - KIMBALL HILL HOMES ON BEHALF OF PARDEE HOMES** - Request for a Site Development Plan Review FOR A PROPOSED 65-LOT SINGLE FAMILY DEVELOPMENT on 15.4 acres adjacent to the southwest corner of Tee Pee Lane and Deer Springs Way (APN: 125-19-701-002, 003, 005, and 012), U (Undeveloped) Zone [DR (Desert Rural Density Residential) General Plan Designation] [PROPOSED: R-PD3 (Residential Planned Development - 3 Units Per Acre) and U (Undeveloped) Zone [L (Low Density Residential) General Plan Designation] under Resolution of Intent to R-PD4 (Residential Planned Development - 4 Units Per Acre), Ward 6 (Mack). Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) recommends APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

2
0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) recommends APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Back up referenced from the 12/18/2003 Planning Commission meeting Item 16

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

MINUTES:

NOTE: See Item 126 [ZON-3328] for all related discussion.

(3:02 – 3:05)

4-890

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. Approval of the Rezoning application (ZON-3328) to R-PD3 (Residential Planned Development – 3 Units Per Acre) zoning district on this site shall pertain to a plan with no more than 63 lots.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 21, 2004
Planning and Development Department
Item 128 – SDR-3330

CONDITIONS – Continued:

2. Lots 64 and 65 shall be removed and the area of the lots shall be allocated as open space.
3. The setbacks for this development shall be a minimum of 18 feet to the garage, 10 feet to the front of the house, 5 feet on each side and 15 feet in the rear. The front setbacks are to be measured from the back of the sidewalk where a sidewalk is provided otherwise it will be measured from the back of curb.
4. If this Site Development Plan Review is not exercised within two years of the City Council approval, this Site Development Plan Review shall be void unless an Extension of Time is granted.
5. The maximum building height allowed shall not exceed 2 stories or 35 feet.
6. Any property line wall shall be a decorative block wall, with at least 20 percent contrasting materials. Wall heights shall be a maximum of six feet in height and shall be measured from the side of the fence with the least vertical exposure above the finished grade, unless otherwise stipulated.
7. Landscaping and a permanent underground sprinkler system shall be installed as required by the Planning Commission or City Council and shall be permanently maintained in a satisfactory manner.
8. Air conditioning units shall not be mounted on rooftops.
9. All utility boxes exceeding 27 cubic feet in size shall meet the standards of Title 19.12.050.
10. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.
11. All development shall be in conformance with the site plan and building elevations, except as amended by conditions herein.

Public Works

12. All rights-of-way in conflict with this site, such as those shown on VAC-2229, shall be vacated prior to the recordation of a Final Map abutting or overlying such right-of-way.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 21, 2004
Planning and Development Department
Item 128 – SDR-3330

CONDITIONS – Continued:

13. Coordinate with the Collection Systems Planning Section of the Department of Public Works to extend public sanitary sewer in Tee Pee Lane to the south edge of this site and extend public sewer in Bath Drive to the west edge of this site at a location and depth acceptable to the City Engineer. Provide public sewer easements for all public sewers not located within existing public street right-of-way prior to the issuance of any permits.
14. Landscape and maintain all unimproved rights-of-way on Tee Pee Lane adjacent to this site.
15. Submit an Encroachment Agreement for all landscaping and private improvements located in the Tee Pee Lane public right-of-way adjacent to this site prior to occupancy of this site.
16. Extend all required underground utilities, such as electrical, telephone, etc., located within public rights-of-way, past the east and west boundaries of this site prior to construction of hard surfacing (asphalt or concrete).
17. A Homeowner's Association shall be established to maintain all perimeter walls, private roadways, landscaping and common areas created with this development. All landscaping shall be situated and maintained so as to not create sight visibility obstructions for vehicular traffic at all development access drives and abutting street intersections.
18. Site development to comply with all applicable conditions of approval for ZON-1834, ZON-3328, and all other subsequent site-related actions.
19. The approval of all Public Works related improvements shown on this Site Development Plan Review is in concept only. Specific design and construction details relating to size, type and/or alignment of public improvements, including but not limited to street, sewer and drainage improvements, shall be resolved prior to submittal of a Tentative Map or construction drawings, whichever may occur first. No deviations from adopted City Standards shall be allowed unless specific written approval for such is received from the City Engineer prior to the approval of a Tentative Map or construction drawings, whichever may occur first.
20. The final layout of the subdivision shall be determined at the time of approval of the Tentative Map.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 21, 2004

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

REZONING - PUBLIC HEARING - ZON-3335 - LONGFORD AT LAKE MEAD, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY ON BEHALF OF MURTAGH FAMILY LIVING TRUST - Request for a Rezoning FROM: R-E (RESIDENCE ESTATES) TO: C-1 (LIMITED COMMERCIAL) on 7.0 acres adjacent to the southwest and southeast corners of Lake Mead Boulevard and Pink Rose Street (APN: 139-21-301-011 and 012), Ward 5 (Weekly). The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
1

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Submitted after final agenda – Protest letter from Andrew J. Hawkins on Item 129 [ZON-3335], Item 130 [SUP-3337], Item 131 [SUP-3338], Item 132 [SUP-3339] and Item 133 [SDR-3340] filed under Item 129 [ZON-3335]

MOTION:

WEEKLY – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open for Item 129 [ZON-3335], Item 130 [SUPO-3337], Item 131 [SUP-3338], Item 132 [SUP-3339] and Item 133 [SDR-3340].

GARY CONGDON, 6280 South Valley View, appeared on behalf of the applicant and concurred with staff recommendations.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street, stated that a project on West Charleston Boulevard was built so that the car bays could be enclosed and converted into a retail store if needed. He questioned how that conversion might work with this particular project if access

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 21, 2004
Planning and Development Department
Item 129 – ZON-3335

MINUTES – Continued:

to all the bays has to be from the rear. MR. CONGDON replied that the two buildings are designed as auto repair garages. The Design Guidelines require that the garage doors not face the street. The doors for the auto garage located on the farther east side do not face the street. To mitigate the garage doors facing Lake Mead Boulevard the landscaping in the parking lot and the buildings in front screen the garage at the back end of the site. He showed a street view of Lake Mead Boulevard depicting how insignificant the impact of the garage doors is on the street. They will be using a garage door similar to storefront glass of a retail store. If someone would want to rent and convert into retail space they would have to roll up the door and install storefront glass.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY asked if the applicant intends to develop the site with fast food restaurants. MR. CONGDON replied that the site plan is designed to have two fast food restaurants. The pad to the right is set aside currently as an auto lube garage and the second site will have retail and fast food restaurants.

JOE PORTER, Longford Group, discussed with COUNCILMAN WEEKLY that the project is not located in the redevelopment area, and therefore they will be funding the project. Many lenders have also expressed interest in developing the project. COUNCILMAN WEEKLY thanked the applicant for the changes and verified with MR. PORTER that there will be no outside storage.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed for Item 129 [ZON-3335], Item 130 [SUP-3337], Item 131 [SUP-3338], Item 132 [SUP-3339] and Item 133 [SDR-3340].

(3:05 – 3:15)

4-1003

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. A Resolution of Intent with a two-year time limit.
2. A Site Development Plan Review application (SDR-3330) approved by the Planning Commission and City Council prior to issuance of any permits, any site grading, and all development activity for the site.

Public Works

3. Remove all substandard public street improvements, if any, adjacent to this site and replace with new improvements meeting current City Standards concurrent with on-site development activities. All new driveways shall be designed, located and constructed in accordance with Standard Drawing #222A.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 21, 2004
Planning and Development Department
Item 129 – ZON-3335

CONDITIONS – Continued:

4. A Traffic Impact Analysis must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, submittal of any construction drawings or the recordation of a Map subdividing this site. Comply with the recommendations of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis prior to occupancy of the site. The City shall determine area traffic mitigation contribution requirements based upon information provided in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis; such monies shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any permits or the recordation of a Map subdividing this site. The Traffic Impact Analysis shall also include a section addressing Standard Drawings #234.1 #234.2 and #234.3 to determine additional right-of-way requirements for bus turnouts adjacent to this site, if any; dedicate all areas recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. All additional rights-of-way required by Standard Drawing #201.1 for exclusive right turn lanes and dual left turn lanes shall be dedicated prior to or concurrent with the commencement of on-site development activities unless specifically noted as not required in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. If additional rights-of-way are not required and Traffic Control devices are or may be proposed at this site outside of the public right-of-way, all necessary easements for the location and/or access of such devices shall be granted prior to the issuance of permits for this site. Phased compliance will be allowed if recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. No recommendation of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis, or compliance therewith, shall be deemed to modify or eliminate any condition of approval imposed by the Planning Commission or the City Council on the development of this site.
5. A Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, submittal of any construction drawings or the recordation of a Map subdividing this site, whichever may occur first. Provide and improve all drainageways recommended in the approved drainage plan/study. The developer of this site shall be responsible to construct such neighborhood or local drainage facility improvements as are recommended by the City of Las Vegas Neighborhood Drainage Studies and approved Drainage Plan/Study concurrent with development of this site. In lieu of constructing improvements, in whole or in part, the developer may agree to contribute monies for the construction of neighborhood or local drainage improvements, the amount of such monies shall be determined by the approved Drainage Plan/Study and shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, or the recordation of a Map subdividing this site, whichever may occur first, if allowed by the City Engineer.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 21, 2004

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

SPECIAL USE PERMIT RELATED TO ZON-3335 - PUBLIC HEARING - **SUP-3337 - LONGFORD AT LAKE MEAD, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY ON BEHALF OF MURTAGH FAMILY LIVING TRUST** - Request for a Special Use Permit FOR A 16,170 SQUARE FOOT AUTO REPAIR GARAGE (MINOR) adjacent to the southeast corner of Lake Mead Boulevard and Pink Rose Street (APN: 139-21-301-011), R-E (Residential Estates) Zone [PROPOSED: C-1 (Limited Commercial)], Ward 5 (Weekly). The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
1

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Submitted after final agenda – Protest letter from Andrew J. Hawkins on Item 129 [ZON-3335], Item 130 [SUP-3337], Item 131 [SUP-3338], Item 132 [SUP-3339] and Item 133 [SDR-3340] filed under Item 129 [ZON-3335]

MOTION:

WEEKLY – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

MINUTES:

NOTE: See Item 129 [ZON-3335] for all related discussion.

(1:52 – 1:53)

4-457

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. This Special Use Permit shall expire one year from the date of final approval, unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
2. All repair and service work shall be performed within a completely enclosed building.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 21, 2004
Planning and Development Department
Item 130 – SUP-3337

CONDITIONS – Continued:

3. Openings to the service bays shall be designed to minimize the visual intrusion into adjoining properties.
4. No used or discarded automotive parts or equipment shall be located in any open area outside of an enclosed building.
5. No outside storage of stock, equipment or residual used equipment shall be located in any open area outside of an enclosed building.
6. All disabled vehicles shall be stored in an area, which is screened from view from the surrounding properties and adjoining streets. Vehicles shall not be stored on the property longer than 45 days.
7. Conformance to the applicable Conditions of Approval for Site Development Plan Review SDR-3340.
8. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 21, 2004

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

SPECIAL USE PERMIT RELATED TO ZON-3335 AND SUP-3337 - PUBLIC HEARING - SUP-3338 - LONGFORD AT LAKE MEAD, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY ON BEHALF OF MURTAGH FAMILY LIVING TRUST - Request for a Special Use Permit FOR A 12,375 SQUARE FOOT AUTO REPAIR GARAGE (MINOR) adjacent to the southeast corner of Lake Mead Boulevard and Pink Rose Street (APN: 139-21-301-011), R-E (Residential Estates) Zone [PROPOSED: C-1 (Limited Commercial)], Ward 5 (Weekly). The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
1

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Submitted after final agenda – Protest letter from Andrew J. Hawkins on Item 129 [ZON-3335], Item 130 [SUP-3337], Item 131 [SUP-3338], Item 132 [SUP-3339] and Item 133 [SDR-3340] filed under Item 129 [ZON-3335]

MOTION:

WEEKLY – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

MINUTES:

NOTE: See Item 129 [ZON-3335] for all related discussion.

(1:52 – 1:53)

4-457

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. This Special Use Permit shall expire one year from the date of final approval, unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
2. All repair and service work shall be performed within a completely enclosed building.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 21, 2004
Planning and Development Department
Item 131 – SUP-3338

CONDITIONS – Continued:

3. Openings to the service bays shall not face public rights-of-way and shall be designed to minimize the visual intrusion into adjoining properties.
4. No used or discarded automotive parts or equipment shall be located in any open area outside of an enclosed building.
5. No outside storage of stock, equipment or residual used equipment shall be located in any open area outside of an enclosed building.
6. All disabled vehicles shall be stored in an area, which is screened from view from the surrounding properties and adjoining streets. Vehicles shall not be stored on the property longer than 45 days.
7. Conformance to the applicable Conditions of Approval for Site Development Plan Review SDR-3340.
8. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 21, 2004

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

SPECIAL USE PERMIT RELATED TO ZON-3335, SUP-3337 AND SUP-3338 - PUBLIC HEARING - **SUP-3339 - LONGFORD AT LAKE MEAD, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY ON BEHALF OF MURTAGH FAMILY LIVING TRUST** - Request for a Special Use Permit FOR A 2,800 SQUARE FOOT AUTO REPAIR GARAGE (MINOR) adjacent to the southeast corner of Lake Mead Boulevard and Pink Rose Street (APN: 139-21-301-011), R-E (Residential Estates) Zone [PROPOSED: C-1 (Limited Commercial)], Ward 5 (Weekly). The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
1

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Submitted after final agenda – Protest letter from Andrew J. Hawkins on Item 129 [ZON-3335], Item 130 [SUP-3337], Item 131 [SUP-3338], Item 132 [SUP-3339] and Item 133 [SDR-3340] filed under Item 129 [ZON-3335]

MOTION:

WEEKLY – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

MINUTES:

NOTE: See Item 129 [ZON-3335] for all related discussion.

(1:52 – 1:53)

4-457

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. This Special Use Permit shall expire one year from the date of final approval, unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
2. All repair and service work shall be performed within a completely enclosed building.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 21, 2004
Planning and Development Department
Item 132 – SUP-3339

CONDITIONS – Continued:

3. Openings to the service bays shall not face public rights-of-way and shall be designed to minimize the visual intrusion into adjoining properties.
4. No used or discarded automotive parts or equipment shall be located in any open area outside of an enclosed building.
5. No outside storage of stock, equipment or residual used equipment shall be located in any open area outside of an enclosed building.
6. All disabled vehicles shall be stored in an area, which is screened from view from the surrounding properties and adjoining streets. Vehicles shall not be stored on the property longer than 45 days.
7. Conformance to the applicable Conditions of Approval for Site Development Plan Review SDR-3340.
8. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 21, 2004

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO ZON-3335, SUP-3337, SUP-3338 AND SUP-3339 - PUBLIC HEARING - **SDR-3340 - LONGFORD AT LAKE MEAD, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY ON BEHALF OF MURTAGH FAMILY LIVING TRUST** - Request for a Site Development Plan Review FOR A 60,199 SQUARE FOOT COMMERCIAL CENTER AND A WAIVER FROM THE LANDSCAPING ALONG ARTERIAL STREET REQUIREMENT on 7.0 acres adjacent to the southwest and southeast corners of Lake Mead Boulevard and Pink Rose Street (APN: 139-21-301-011 and 012), R-E (Residential Estates) Zone [PROPOSED: C-1 (Limited Commercial)], Ward 5 (Weekly). The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
1

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Submitted after final agenda – Protest letter from Andrew J. Hawkins on Item 129 [ZON-3335], Item 130 [SUP-3337], Item 131 [SUP-3338], Item 132 [SUP-3339] and Item 133 [SDR-3340] filed under Item 129 [ZON-3335]

MOTION:

WEEKLY – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

MINUTES:

NOTE: See Item 129 [ZON-3335] for all related discussion.

(1:52 – 1:53)

4-457

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. Approval of the Rezoning application (ZON-3335) to C-1 (Limited Commercial) zoning district on this site.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 21, 2004
Planning and Development Department
Item 133 – SDR-3340

CONDITIONS – Continued:

2. If this Site Development Plan Review is not exercised within two years of the City Council approval, this Site Development Plan Review shall be void unless an Extension of Time is granted.
3. The maximum building height allowed shall not exceed 2 stories or 35 feet.
4. Any property line wall shall be a decorative block wall, with at least 20 percent contrasting materials. Wall heights shall be a maximum of six feet in height and shall be measured from the side of the fence with the least vertical exposure above the finished grade, unless otherwise stipulated.
5. Landscaping and a permanent underground sprinkler system shall be installed as required by the Planning Commission or City Council and shall be permanently maintained in a satisfactory manner.
6. Air conditioning units shall not be mounted on rooftops.
7. All utility boxes exceeding 27 cubic feet in size shall meet the standards of Title 19.12.050.
8. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.
9. All development shall be in conformance with the site plan and building elevations, except as amended by conditions herein.

Public Works

10. Construct sidewalk on at least one side of all access drives connecting this site to the adjacent public streets concurrent with development of this site; the connecting sidewalk shall extend from the sidewalk on the public street to the first intersection of the on-site roadway network; the connecting sidewalk shall be terminated on-site with a handicap ramp.
11. Site development to comply with all applicable conditions of approval for ZON-3335 and all other subsequent site-related actions.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 21, 2004**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

REZONING - PUBLIC HEARING - ZON-3345 - J.L. & VIRGINIA PENNINGTON - Request for a Rezoning FROM: R-E (RESIDENCE ESTATES) TO: R-PD2 (RESIDENTIAL PLANNED DEVELOPMENT - 2 UNITS PER ACRE) on 5.57 acres adjacent to the northwest corner of El Campo Grande Avenue and Rebecca Road (APN: 125-26-304-008 and 125-26-401-003), Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

2
0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Back up referenced from the 12/18/2003 Planning Commission meeting Item 22

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions and amending Condition 4 as follows:

4. Construct half-street improvements including appropriate overpaving *if legally able on Rebecca Road, and rural improvements on El Campo Grande Avenue adjacent to this site concurrent with development of this site. Rural improvements shall consist of a total street width of 39-feet, being 34-feet of asphalt, centered if possible on the centerline of El Campo Grande Avenue; and 30-inch rolled curb on both sides of the street. On Rebecca Road and El Campo Grande Avenue, the exterior streetlighting will be stubbed out for later use, but the installation of the streetlights shall be deferred provided that the developer provide to the City such streetlights for the future installation; alternatively, monies in lieu of such streetlights may be contributed to the City if allowed by the Department of Public Works. Sign and record a covenant running with the land for all urban improvements not constructed at this time on El Campo Grande Avenue. Install all appurtenant underground facilities, if any, adjacent to this site needed for the future traffic signal system concurrent with development of this site. All existing paving damaged or removed by this development shall be restored at its original location and to its original width concurrent with development of this site.*

– UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 21, 2004
Planning and Development Department
Item 134 – ZON-3345

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open for Item 134 [ZON-3345] and Item 135 [SDR-3348].

JEFF THOMPSON, Olympus Group, 7881 West Charleston Boulevard, appeared on behalf of the applicant and concurred with staff recommendations.

COUNCILMAN MACK indicated a change in Condition 4 on Item 134 [ZON-3345] and asked BART ANDERSON, Public Works Department, to explain the rural street improvements. MR. ANDERSON explained that rural street standards that have been developed by the City Engineer, in conjunction with the Flood Control section to accommodate drainage, as well as to allow the right-of-ways to be built to a lesser width to maintain the rural character of the neighborhood, include construction of a 39-foot roadway with rolled curb on both sides of the street. The streetlights and sidewalks are deferred subject to a covenant for possible future installation or for providing monies in lieu of those streetlights. He read amended Condition 4 allowing the rural street improvements on El Campo Grande Avenue. MR. THOMPSON agreed to the amendment.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed for Item 134 [ZON-3345] and Item 135 [SDR-3348].

(3:15 – 3:21)

4-1393

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. A Resolution of Intent with a two-year time limit.
2. A Site Development Plan Review application (SDR-3345) approved by the Planning Commission and City Council prior to issuance of any permits, any site grading, and all development activity for the site.

Public Works

3. Dedicate those portions of rights-of-way necessary to provide a 60-foot wide total right of way on El Campo Grande Avenue and Rebecca Road adjacent to this site. Additionally, dedicate a 15 foot radius on the northwest corner of El Campo Grande Avenue and Rebecca Road.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 21, 2004
Planning and Development Department
Item 134 – ZON-3345

CONDITIONS – Continued:

4. Construct half-street improvements including appropriate overpaving on El Campo Grande Avenue and Rebecca Road adjacent to this site concurrent with development. On Rebecca Road The exterior streetlighting will be stubbed out for later use, but the installation of the streetlights shall be deferred provided that the developer provide to the City such streetlights for the future installation; alternatively, monies in lieu of such streetlights may be contributed to the City if allowed by the Department of Public Works. Install all appurtenant underground facilities, if any, adjacent to this site needed for the future traffic signal system concurrent with development. All existing paving damaged or removed by this development shall be restored at its original location and to its original width concurrent with development.
5. Coordinate with the Collection Systems Planning Section of the Department of Public Works to extend public sanitary sewer in El Campo Grande Avenue to the west edge of this site at a location and depth acceptable to the City Engineer. Provide public sewer easements for all public sewers not located within existing public street right-of-way prior to the issuance of any permits.
6. A Traffic Impact Analysis must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, submittal of any construction drawings or the recordation of a Map subdividing this site. Comply with the recommendations of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis prior to occupancy of the site. The City shall determine area traffic mitigation contribution requirements based upon information provided in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis; such monies shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any permits or the recordation of a Map subdividing this site. The Traffic Impact Analysis shall also include a section addressing Standard Drawings #234.1 #234.2 and #234.3 to determine additional right-of-way requirements for bus turnouts adjacent to this site, if any; dedicate all areas recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. All additional rights-of-way required by Standard Drawing #201.1 for exclusive right turn lanes and dual left turn lanes shall be dedicated prior to or concurrent with the commencement of on-site development activities unless specifically noted as not required in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. If additional rights-of-way are not required and Traffic Control devices are or may be proposed at this site outside of the public right-of-way, all necessary easements for the location and/or access of such devices shall be granted prior to the issuance of permits for this site. Phased compliance will be allowed if recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. No recommendation of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis, nor compliance therewith, shall be deemed to modify or eliminate any condition of approval imposed by the Planning Commission or the City Council on the development of this site.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 21, 2004
Planning and Development Department
Item 134 – ZON-3345

CONDITIONS – Continued:

Alternatively, in lieu of a Traffic Impact Analysis, the applicant may participate in a reasonable alternative mutually acceptable to the applicant and the Department of Public Works.

7. A Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, submittal of any construction drawings or the recordation of a Map subdividing this site, whichever may occur first. Provide and improve all drainageways recommended in the approved drainage plan/study. The developer of this site shall be responsible to construct such neighborhood or local drainage facility improvements as are recommended by the City of Las Vegas Neighborhood Drainage Studies and approved Drainage Plan/Study concurrent with development of this site. In lieu of constructing improvements, in whole or in part, the developer may agree to contribute monies for the construction of neighborhood or local drainage improvements, the amount of such monies shall be determined by the approved Drainage Plan/Study and shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, or the recordation of a Map subdividing this site, whichever may occur first, if allowed by the City Engineer.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 21, 2004

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO ZON-3345 - PUBLIC HEARING - **SDR-3348 - J.L. & VIRGINIA PENNINGTON** - Request for a Site Development Plan Review FOR A PROPOSED 11-LOT SINGLE FAMILY DEVELOPMENT on 5.57 acres adjacent to the northwest corner of El Campo Grande Avenue and Rebecca Road (APN: 125-26-304-008 and 125-26-401-003), R-E (Residence Estates) Zone [PROPOSED: RPD-2 (Residential Planned Development - 2 Units Per Acre)], Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

2
0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Back up referenced from the 12/18/2003 Planning Commission meeting Item 23

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions and amending Condition 3 as follows:

3. The setbacks for this development shall be 20 feet in the front, 20 feet in the rear and 5 feet on the side. Building height shall not exceed two stories or 35 feet, whichever is less.
- UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

MINUTES:

NOTE: See Item 134 [ZON-3345] for all related discussion.

(3:15 – 3:21)

4-1393

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. A Rezoning application (ZON-3345) approved by the Planning Commission and City Council prior to issuance of any permits, any site grading, and all development activity four the site.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 21, 2004
Planning and Development Department
Item 135 – SDR-3348

CONDITIONS – Continued:

2. This Site Development Plan Review shall expire two years from date of final approval unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
3. The setbacks for this development shall be 25 feet in the front, 20 feet in the rear and 10 feet on the side. Building height shall not exceed two stories or 35 feet, whichever is less.
4. Air conditioning units shall not be mounted on rooftops
5. All utility boxes exceeding 27 cubic feet in size shall meet the standards of Title 19.12.050.
6. Any property line wall shall be a decorative block wall, with at least 20 percent contrasting materials. Wall heights shall be measured from the side of the fence with the least vertical exposure above the finished grade, unless otherwise stipulated. All perimeter walls, including a combination of retaining and screen walls, shall not exceed eight feet in height, measured from the base of the retaining wall, without the appropriate step backs.
7. A fully operational fire protection system, including fire apparatus roads, fire hydrants and water supply, shall be installed and shall be functioning prior to construction of any combustible structures.
8. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.

Public Works

9. Extend all required underground utilities, such as electrical, telephone, etc., located within public rights-of-way, past all boundaries of this site prior to construction of hard surfacing (asphalt or concrete).
10. A Homeowner's Association shall be established to maintain all perimeter walls, private roadways, landscaping and common areas created with this development. All landscaping shall be situated and maintained so as to not create sight visibility obstructions for vehicular traffic at all development access drives and abutting street intersections. Gated access entries, if proposed, shall be designed, located and constructed in accordance with Standard Drawing #222A.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 21, 2004
Planning and Development Department
Item 135 – SDR-3348

CONDITIONS – Continued:

11. The design and layout of all onsite private circulation and access drives shall meet the approval of the Department of Fire Services.
12. Site development to comply with all applicable conditions of approval for ZON-3345 and all other subsequent site-related actions.
13. The approval of all Public Works related improvements shown on this Site Development Plan Review is in concept only. Specific design and construction details relating to size, type and/or alignment of improvements, including but not limited to street, sewer and drainage improvements, shall be resolved prior to submittal of a Tentative Map or construction drawings, whichever may occur first. No deviations from adopted City Standards shall be allowed unless specific written approval for such is received from the City Engineer prior to the submittal of a Tentative Map or construction drawings, whichever may occur first.
14. The final layout of the subdivision shall be determined at the time of approval of the Tentative Map.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 21, 2004

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

ABEYANCE ITEM - GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT - PUBLIC HEARING - **GPA-2966 - HECTOR AND MARITZA CAMACHO** - Request to amend a portion of the Southeast Sector Plan of the General Plan FROM: SC (SERVICE COMMERCIAL) TO: GC (GENERAL COMMERCIAL) on 3.41 acres adjacent to the southeast corner of Bonanza Road and Page Street (APN: 140-32-114-045), Ward 3 (Reese). The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

10
0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application - Not Applicable
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED and the following added condition:

- *The northwestern approximately 158 feet x 363 feet (1.32 acres) as shown per plan shall be changed to GC. The remainder of the property shall remain SC.*

– UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open for Item 136 [GPA-2966], Item 137 [ZON-2967], Item 138 [SUP-3223] and Item 139 [SDR-3224].

NOTE: A Combined Verbatim Transcript of Item [GPA-2966], Item 137 [ZON-2967], Item 138 [SUP-3223] and Item 139 [SDR-3224] is made a part of the Final Minutes under Item 136 [GPA-2966].

APPEARANCES:

GARY REESE, Mayor Pro Tem

HECTOR CAMACHO, 3361 Shadow Bluff

MARGO WHEELER, Deputy Director, Planning and Development Department

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 21, 2004
Planning and Development Department
Item 136 – GPA-2966

MINUTES – Continued:

APPEARANCES - Continued:

STEVE “CAPTAIN TRUTH” DEMPSEY

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street

LYNETTE BOGGS McDONALD, Councilwoman

ROBERT GENZER, Director, Planning and Development Department

BARBARA JO RONEMUS, City Clerk

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed for Item 136 [GPA-2966], Item 137 [ZON-2967], Item 138 [SUP-3223] and Item 139 [SDR-3224].

(3:21 – 3:33)

4-1570

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 21, 2004

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

ABEYANCE ITEM - REZONING RELATED TO GPA-2966 - PUBLIC HEARING - **ZON-2967 - HECTOR AND MARITZA CAMACHO** - Request for a Rezoning FROM: R-E (RESIDENCE ESTATES) under Resolution of Intent to O (OFFICE) AND C-1 (LIMITED COMMERCIAL) TO: C-2 (GENERAL COMMERCIAL) on 3.41 acres adjacent to the southeast corner of Bonanza Road and Page Street (APN: 140-32-114-045), Ward 3 (Reese). The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

10
0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED subject to conditions and the following added condition:

- *The northwestern approximately 158 feet x 363 feet (1.32 acres) as shown per plan shall be changed to C-2. The remainder of the property shall remain C-1.*

– UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

MINUTES:

NOTE: A Combined Verbatim Transcript of Item [GPA-2966], Item 137 [ZON-2967], Item 138 [SUP-3223] and Item 139 [SDR-3224] is made a part of the Final Minutes under Item 136 [GPA-2966].

(1:52 – 1:53)

4-457

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. A General Plan Amendment (GPA-2966) to a GC (General Commercial) land use designation approved by the City Council.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 21, 2004
Planning and Development Department
Item 137 – ZON-2967

CONDITIONS – Continued:

2. A Resolution of Intent with a two-year time limit.
3. A Site Development Plan Review application (SDR-3224) approved by the Planning Commission and City Council prior to issuance of any permits, any site grading, and all development activity for the site.

Public Works

4. Coordinate with the City Surveyor to determine whether a Reversionary Map or Merger and Resubdivision Map is necessary; if such map is required it should record prior to the issuance of any building permits for this site.
5. Construct all incomplete half-street improvements on Bonanza Road, Page Street and Diamond Head Drive adjacent to this site concurrent with the first phase of development anywhere on this site. Install all appurtenant underground facilities, if any, adjacent to this site needed for the future traffic signal system concurrent with development of this site.
6. Remove all substandard public street improvements and unused driveway cuts adjacent to this site, if any, and replace with new improvements meeting current City Standards prior to occupancy of this site.
7. Meet with the Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development for assistance in the possible redesign of the proposed driveway access, on site circulation and parking lot layout prior to the submittal of any construction plans or the issuance of any permits, whichever may occur first. Driveways shall be designed, located and constructed in accordance with Standard Drawing #222a.
8. All pads comprising this overall site must always allow for the perpetual common access between the various parcels/owners within the overall site and all driveways connecting this site to the adjacent public streets. Provide recorded proof of such common access rights prior to the issuance of any permits for this site.
9. A Traffic Impact Analysis must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, submittal of any construction drawings or the recordation of a Map subdividing this site. Comply with the recommendations of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis prior to occupancy of the site. The City shall determine area traffic mitigation contribution requirements based upon information provided in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis; such monies shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any permits for or the recordation of a Map

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 21, 2004
Planning and Development Department
Item 137 – ZON-2967

CONDITIONS – Continued:

subdividing this site. The Traffic Impact Analysis shall also include a section addressing Standard Drawings #234.1 #234.2 and #234.3 to determine additional right-of-way requirements for bus turnouts adjacent to this site, if any; dedicate all areas recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. All additional rights-of-way required by Standard Drawing #201.1 for exclusive right turn lanes and dual left turn lanes shall be dedicated prior to or concurrent with the commencement of on-site development activities unless specifically noted as not required in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. If additional rights-of-way are not required and Traffic Control devices are or may be proposed at this site outside of the public right-of-way, all necessary easements for the location and/or access of such devices shall be granted prior to the issuance of permits for this site. Phased compliance will be allowed if recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. No recommendation of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis, or compliance therewith, shall be deemed to modify or eliminate any condition of approval imposed by the Planning Commission or the City Council on the development of this site.

Alternatively, in lieu of a Traffic Impact Analysis, the applicant may participate in a reasonable alternative mutually acceptable to the applicant and the Department of Public Works.

10. A Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, submittal of any construction drawings or the recordation of a Map subdividing this site, whichever may occur first. Provide and improve all drainageways recommended in the approved drainage plan/study. The developer of this site shall be responsible to construct such neighborhood or local drainage facility improvements as are recommended by the City of Las Vegas Neighborhood Drainage Studies and approved Drainage Plan/Study concurrent with development of this site. In lieu of constructing improvements, in whole or in part, the developer may agree to contribute monies for the construction of neighborhood or local drainage improvements, the amount of such monies shall be determined by the approved Drainage Plan/Study and shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, or the recordation of a Map subdividing this site, whichever may occur first, if allowed by the City Engineer.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 21, 2004

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

ABEYANCE ITEM - SPECIAL USE PERMIT RELATED TO GPA-2966 AND ZON-2967 - PUBLIC HEARING - **SUP-3223 - HECTOR AND MARITZA CAMACHO** - Request for a Special Use Permit FOR AN AUTO REPAIR GARAGE (MAJOR) adjacent to the southeast corner of Page Street and Bonanza Road (APN: 140-32-114-045), R-E (Residence Estates) Zone under Resolution of Intent to C-1 (Limited Commercial) and R-E (Residence Estates) under Resolution of Intent to O (Office) [PROPOSED: C-2 (General Commercial)], Ward 3 (Reese). The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

7
0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED subject to conditions and the following added condition:

- *The auto repair center shall be located on the northwest lot per plans submitted 1/20/2004.*
- *No vehicles or tires shall be allowed overnight on the site outside of the buildings.*
- *No engine replacements on the C-2 lot.*

– **UNANIMOUS** with **GOODMAN** excused

MINUTES:

NOTE: A Combined Verbatim Transcript of Item [GPA-2966], Item 137 [ZON-2967], Item 138 [SUP-3223] and Item 139 [SDR-3224] is made a part of the Final Minutes under Item 136 [GPA-2966].

(1:52 – 1:53)

4-457

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. All repair and service work shall be performed within a completely enclosed building. All windows and doors shall be completely closed when body and fender work, hammering, sanding, or other noise generating activities are being performed.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 21, 2004
Planning and Development Department
Item 138 – SUP-3223

CONDITIONS – Continued:

2. Openings to the service bays shall not face public right-of-way and shall be designed to minimize the visual intrusion into adjoining properties.
3. No used or discarded automotive parts or equipment shall be located in any open area outside of an enclosed building.
4. All disabled vehicles shall be stored in an area that is screened from view from the surrounding properties and adjoining streets.
5. Outdoor hoists are prohibited.
6. All hazardous materials resulting from the repair, storage, or dismantling of vehicles shall be properly stored and removed from the premises in a timely manner. Storage, use and removal of toxic substances, solid waste pollution and flammable liquids, particularly gasoline, paints, solvents and thinners, shall conform to all applicable federal, state, and local regulations.
7. If this special use permit is not exercised within two years of this approval, this special use permit shall be null and void unless an Extension of Time is granted.
8. All City Code Requirements and all City Departments design standards shall be met.
9. A revised site plan that shows two additional handicap spaces and a trash enclosure shall be submitted to the Planning and Development Department prior to issuance of building permits.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 21, 2004

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

ABEYANCE ITEM - SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO GPA-2966 AND ZON-2967 - PUBLIC HEARING - **SDR-3224 - HECTOR AND MARITZA CAMACHO** - Request for a Site Development Plan Review FOR AN AUTO REPAIR GARAGE (MAJOR) AND A PROPOSED SELF SERVE CAR WASH AND A WAIVER OF THE PERIMETER AND PARKING LOT LANDSCAPING REQUIREMENTS on 3.41 acres adjacent to the southeast corner of Page Street and Bonanza Road (APN: 140-32-114-045), R-E (Residence Estates) Zone under Resolution of Intent to C-1 (Limited Commercial) and R-E (Residence Estates) under Resolution of Intent to O (Office) [PROPOSED: C-2 (General Commercial)], Ward 3 (Reese). The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

7
0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED subject to conditions and following added condition:

- *The auto repair center shall be located on the northwest lot per plans submitted 1/20/2004.*
- UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

MINUTES:

NOTE: A Combined Verbatim Transcript of Item [GPA-2966], Item 137 [ZON-2967], Item 138 [SUP-3223] and Item 139 [SDR-3224] is made a part of the Final Minutes under Item 136 [GPA-2966].

(1:52 – 1:53)

4-457

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. This Site Development Plan Review shall expire two years from date of final approval unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 21, 2004
Planning and Development Department
Item 139 – SDR-3224

CONDITIONS – Continued:

2. The trash enclosure shall be covered and screened as required by the Commercial Design Standards
3. All development shall be in conformance with the site plan and building elevations.
4. Landscaping and a permanent underground sprinkler system shall be installed as required by the Planning Commission or City Council and shall be permanently maintained in a satisfactory manner. [Failure to properly maintain required landscaping and underground sprinkler systems shall be cause for revocation of a business license.]
5. All mechanical equipment and air conditioners shall be fully screened in views from the abutting streets.
6. Parking lot lighting standards shall be no more than 20 feet in height and shall utilize ‘shoe-box’ fixtures and downward-directed lights. Wallpack lighting shall utilize ‘shoe-box’ fixtures and downward-directed lights on the proposed building. Non-residential property lighting shall be directed away from residential property or screened, and shall not create fugitive lighting on adjacent properties.
7. All utility boxes exceeding 27 cubic feet in size shall meet the standards of Title 19.12.050.
8. Any property line wall shall be a decorative block wall, with at least 20 percent contrasting materials. Wall heights shall be measured from the side of the fence with the least vertical exposure above the finished grade, unless otherwise stipulated.
9. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.
10. A eight-foot wide landscaping buffer with 24-inch box trees spaced at 20 foot on center shall be built between the car wash and the existing day care center.
11. The design and layout of all onsite private circulation and access drives shall meet the approval of the Department of Fire Services.

Public Works

12. Site development to comply with all applicable conditions of approval for Zoning Reclassification ZON-2967 and all other subsequent site-related actions.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 21, 2004

DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK

DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS ☐ **CONSENT** ☐ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

SET DATE ON ANY APPEALS FILED OR REQUIRED PUBLIC HEARINGS FROM THE CITY PLANNING COMMISSION MEETINGS, CENTENNIAL HILLS ARCHITECTURAL REVIEW COMMITTEE AND DANGEROUS BUILDING OR NUISANCE/LITTER ABATEMENTS

DB 2804 Marlin Avenue, DB 1900 Alwill Street, DB 6329 Alta Drive, SNC-3099, SUP-3285, SUP-3359, SUP-3367, SUP-3369, SUP-3385, SUP-3393, SUP-3394, SUP-3395, SUP-3404, VAR-3322 – 2/4/2004 AGENDA

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 21, 2004

DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK

DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS ☐ **CONSENT** ☐ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

ADDENDUM:

None.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 21, 2004

CITIZENS PARTICIPATION:

Items raised under this portion of the City Council Agenda cannot be deliberated or acted upon until the notice provisions of the Open Meeting Law have been met. If you wish to speak on a matter not listed on the agenda, please step up to the podium and clearly state your name and address. In consideration of others, avoid repetition, and limit your comments to no more than three (3) minutes. To ensure all persons equal opportunity to speak, each subject matter will be limited to ten (10) minutes.

MINUTES:

ROY MICHAEL, Direct Access Lending, 650 White Drive, Suite 200, stated that he recently visited a souvenir shop at the Fremont Street Experience and was shocked to see that they are selling used licensed plates as a souvenir. The opportunity for fraud in a situation like this is astounding. People who do not have car insurance can buy plates for only \$2.50. There is the opportunity for transporting stolen vehicles with plates that are not going to be on a watch list. He asked that the City Council direct someone to look into this situation. He believes that these plates remain the property of the state that issued them. This is the equivalent of being able to buy a used drivers license. He also distributed copies of his Identity Theft newsletter and provided his e-mail address for anyone who would like to be added to his mailing list.
roymichael@earthlink.net.

(3:33 – 3:35)

4-2123

JUANITA CLARK, Charleston Neighborhood Preservation, referring to Item 119, clarified that while no neighborhood would deny the need for counseling for criminals, residents object to its location. The Council represents neighborhoods, in one extent or another, and the Council should not permit the use in such an intensely residential community. The neighborhood may be safe during the actual counseling sessions, but the other times that these individual would be in the neighborhood is unacceptable. She urged the Council reconsider this matter.

(3:35 – 3:37)

4-2173

TODD FARLOW, 240 N. 19th Street, urged COUNCILMAN BROWN to reappoint CRAIG GALATI and get him back on the Planning Commission. The Sustainable Architecture lectures will start again at UNLV in February and he encouraged the Councilmembers to attend.

(3:37 – 3:38)

4-2273

City of Las Vegas

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 21, 2004 Citizens Participation

MINUTES – Continued:

DOROTHY BARNES pointed out that next month is Negro or Black History Month and she intends to demonstrate around the building unless the problem with people using her ticket is addressed. People are abusing civil liberties and have stolen her Social Security number and identity. She would rather not demonstrate and further aggravate a knee problem, but something needs to be done about this serious situation.

(3:38 – 3:39)

4-2293

STEVE “CAPTAIN TRUTH” DEMPSEY concurred with the comments regarding Item 119. The application was not properly evaluated. The other Councilmembers should note the single opposition vote, which was hopefully an attempt to protect the neighborhoods. The health, welfare and safety of the community children are worth at least a two-week delay. He encouraged the Council to become stewards of the taxpayers’ money. The discussion regarding prostitution should include prostitution in government. He described COUNCILWOMAN MONCRIEF’s reaction to the Social Security building application as acting. The override of the Ward representative was interesting. COUNCILMAN MACK has refused to assist with the illegal activities, which continue at the Crazy Horse II because of an alleged conflict as he represents a competitor. This is the 14th month he has protested the Crazy Horse situation.

(3:39 – 3:43)

4-2373

JUNE ABRAHAM, Charleston Neighborhood Preservation, questioned whether Item 119 could be reconsidered. MAYOR PRO TEM REESE explained that the Council could not take any action under the provisions of the Open Meeting Law. COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD added that the parliamentary procedure only allows a member voting on the prevailing side to bring an application back for reconsideration. MS. ABRAHAM confirmed with MAYOR PRO TEM REESE the commitment that counseling sessions will only occur between 4:30 and 7:30 on Mondays through Thursdays. MR. DEMPSEY rebutted that any Councilmember may direct the City Clerk to place an item on an agenda for reconsideration. DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY BRYAN SCOTT replied that the direction could only be made by a member of the prevailing side.

(3:43 – 3:45)

4-2527

MEETING ADJOURNED AT 3:45 P.M.